HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

1992 Inventory

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format. Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information Illinois [17] Woodford County [203]		2]	Greene [31355] 1.5 MI N US 24					40-45-24 = 40.756667	089-05-48 = - 89.096667						
102309400585		Highway agency district 3							[03] Ma	aintonanco	responsibili	ity 7		ip Highway Agency [03]	
									responsibili	ity		ip Highway Agency [03]			
Route 202 TR 202					Toll On free road [3] Features intersected PANTHER C					HER CR					
Design - mainSteel [3]2Truss - Deck [09]				Design - approach 0	Other	[00]		Kilometerpoint Year built #Num! Year reconstructed N/A [0000] Skew angle 0 Structure Flared							
							Historica	l significar	cance Historical significance is not determinable at this time. [4]				this time. [4]		
Total length 28.3 m = 92.9 ft Length of maximum span 15.2 m = 49.9 ft Deck width, out-to-out 3.7 m = 12.1 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 3.7 m = 12.1 ft										-curb 3.7 m = 12.1 ft					
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 3.7 m = 12.1 ft				2.1 ft	Curb or sidewalk width - left 0 m = 0.0 ft Curb or sidew					or sidewa	alk width - right	0 m = 0.0 ft			
Deck structure type Concrete Cast-in-Place [1]															
Type of wearing surface Wood or Timber [7]															
Deck protection															
Type of membrane/wearing surface															
Weight L	imits														
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rating				rating					Inventory	y rating	3.6 metric t	ton = 4.0) tons		
0 km = 0.0 mi Method to determine operating rating				g rating					Operatin	ig rating	8.1 metric t	ton = 8.9	9 tons		
Bridge posting					<u> </u>				Design L	oad					

Functional Details	
Average Daily Traffic 29 Average daily tr	uck traffi % Year 1989 Future average daily traffic 27 Year 2012
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure1Approach roadway width6.7 m = 22.0 ft
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic One lane bridge for 2 - way traffic [3] Bridge median
Parallel structure designation No parallel structur	exists. [N]
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift brid	dge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature	eature not a highway or railroad [N]
Minimum lateral underclearance on right $0 = N/A$	Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]	
Repair and Replacement Plans	
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of relocation of road. [32]	Bridge improvement cost125000Roadway improvement cost100000
	Length of structure improvement34.1 m = 111.9 ftTotal project cost450000
	Year of improvement cost estimate
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state
	Border bridge - structure number

Inspection and Sufficiency										
Structure status Posted for loa	ad [P]		praisal ratings - uctural	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]						
Condition ratings - superstructur	Serious [3]		praisal ratings - Idway alignment	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of corrrective action [3] Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]						
Condition ratings - substructure	Serious [3]		Appraisal ratings - leck geometry							
Condition ratings - deck	Satisfactory [6]									
Scour	Scour calculatio	Scour calculation/evaluation has not been made. [6]								
Channel and channel protection	Bank protection channel. [5]	Bank protection is being eroded. River control devices and/or embankment have major damage. Trees and rush restrict the channel. [5]								
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	y Basically intole	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of corrrective action [3] Status evaluation Structurally deficient [1]								
Pier or abutment protection		Sufficiency rating 17								
Culverts Not applicable. Used i	f structure is not a culv	vert. [N]								
Traffic safety features - railings										
Traffic safety features - transition	S	Inpected feature m	ure meets currently acceptable standards. [1]							
Traffic safety features - approach	Inpected feature m	ure meets currently acceptable standards. [1]								
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends Inpected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]										
Inspection date February 1992 [0292] Designated inspection frequency 24 Months										
Underwater inspection Mot needed [N] Underwater inspection date										
Fracture critical inspection	Every two years [Y24]		Fracture critical ins	spection date						
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]		Other special inspection date							