The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Infor	rmation												37-49-12 =	122-28-36 = -
California [06] Marin County [041]			U		Unknown [00000]		04-MRN-101-L.01			37.820000	122.476667			
27 005	52	Highway	Highway agency district 4			Owner Local Toll Authority [32]				Maintenance responsibility			Local Toll Authori	ty [32]
Route 101 U.S. HIGHWAY 101					Toll Toll bridge [1] Features intersected GOLDEN GA					ATE-SAN FRAN B <i>A</i>	ΛΥ			
Design - main Steel [3] Suspension [13]				Design - approach	Steel [3] Arch - Deck [11]		Kilometerpoint 1 km = 0.6 mi Year built 1937 Year reconstructed N/A [0000] Skew angle 0 Structure Flared Yes, flared [1] Historical significance Pridge is eligible for the NPHP [2]							
Historical significance Bridge is eligible for the NRHP. [2] Total length 2742.3 m = 8997.5 ft Length of maximum span 1280.2 m = 4200.3 ft Deck width, out-to-out 19.9 m = 65.3 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 18.9 m = 6 Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 18.9 m = 62.0 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 3 m = 9.8 ft Curb or sidewalk width - right 3 m = 9.8 ft Deck structure type Steel plate (includes orthotropic) [5]														
Type of wea	aring surface	e uring surface		uminous [6]			7(4)							
6.4 km = 4.0 mi			determin	ermine operating rating			Unknown [8] Unknown [8] ads [5]		Inventory rating Operating rating 4.5 metric ton Design Load					

Functional Details									
Average Daily Traffic 11500 Average daily tr	uck traffi 1 % Year 2007 Future average daily traffic 183529 Year 2026								
Road classification	ays or Exp Lanes on structure 6 Approach roadway width 33.5 m = 109.9 ft								
Type of service on bridge Highway-pedestrian [5]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge median								
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]								
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control Navigation control on waterway (bridge permit required). [1]								
Navigation vertical clearanc 67.1 m = 220.2 ft	Navigation horizontal clearance 999.9 m = 3280.7 ft								
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bri	Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft								
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A									
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]									
Repair and Replacement Plans									
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]								
Other structural work, including hydraulic									
replacements. [38]	Bridge improvement cost 151415000 Roadway improvement cost 30283000								
	Length of structure improvement 2742.3 m = 8997.5 ft Total project cost 254378000								
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2010								
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state								
	Border bridge - structure number								

Inspection and Sufficiency										
Structure status Open, no res	triction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of corrrective action [3]							
Condition ratings - superstructur	Not Applicable [N]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to prese	present minimum criteria [6]						
Condition ratings - substructure	Not Applicable [N]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intole	igh priority of replacement [2]						
Condition ratings - deck	Not Applicable [N]	deck geometry								
Scour	Bridge foundations determin	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for assessed or calculated scour condition. [5]								
Channel and channel protection	There are no noticeable or I	There are no noticeable or noteworthy deficiencies which affect the condition of the channel. [9]								
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Superior to present desirab	le criteria [9]	Stat	tus evaluation	Functionally obsolete [2]					
Pier or abutment protection	In place and functioning [2]		Suff	ficiency rating	11.7					
Culverts Not applicable. Used if structure is not a culvert. [N]										
Traffic safety features - railings										
Traffic safety features - transition	ns									
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail Inpected fe	Inpected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]								
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends Inpected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]										
Inspection date April 2008 [0										
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspe	ction date							
Fracture critical inspection	Every two years [Y24]	Fracture critical in	spection date	April 2008 [040	3]					
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	ection date							