HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information 30-20-09.00 = 081-39-24.60									
Florida [12] Duval County [031		1]	Jacksonville [35000]	100' N OF BETHEL BAPTIST			30.335833	= -81.656833	
724175 Highway age		ency district 2	Owner City or Municip	Owner City or Municipal Highway Agency [04] Maintenance responsibility		esponsibility	City or Municipal H	ighway Agency [04]	
Route 0		LA	URA STREET	Toll On fr	ee road [3]	eatures intersecte	ed HOGAN CR	REEK	
Design - Concrete [1] main 2 Slab [01]		Design - approach Ott	ner [00]	Year built 1929 Skew angle 40	Structure Flan				
Historical significance Bridge is possibly eligible for the NRHP. [3] Total length 11.6 m = 38.1 ft Length of maximum span 5.8 m = 19.0 ft Deck width, out-to-out 21.5 m = 70.5 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 13.7 m = 44.9 ft Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 20.5 m = 67.3 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 1.4 m = 4.6 ft Curb or sidewalk width - right 1.4 m = 4.6 ft									
Deck structure type Concrete Cast-in-Place [1]								wan ngin	
Type of wearing surface Bituminous [6]									
Deck protection									
Type of membrane/wearing surface									
Weight Limits									
			ermine inventory rati	ng Load Factor(LF) [1]	Inve	entory rating 1	7.4 metric ton =	= 19.1 tons	
0 km = 0.0 mi		Method to det	ermine operating rat	ing Load Factor(LF) [1]	Оре	erating rating 2	29 metric ton = 3	31.9 tons	
	I	Bridge posting	20.0 - 29.9 % b	elow [2]	Des	sign Load			

Functional Details								
Average Daily Traffic 2091 Average daily to	ruck traffi 15 % Year 2012 Futur	e average daily traffic 3628 Year 2034						
Road classification Local (Urban) [19]	Lanes on structure 2	Approach roadway width 13.7 m = 44.9 ft						
Type of service on bridge Highway-pedestrian [5]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traff	c [2] Bridge median						
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]							
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0	Navigation control						
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal	clearance 0 = N/A						
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift br	Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge 0 m = 0.0 ft Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft							
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature F	eature not a highway or railroad [N]							
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A	Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A							
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]								
Repair and Replacement Plans								
Type of work to be performed	Work done by							
	Bridge improvement cost 0	Roadway improvement cost 0						
	Length of structure improvement 0 m	= 0.0 ft Total project cost 0						
	Year of improvement cost estimate							
	Border bridge - state	Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state						
	Border bridge - structure number							

Inspection and Sufficiency							
Structure status Posted for lo	ad [P]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4] Equal to present desirable criteria [8]				
Condition ratings - superstructure	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment					
Condition ratings - substructure	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings - deck geometry	Superior to present desirable criteria [9]				
Condition ratings - deck	Fair [5]						
Scour	Bridge with "unknown" found	ation that has not been ev	/aluated for scour. [U]				
Channel and channel protection	Bank protection is being eroc channel. [5]	ded. River control devices	s and/or embankment have major damage. Trees and rush restrict the				
Appraisal ratings - water adequate	Equal to present minimum cr	Equal to present minimum criteria [6] Status evaluation					
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating 65.1				
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]						
Traffic safety features - railings							
Traffic safety features - transition	ns						
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail						
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail ends						
Inspection date July 2012 [0	712] Designated insp	ection frequency 24	Months				
Underwater inspection	Every two years [Y24]	Underwater inspec	ction date July 2012 [0712]				
Fracture critical inspection	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical ins	spection date				
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special inspe	ection date				