The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information			42-54-58 =	082-48-01 = -
Michigan [26] St. Clair County [147]	Riley [68620]	y [68620] SEC. 27-28 RILEY TWP.		82.800278
77321H00026B010 Highway agency district 7	Owner County Highway	Agency [02] Maintenand	ce responsibility County Highway	Agency [02]
Route 0 BRAIDWOOD ROAD	Toll On free	e road [3] Features inters	ected BELLE RIVER	
Design - main Steel [3] Design - approach Stringer/Multi-beam or girder [02] 0 O	ther [00]	Skew angle 0 Structure	econstructed N/A [0000]	
Total length 18.6 m = 61.0 ft Length of maximum	n span 17.6 m = 57.7 ft	Deck width, out-to-out 8.2 m = 26.		curb 7.3 m = 24.0 ft
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 7.3 m = 24.0	ft Curb or sidewalk wi	0 m = 0.0 ft	Curb or sidewalk width - right	0 m = 0.0 ft
Deck structure type Concrete Cast-in-	-Place [1]			
Type of wearing surface Monolithic Concre	ete (concurrently placed with stru	ıctural deck) [1]		
Deck protection				
Type of membrane/wearing surface				
Weight Limits				
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory ra	ting Allowable Stress(AS)	[2] Inventory rating	16.3 metric ton = 17.9 tons	
0.6 km = 0.4 mi Method to determine operating ra	ting Allowable Stress(AS)	[2] Operating rating	27.2 metric ton = 29.9 tons	
Bridge posting 10.0 - 19.9 %	below [3]	Design Load M	1 18 / H 20 [4]	

Functional Details								
Average Daily Traffic 430 Average daily tru	ıck traffi 3 % Year 1994 Future average daily traff	ffic 500 Year 2014						
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Approach roadway width 9.1 m = 29.9 ft							
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]	Bridge median						
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	exists. [N]							
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation contro	ol						
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A							
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift brid	Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft							
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature $\creat{\sf Fe}$	ature not a highway or railroad [N]							
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unlimited Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A								
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]								
D : 10 1 10								
Repair and Replacement Plans								
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]							
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Bridge improvement cost 37000 Roadway	y improvement cost 15000						
	Length of structure improvement 79.3 m = 260.2 ft	Total project cost 55000						
	Year of improvement cost estimate							
	Border bridge - state	Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state						
	Border bridge - structure number							

Inspection and Sufficiency								
Structure status Posted for lo	ad [P]	Appraisal ratings - structural	is [5] Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as			ng left in place as		
Condition ratings - superstructur	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment				ng left in place as		
Condition ratings - substructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings -	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]					
Condition ratings - deck	Fair [5]	deck geometry						
Scour	Bridge foundations determine	d to be stable for assesse	ed or calculated s	scour condition. [5]			
Channel and channel protection	Bank is beginning to slump. F minor stream bed movement	Bank is beginning to slump. River control devices and embankment protection have widespread minor damage. There is minor stream bed movement evident. Debris is restricting the channel slightly. [6]						
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Equal to present minimum cri	Equal to present minimum criteria [6]						
Pier or abutment protection			Su	fficiency rating	56.8			
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]							
Traffic safety features - railings								
Traffic safety features - transition	ns							
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail								
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail ends							
Inspection date January 200	9 [0109] Designated inspe	ection frequency 24	Mont	hs				
Underwater inspection Unknown [Y60] Underwater inspection date October 2007 [1007]								
			spection date					
Other special inspection Other special inspection date								