## HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information 34-20-54.87 = 083-49-22.29								083-49-22.29
Georgia [13]	[13] Hall County [139]		Gainesville [31908] IN NORTH GAINESVILLE		VILLE		34.348575	= -83.822858
13900050 Highway agency district 1		Owner State Highway Agency [01] Maintenance response		responsibility	State Highway Age	ncy [01]		
Route 129	oute 129 US 129			Toll On free road [3] Features intersected CHATT R			/ (LAKE LANIER)	
Design - Steel continuation  6 Girder and	nuous [4] floorbeam system [	Design - approach  03] 0 Other	· [00]	Year built 1957  Skew angle 0	Structure Fla	onstructed N/A		
Historical significance Bridge is not eligible for the NRHP. [5]  Total length 251.1 m = 823.9 ft Length of maximum span 46.6 m = 152.9 ft Deck width, out-to-out 9.7 m = 31.8 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 7.8 m = 25.6 ft  Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 7.8 m = 25.6 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 0.4 m = 1.3 ft Curb or sidewalk width - right 0.4 m = 1.3 ft								
Deck structure type  Concrete Cast-in-Place [1]								
Type of wearing surface Monolithic Concrete			concurrently placed with structural deck) [1]					
Deck protection Unknown [8]								
Type of membrane/wearing surface								
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length	Method to dete	rmine inventory rating	Allowable Stress(AS) [2]		nventory rating	31.5 metric ton	= 34.7 tons	
0.9 km = 0.6 mi	.9 km = 0.6 mi  Method to determine operating rating  Allow			Allowable Stress(AS) [2]		45.9 metric ton	= 50.5 tons	
Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [5]				Design Load MS	18 / HS 20 [5]			

Functional Details								
Average Daily Traffic 16540 Average daily to	ruck traffi 7 % Year 2011 Future average daily traffic 24810 Year 2031							
Road classification Other Principal Arterial (Urban)	[14] Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 11.1 m = 36.4 ft							
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]  Bridge median							
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure exists. [N]								
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control							
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A							
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge 0 m = 0.0 ft  Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft								
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A  Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A								
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]							
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]								
Repair and Replacement Plans								
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]							
Widening of existing bridge with deck rehabilitation or replacement. [34]	Bridge improvement cost 3220000 Roadway improvement cost 322000							
от герпасетнети. [54]	Length of structure improvement 313 m = 1027.0 ft Total project cost 4829000							
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2013							
	Border bridge - state  Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state							
	Border bridge - structure number							

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Open, no re	striction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]						
Condition ratings - superstructure	on ratings - superstructure Fair [5]		Equal to present desirable criteria [8]						
Condition ratings - substructure	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]						
Condition ratings - deck	Good [7]	deck geometry							
Scour	Bridge with "unknown" foundat	Bridge with "unknown" foundation that has not been evaluated for scour. [U]							
Channel and channel protection		Banks are protected or well vegetated. River control devices such as spur dikes and embankment protection are not required or are in a stable condition. [8]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequa	Superior to present desirable of	criteria [9]	Status evaluation Functionally obsolete [2]						
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating 55.9						
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]								
Traffic safety features - railings									
Traffic safety features - transition	ns								
Traffic safety features - approac	h guardrail								
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends									
Inspection date June 2012 [	Designated inspec	ction frequency 24	4 Months						
Underwater inspection	Unknown [Y60]	Underwater inspec	June 2012 [0612]						
Fracture critical inspection	Every year [Y12]	Fracture critical ins							
Other special inspection	Unknown [N00]	Other special inspection date							