The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							34-34-12.62 =	085-34-27.80
Alabama [01]	DeKalb County [04	19]	Unknown [00000]	5 MI NW ALA-GA ST	LINE		34.570172	= -85.574389
543	Highway age	ency district 1	Owner State Highway	Agency [01]	Maintenance res	sponsibility	State Highway Age	ncy [01]
Route 117	SR	117	Toll On fre	ee road [3]	eatures intersected	WEST FORK	CLITTLE RIVER	
Design - Concrete [1] main Arch - Deck	-	Design - approach 2 Other	rete [1]	Year built 1928 Skew angle 0	Structure Flare			ic time [4]
Total length 38.7 m =		ength of maximum sp	an 30.5 m = 100.1 ft Curb or sidewalk w	Historical significance Deck width, out-to-o width - left 0.2 m = 0.	ut 7.2 m = 23.6 ft	Bridge road	ot determinable at th way width, curb-to-cu walk width - right	
Inventory Route, Total Deck structure type	HUNZUNAN CIEARAN	Other [9]			7 II	Curb or side	waik wiutii - rigrit	0.2 111 = 0.7 11
Type of wearing surface	ce	Monolithic Concrete	concurrently placed with st	ructural deck) [1]				
Deck protection		Unknown [8]						
Type of membrane/we	aring surface	Unknown [8]						
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length 2.1 km = 1.3 mi	Wiethou to dete	rmine inventory rating rmine operating rating			, ,	.7 metric ton =		
	Bridge posting	Equal to or above I	egal loads [5]	De	esign Load M 13.5	/ H 15 [2]		

Functional Details									
Average Daily Traffic 3089 Average daily to	ruck traffi 13 % Year 2011 Future average daily traff	fic 5065 Year 2031							
Road classification Minor Arterial (Rural) [06]	Lanes on structure 2	Approach roadway width 7.3 m = 24.0 ft							
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]	Bridge median							
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]								
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control	l							
Navigation vertical clearance 0 = N/A Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A									
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bri	dge 0 m = 0.0 ft Minimum vertical cl	learance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft							
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature F	eature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unlin	mited Minimum lateral unde	erclearance on left 0 = N/A							
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance reference	e feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]							
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]									
Repair and Replacement Plans									
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]								
Bridge rehabilitation because of general structure deterioration or inadequate strength. [35]	Bridge improvement cost 778000 Roadway	y improvement cost 78000							
deterioration of inducequate strongth. [55]	Length of structure improvement 48.1 m = 157.8 ft	Total project cost 1167000							
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2013								
	Border bridge - state	Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state							
	Border bridge - structure number								

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Open, no res	striction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural		Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]					
Condition ratings - superstructur	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment Appraisal ratings - deck geometry	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5] Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]						
Condition ratings - substructure	Fair [5]								
Condition ratings - deck	Fair [5]								
Scour	Bridge foundations determine	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for the assessed or calculated scour condition. [8]							
Channel and channel protection			rol devices a	nd embankment prote	ection have a little minor damage.				
	Banks and/or channel have n	Banks and/or channel have minor amounts of drift. [7]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Better than present minimum	Better than present minimum criteria [7]			Functionally obsolete [2]				
D'an analysis and analysis's									
Pier or abutment protection				Sufficiency rating 60.1					
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]								
.,									
Traffic safety features - railings									
Traffic safety features - transition	ns								
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail								
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail ends								
Inspection date September 2	Designated inspe	ection frequency 24	N	Months					
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspec	ction date						
Fracture critical inspection	Not needed [N]	eeded [N] Fracture critical inspecti							
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	eded [N] Other special inspection date							