The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information						00-00-00 =	000-00-00 = -
Michigan [26] St. Clair County [14]	7] Saint Clair [70700] PVT CLM 306 S		PVT CLM 306 ST CLAII	T CLAIR TWP.		0.000000	0.000000
77200014000B030 Highway age	ncy district 7	Owner County Highway	Agency [02]	Maintenance re	esponsibility	County Highway A	Agency [02]
Route 7742 FRED MOORE HWY Toll On free road [3] Features intersected PINE RIVER							
Design - main  Steel [3]  Stringer/Multi-beam or girder [0]	Design - approach  2] 0 Other	[00]	Kilometerpoint 0 km Year built 1960  Skew angle 15  Historical significance	Structure Flan	nstructed N/A [ red not eligible for th		
Total length 54.8 m = 179.8 ft Length of maximum span 18.2 m = 59.7 ft Deck width, out-to-out 11.2 m = 36.7 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 8.8 m = 28.9 ft							
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearand	e 8.8 m = 28.9 ft	Curb or sidewalk wid	dth - left $0.4 \text{ m} = 1.3 \text{ m}$	ft	Curb or side	walk width - right	1.2 m = 3.9 ft
Deck structure type Concrete Cast-in-Place [1]							
Type of wearing surface  Monolithic Concrete (concurrently placed with structural deck) [1]							
Deck protection							
Type of membrane/wearing surface							
Weight Limits							
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rating		No rating analysis performed [5]		ntory rating 1	8.2 metric ton =	= 20.0 tons	
0.5 km = 0.3 mi  Method to determine operating rating  No rating a		No rating analysis pe	rformed [5] Oper	rating rating 3	32.7 metric ton =	= 36.0 tons	
Bridge posting	Equal to or above le	egal loads [5]	Desi	gn Load M 18	/ H 20 [4]		

Functional Details							
Average Daily Traffic 6000 Average daily true	ck traffi 7 % Year 1994 Future average daily traffic 7010 Year 2014						
Road classification Major Collector (Rural) [07]	Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 6.7 m = 22.0 ft						
Type of service on bridge Highway-pedestrian [5]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]  Bridge median						
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	exists. [N]						
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control						
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A						
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridç	Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft						
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Fea	ature not a highway or railroad [N]						
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unlimited Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A							
Minimum Vertical Underclearance   0 = N/A   Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature   Feature not a highway or railroad [N]							
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]							
Repair and Replacement Plans							
Type of work to be performed	Work done by						
Unknown [00]	Bridge improvement cost 0 Roadway improvement cost 0						
	Length of structure improvement 0 m = 0.0 ft Total project cost						
	Year of improvement cost estimate 1995						
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state 0						
	Border bridge - structure number						

Inspection and Sufficiency						
Structure status Open, no res	striction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]			
Condition ratings - superstructur	Not Applicable [N]	Applicable [N] Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment		teria [6]		
Condition ratings - substructure	Very Good [8]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]			
Condition ratings - deck	Fair [5]	deck geometry				
Scour	Scour calculation/evaluation h	nas not been made. [6]				
Channel and channel protection  Bank protection is being eroded. River control devices and/or embankment have major damage. Trees and rush restrict the channel. [5]						
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Meets minimum tolerable limi	its to be left in place as is	Status evaluation	Functionally obsolete [2]		
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating	52.8		
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]					
Traffic safety features - railings						
Traffic safety features - transitions						
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail						
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail ends					
Inspection date February 199	98 [0298] Designated inspe	ection frequency 24	Months			
Underwater inspection	Unknown [Y60]	Underwater inspec	ction date August 1997 [	0897]		
Fracture critical inspection Unknown [N24]		Fracture critical in:				
Other special inspection Unknown [N24] Other special inspection date						