HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information					38-30-28 =	122-52-58 = -
California [06]	rnia [06] Sonoma County [097]		Unknown [00000]	1.5 MI FROM RIVER RD	38.507778	122.882778
20C0155 Highway agency district 4		Owner County Highway	Owner County Highway Agency [02] Maintenance responsibility		County Highway Agency [02]	
Route 0	WOHL	ER RD	Toll On fre	e road [3] Features inters	ected RUSSIAN RIVER	
Design - Steel [3] main		Design - Conc approach	rete [1]	Kilometerpoint 0 km = 0.0 mi		
			ger/Multi-beam or girder [02]	Year built 1921 Year r Skew angle 0 Structure	econstructed N/A [0000] Flared	
				Historical significance Bridge	e is eligible for the NRHP. [2]	
Total length 234.1 m	= 768.1 ft Len	gth of maximum sp	70.1 m = 230.0 ft	Deck width, out-to-out 5.5 m = 18.	0 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-	curb 5.1 m = 16.7 ft
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 5.1 m = 16.7 ft			Curb or sidewalk w	Curb or sidewalk width - left 0 m = 0.0 ft Curb or side		0 m = 0.0 ft
Deck structure type	Co	oncrete Cast-in-Pla	nce [1]			
Type of wearing surface Bituminous [6]						
Deck protection						
Type of membrane/we	aring surface					
Weight Limits						
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rating			Allowable Stress(AS	[2] Inventory rating	14.5 metric ton = 16.0 tons	
1.1 km = 0.7 mi Method to determine operating rating		Allowable Stress(AS)) [2] Operating rating	24.5 metric ton = 27.0 tons		
	Bridge posting	00.1 - 09.9 % belo	ow [4]	Design Load		

Functional Details										
Average Daily Traffic 800 Average daily true	ck traffi 1 % Year 2008 Future average daily traffic 524 Year 2029									
Road classification Minor Collector (Rural) [08]	Lanes on structure 1 Approach roadway width 5.2 m = 17.1 ft									
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic One lane bridge for 2 - way traffic [3] Bridge median									
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure exists. [N]										
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control									
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A									
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 5.13 m = 16.8 ft										
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]										
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A										
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]										
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]										
Repair and Replacement Plans										
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]									
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 2962000 Roadway improvement cost 592000									
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 234.1 m = 768.1 ft Total project cost 4976000									
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2010									
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state									
	Border bridge - structure number									

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Posted for lo	ture status Posted for load [P]		Basically intolerable requiring high priority of repla		igh priority of replacement [2]				
Condition ratings - superstructur	Poor [4]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of corrrective action [3]						
Condition ratings - substructure	Critical [2]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically into						
Condition ratings - deck	Serious [3]	deck geometry							
Scour	Bridge is scour critical; field re	eview indicates that exten	sive scour has o	occurred at bridge	oundations. [2]				
Channel and channel protection Bank is beginning to slump. River control devices and embankment protection have widespread minor daminor stream bed movement evident. Debris is restricting the channel slightly. [6]									
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Better than present minimum	criteria [7]	St	tatus evaluation	Structurally deficient [1]				
Pier or abutment protection			Sı	ufficiency rating	24.1				
Culverts Not applicable. Used if structure is not a culvert. [N]									
Traffic safety features - railings									
Traffic safety features - transition	ns								
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail								
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends									
Inspection date July 2011 [0711] Designated inspection frequency 24 Months									
Underwater inspection	Unknown [Y60]	Underwater inspec	ection date November 2011 [1111]		[1111]				
Fracture critical inspection	Every two years [Y24]	Fracture critical ins	Spection date November 2011 [1111]		[1111]				
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	ection date						