The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information								
Michigan [26]	errien County [021]	New Troy [57660]	0.2 MI W OF NEW TROY					
11200054000B010	Highway agency district 7	Owner County Highway	Maintenance responsibility County Highway Agency [02]					
Route 0	AVERY ROAD	Toll On fre	ree road [3] Features intersected GALIEN RIVER					
Design - main Concrete [1] Design - approach Year built 1928 Year reconstructed N/A [0000] Skew angle 0 Historical significance Bridge is possibly eligible for the NRHP. [3] Total length 18.3 m = 60.0 ft Length of maximum span 17.4 m = 57.1 ft Deck width, out-to-out 7.8 m = 25.6 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 6.1 m = 20.0 ft								
Inventory Route, Total H Deck structure type	orizontal Clearance 6.1 m = 20.0 ft Concrete Cast-in-Pla	Curb or sidewalk w	vidth - left 0 m = 0.0 ft Curb or sidewalk width - right 0 m = 0.0 ft					
Type of wearing surface Deck protection Type of membrane/wearing surface Bituminous [6] Type of membrane/wearing surface								
Weight Limits Bypass, detour length 1.9 km = 1.2 mi	Method to determine inventory rating Method to determine operating rating Bridge posting 30.0 - 39.9 % below		Inventory rating 12.4 metric ton = 13.6 tons Operating rating 48.6 metric ton = 53.5 tons Design Load M 13.5 / H 15 [2]					

Functional Details								
Average Daily Traffic 559 Average daily tr	ck traffi 5 % Year 1981 Future average daily traffic	850 Year 2010						
Road classification Minor Collector (Rural) [08]	Lanes on structure 2	Approach roadway width 9.1 m = 29.9 ft						
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]	Bridge median						
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	exists. [N]							
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control							
Navigation vertical clearance 0 = N/A Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A								
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft								
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature $\creat{\sf Fe}$	ature not a highway or railroad [N]							
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A								
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance reference feat	ture Feature not a highway or railroad [N]						
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]								
Description of Description								
Repair and Replacement Plans								
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]							
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 310000 Roadway imp	provement cost 150000						
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 24.4 m = 80.1 ft	otal project cost 465000						
	Year of improvement cost estimate							
	Border bridge - state Border	rder bridge - percent responsibility of other state						
	Border bridge - structure number							

Inspection and Sufficiency							
Structure status Posted for Io	ad [P]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Basically intolerable requiring h	nigh priority of corrrective action [3]			
Condition ratings - superstructur	Serious [3]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]		nigh priority of replacement [2]			
Condition ratings - substructure	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of corrrective action [3]				
Condition ratings - deck	Poor [4]	deck geometry					
Scour	Scour calculation/evaluation	Scour calculation/evaluation has not been made. [6]					
Channel and channel protection	Bank is beginning to slump. minor stream bed movement	Bank is beginning to slump. River control devices and embankment protection have widespread minor damage. There is minor stream bed movement evident. Debris is restricting the channel slightly. [6]					
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	y Somewhat better than minim in place as is [5]	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5] Status evaluation Structurally deficient [1]					
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating	2			
Culverts Not applicable. Used	f structure is not a culvert. [N]						
Traffic safety features - railings							
Traffic safety features - transition	S						
Traffic safety features - approach							
Traffic safety features - approach	guardrail ends						
Inspection date							
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspec					
•	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical in:					
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	ection date				