HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Info	rmation														2	30-19-44.37 =	081-40-35.95
Florida [12] Duval County [031]				Jacksonville [35000] I-95 OVER MYRTLE AVE.					30.328992	= -81.676653							
720163 Highway agency district 2				Owner State Highway Agency [01] Maintenance responsibility				Stat	e Highway Ag	ency [01]							
Route 95				I-95 (SF	R-9)			Toll On fre	e road [3]		Feature	es inter	sected	MYRTLE	AVE/I-95	5/I-10 RMP	
main	Steel [3] Arch - Thru	[12]			Design - approach	Steel [3]		beam system [03]	Kilometerpo Year built	1955	487.6 km	Year	recons	tructed N/	'A [0000]		
									Skew angle Historical s				e Flared ge is pos		ole for the	e NRHP. [3]	
Total lengtl	h 436 m =	1430.5	5 ft	Leng	th of maxim	um span	117.5 r	n = 385.5 ft	Deck widt	th, out-to	o-out 26.0	6 m = 8	87.3 ft	Bridge ro	adway w	vidth, curb-to-c	24.2 m = 79.4 ft
Inventory F	Route, Tota	l Horizo	ontal Clea	arance	12.1 m = 3	9.7 ft	Сι	urb or sidewalk w	idth - left	0 m = 0).0 ft			Curb or si	idewalk v	vidth - right	0 m = 0.0 ft
Deck struc	ture type			Coi	ncrete Cast	in-Place	: [1]										
Type of we	earing surfa	ce															
Deck prote	ection																
Type of me	embrane/we	earing s	surface														
Weight Lir	mits																
71	letour length	n Me	ethod to d	determin	ne inventory	rating	Loa	ad and Resistance	e Factor(LRF	R) [3]	Inventory	rating	27.	1 metric to	n = 29.8	tons	
0.3 km = 0	0.2 mi	Me	ethod to o	determin	ne operating	rating	Loa	ad and Resistance	e Factor(LRF	R) [3]	Operating	g rating	35.	3 metric to	n = 38.8	tons	
		Bri	idge post	ting E	qual to or a	bove leg	al loads	[5]			Design Lo	oad [MS 18 /	HS 20 [5]			

Functional Details										
Average Daily Traffic 137000 Average daily tr	uck traffi 7 % Year 2011 Fut	ture average daily traffic	237695 Year	2033						
Road classification	ban) [11] Lanes on structure 4		Approach roadway	width 29.2 m = 95.8 ft						
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way tra	affic [2]	Bridge me	dian Open median [1]						
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]									
Type of service under bridge Highway-railroad [4]	Lanes under structure 12	Navigation control	Not applicable, no water	erway. [N]						
Navigation vertical clearance 0 = N/A Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A										
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift brid	lge 0 m = 0.0 ft	Minimum vertical clear	ance over bridge roadwa	7.32 m = 24.0 ft						
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Hi	ghway beneath structure [H]									
finimum lateral underclearance on right 0.5 m = 1.6 ft Minimum lateral underclearance on left 3.8 m = 12.5 ft										
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 4.9 m = 16.1 ft	Minimum vertical und	erclearance reference fea	ature Highway beneath	structure [H]						
Appraisal ratings - underclearances Basically intolera	able requiring high priority of corrrective action	on [3]								
Repair and Replacement Plans										
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contr	act [1]								
Other structural work, including hydraulic	3									
replacements. [38]	Bridge improvement cost 1852000	Roadway im	provement cost 0							
	Length of structure improvement 4	36 m = 1430.5 ft	otal project cost 26	80000						
	Year of improvement cost estimate									
	Border bridge - state	Во	rder bridge - percent res	ponsibility of other state						
	Border bridge - structure number									

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Open, no res	triction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Equal to present minimum criteria [6]						
Condition ratings - superstructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present desirable criteria [8]						
Condition ratings - substructure	Good [7]	Appraisal ratings -	Superior to present desirable criteria [9]						
Condition ratings - deck	Fair [5]	deck geometry							
Scour	Bridge not over waterwa	Bridge not over waterway. [N]							
Channel and channel protection	Not applicable. [N]	Not applicable. [N]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	y N/A [N]		Status evaluation Functionally obsolete [2]						
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating 66						
Culverts Not applicable. Used i	if structure is not a culvert. [N]								
Traffic safety features - railings	<u>.</u>	d feature meets currently acce							
Traffic safety features - transition		d feature meets currently acce							
Traffic safety features - approach	ŭ .	d feature meets currently acce							
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail ends Inpecte	d feature meets currently acce	eptable standards. [1]						
Inspection date April 2012 [04]	Designated	inspection frequency 24	Months						
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspec	ection date						
Fracture critical inspection	Every two years [Y24]	Fracture critical ins	nspection date April 2012 [0412]						
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special inspe	pection date						