The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information					
Illinois [17] Cook County [031]		Chicago [14000]	3300 E 100TH STREET	41-42-49 = 41.7 087-32-34 = -87.5	
000016604226833 Highway agency district 1		Owner City or Municipal Highway Agency [04] Maintenance responsi		e responsibility City or Municipal Highway Agency [04]	
Route 1570	100	OTH ST	Toll On fre	e road [3] Features interse	cted CALUMET RIVER
Design - main Steel [3] Design - approach Steel [5] Movable - Bascule [16] 4 Stringe		[3] per/Multi-beam or girder [02]	Kilometerpoint246.2 km = 152.6Year built1927Year resultSkew angle0Structure I	econstructed N/A [0000]	
					is not eligible for the NRHP. [5]
Total length 99.4 m =	= 326.1 ft	Length of maximum sp	an 71 m = 233.0 ft	Deck width, out-to-out 18.9 m = 62	.0 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 11.6 m = 38.1 ft
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 11.5 m = 37.7 ft		Curb or sidewalk wi	dth - left 2.6 m = 8.5 ft	Curb or sidewalk width - right 2.6 m = 8.5 ft	
Deck structure type Open Grating [3]					
Type of wearing surface Other [9]		Other [9]			
Deck protection					
Type of membrane/we	earing surface				
Weight Limits					
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rating		Allowable Stress(AS)	[2] Inventory rating	32.4 metric ton = 35.6 tons	
0.3 km = 0.2 mi Method to determine operating rating		Allowable Stress(AS)) [2] Operating rating	44.1 metric ton = 48.5 tons	
	Bridge posting	Equal to or above le	egal loads [5]	Design Load MS	S 18 / HS 20 [5]

Functional Details								
Average Daily Traffic 10000 Average daily tr	uck traffi 11 % Year 2006 Future averag	ge daily traffic 6960 Year 2021						
Road classification Collector (Urban) [17]	Lanes on structure 4	Approach roadway width 12.8 m = 42.0 ft						
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]	Bridge median						
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure exists. [N]								
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Naviga	ation control Navigation control on waterway (bridge permit required). [1]						
Navigation vertical clearance 4.8 m = 15.7 ft Navigation horizontal clearance 48.7 m = 159.8 ft								
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift brid	m vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft							
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A								
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]								
Danain and Danisassant Diago								
Repair and Replacement Plans								
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]							
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 1093000	Roadway improvement cost 109000						
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 109.7 m = 3	59.9 ft Total project cost 1640000						
	Year of improvement cost estimate							
	Border bridge - state	Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state						
	Border bridge - structure number							

Inspection and Sufficiency							
Structure status Open, no res	striction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]				
Condition ratings - superstructur	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present desirable criteria [8]				
Condition ratings - substructure	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]				
Condition ratings - deck	Good [7]	deck geometry					
Scour	Bridge foundations determine	ed to be stable for the ass	sessed or calculated scour condition. [8]				
Channel and channel protection		Bank protection is in need of minor repairs. River control devices and embankment protection have a little minor damage. Banks and/or channel have minor amounts of drift. [7]					
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Superior to present desirable	Superior to present desirable criteria [9] Status evaluation Functionally obsolete [2]					
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating 62.8				
Culverts Not applicable. Used if structure is not a culvert. [N]							
Traffic safety features - railings							
Traffic safety features - transition	Not applicab	ole or a safety feature is not required. [N]					
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail Not applicab	le or a safety feature is not required. [N]					
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail ends Not applicab	le or a safety feature is no	not required. [N]				
Inspection date November 2007 [1107] Designated inspection frequency 24 Months							
Underwater inspection	Unknown [Y60]	Underwater inspe	ection date November 2007 [1107]				
Fracture critical inspection	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical in	nspection date				
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	pection date				