## HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information								
Illinois [17] La Salle County [099]			Oglesby [55353]	lesby [55353] E 651ST,N 2525			41-18-06 = 41.	3 089-02-16 = -89.0
50303811468 Highway agency district 3		y district 3	Owner County Highway	Owner County Highway Agency [02] Maintenance responsibility		County Highway A	gency [02]	
Route 6116	CO RD	23	Toll On fre	Toll On free road [3] Features intersected VERMILION			N RIVER	
Design - main  Steel [3] Design - approach  Truss - Thru [10] 0 Otl		approach	er [00]	Kilometerpoint 7 Year built 1940 Skew angle 0 Historical significance	Structure F	constructed 198		
Total length 108.5 m Inventory Route, Total Deck structure type Type of wearing surface	Horizontal Clearance	8.5 m = 27.9 ft oncrete Cast-in-Pl	Curb or sidewalk water [1]  e (concurrently placed with str				dway width, curb-to-c ewalk width - right	0 m = 0.0 ft
Deck protection  Type of membrane/wea		ooxy Coated Rein	forcing [1]					
Weight Limits  Bypass, detour length  1 km = 0.6 mi	Sypass, detour length  km = 0.6 mi  Method to determine inventory rating  Method to determine operating rating		Allowable Stress(AS		nventory rating	24.3 metric ton 33.3 metric ton		
Bridge posting 00.1 - 09.9 % below [4]			D	esign Load M	13.5 / H 15 [2]			

Functional Details								
Average Daily Traffic 1250 Average daily tru	ck traffi 4 % Year 2011 Future average daily traffic	2032 Year 2032						
Road classification Minor Arterial (Urban) [16]	Lanes on structure 2	Approach roadway width 7.3 m = 24.0 ft						
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]	Bridge median						
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	exists. [N]							
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control							
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A							
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift brid	ge Minimum vertical cle	parance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft						
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A								
Minimum Vertical Underclearance   0 = N/A   Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature   Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]								
Danair and Danlagement Dlane								
Repair and Replacement Plans  Type of work to be performed.	Work dans by							
Type of work to be performed	Work done by							
	Bridge improvement cost 0 Roadway i	improvement cost 0						
	Length of structure improvement 0 m = 0.0 ft	Total project cost 0						
	Year of improvement cost estimate							
	Border bridge - state	Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state						
	Border bridge - structure number							

Inspection and Sufficiency								
Structure status Posted for lo	oad [P]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Meets minimum tolerable limi	its to be left in place as is [4]				
Condition ratings - superstructur	condition ratings - superstructur Poor [4]		Better than present minimum criteria [7]					
Condition ratings - substructure	Poor [4]	Appraisal ratings -	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as					
Condition ratings - deck	Good [7]	deck geometry	is [5]					
Scour	Bridge foundation	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for the assessed or calculated scour condition. [8]						
Channel and channel protection	Bank protection channel. [5]	Bank protection is being eroded. River control devices and/or embankment have major damage. Trees and rush restrict the channel. [5]						
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Equal to presen	t desirable criteria [8]	Status evaluation	Structurally deficient [1]				
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating	50.8				
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culv	ert. [N]						
Traffic safety features - railings		Inpected feature meets currently acce	ture meets currently acceptable standards. [1]					
Traffic safety features - transition	าร	Inpected feature meets currently acce	sture meets currently acceptable standards. [1]					
Traffic safety features - approach	h guardrail	Inpected feature meets currently acce	ture meets currently acceptable standards. [1]					
Traffic safety features - approach	h guardrail ends	Inpected feature meets currently acce	re meets currently acceptable standards. [1]					
Inspection date May 2011 [0511] Designated inspection frequency 24 Months								
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspec	ction date					
Fracture critical inspection	Every two years [Y24]	Fracture critical ins	Spection date June 2011 [00	611]				
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special inspection date						