## HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							45-24-47 =	084-36-41 = -
Michigan [26]	ichigan [26] Cheboygan County [031]		Tuscarora [80880] SEC 24 T35N R3W		R3W			84.611389
16200015000B020 Highway agency district: 2		Owner County Highwa	wner County Highway Agency [02] Maintenance responsibility		County Highway A	Agency [02]		
Route 1625 OLD 27		Toll On fr	Toll On free road [3] Features intersected INDIAN RIV		/ER			
Design - Concrete [1] main  Girder and flo	oorbeam system [03]	Design - approach  O Other	[00]	Kilometerpoint Year built 19: Skew angle 3 Historical signif	7 Structure F	constructed N/A	[0000] the NRHP. [5]	
Total length 27.7 m =	90.9 ft Len	gth of maximum spa	an 25.9 m = 85.0 ft	Deck width, o	ut-to-out 10.9 m = 35	8 ft Bridge roa	dway width, curb-to-	curb 6.7 m = 22.0 ft
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 6.7 m = 22.0 ft			Curb or sidewalk v	Curb or sidewalk width - left 1.6 m = 5.2 ft Curb or side		ewalk width - right	0 m = 0.0 ft	
Deck structure type	Co	oncrete Cast-in-Plac	ce [1]					
Type of wearing surface	M	onolithic Concrete (	concurrently placed with st	ructural deck) [1]				
Deck protection								
Type of membrane/wea	ring surface							
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rating		No rating analysis performed [5]		Inventory rating	32.7 metric ton	= 36.0 tons		
1.6 km = 1.0 mi  Method to determine operating rating		No rating analysis p	erformed [5]	Operating rating	32.7 metric ton	= 36.0 tons		
Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [5]				Design Load MS	5 18+Mod / HS 20	)+Mod [6]		

Functional Details							
Average Daily Traffic 5400 Average daily tr	ruck traffi 0 % Year 2005 Future average daily traffic 9700 Year 2025						
Road classification Minor Arterial (Rural) [06]	Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 11 m = 36.1 ft						
Type of service on bridge Highway-pedestrian [5]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]  Bridge median						
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]						
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control Navigation control on waterway (bridge permit required). [1]						
Navigation vertical clearanc 5 m = 16.4 ft	Navigation horizontal clearance 10.9 m = 35.8 ft						
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge  Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway  99.99 m = 328.1 ft							
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature F	eature not a highway or railroad [N]						
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unlin	mited Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A						
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]						
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]							
Repair and Replacement Plans							
Type of work to be performed	Work done by						
	Bridge improvement cost Roadway improvement cost						
	Length of structure improvement Total project cost						
	Year of improvement cost estimate						
	Border bridge - state  Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state						
	Border bridge - structure number						

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Open, no res	triction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]						
Condition ratings - superstructure Fair [5]		Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Meets minimum tolerable limits	s to be left in place as is [4]					
Condition ratings - substructure	Poor [4]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]						
Condition ratings - deck	Fair [5]	deck geometry							
Scour	Scour calculation	Scour calculation/evaluation has not been made. [6]							
Channel and channel protection		Banks are protected or well vegetated. River control devices such as spur dikes and embankment protection are not required or are in a stable condition. [8]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Better than prese	ent minimum criteria [7]	Status evaluation	Structurally deficient [1]					
Pier or abutment protection	Navigation protect	ction not required [1]	Sufficiency rating	41.4					
Culverts Not applicable. Used i	f structure is not a culve	rt. [N]							
Traffic safety features - railings	I	npected feature meets currently acce	ature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety features - transition	S	npected feature meets currently acce	ected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety features - approach	guardrail I	npected feature meets currently acce	ted feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety features - approach	guardrail ends I	npected feature meets currently acce	re meets currently acceptable standards. [1]						
Inspection date September 2007 [0907] Designated inspection frequency 24 Months									
Underwater inspection Not needed [N] Underwater inspection date									
Fracture critical inspection	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical ins	Fracture critical inspection date						
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special inspection date							