The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information									
[Illinois [17] Cook County [031]			Worth [83518] 0.2 M N IL 83		41-40-41 = 41.6 087-47-49 = -87.7				
000016032304066 Highway agency district 1			Owner State Highway A	Owner State Highway Agency [01] Maintenance responsibility		State Highway Aç	ency [01]		
Route 43 IL 43 (HARLEM AVE		Toll On free road [3] Features intersected CAL SAG C		IANNEL					
Design - main Steel [3] Design - approach Truss - Thru [10] Design - 4		approach	l continuous [4] ger/Multi-beam or girder [02]	Year built 1964	7.9 km = 1189.1 ı Year recor	nstructed 1999			
T. I. I. 100 d	10/08		000000000000000000000000000000000000000	Skew angle 0 Historical significance	Bridge is r	not eligible for the		150 5400	
Total length 130.1 m = 426.9 ft Length of maximum span 90.8 m = 297.9 ft Deck width, out-to-out 22.6 m = 74.2 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 15.8 m = 51.8 ft Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 15.5 m = 50.9 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 2.3 m = 7.5 ft Curb or sidewalk width - right 2.3 m = 7.5 ft									
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 15.5 m = 50.9 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left Deck structure type Concrete Cast-in-Place [1]) I(Curb or sidev	vaik widtii - rigiit	2.3 m = 7.5 ft	
Type of wearing surface Other [9]									
Deck protection									
Type of membrane/wea	ring surface								
Weight Limits									
Bypass, detour length $0.5 \text{ km} = 0.3 \text{ mi}$ Method to determine inventory rating Method to determine operating rating		g Allowable Stress(AS)) [2] Inve	entory rating 3	2.4 metric ton =	35.6 tons			
		g Allowable Stress(AS)) [2] Ope	erating rating 6	2.1 metric ton =	metric ton = 68.3 tons			
Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [5]				Des	sign Load MS 18	8 / HS 20 [5]			

Functional Details								
Average Daily Traffic 35300 Average daily tr	uck traffi 7 % Year 2009 Future average daily traffic 59000 Year 2030							
Road classification Other Principal Arterial (Urban)	[14] Lanes on structure 4 Approach roadway width 15.2 m = 49.9 ft							
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge median Closed median with non-mountable bar							
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]							
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control Navigation control on waterway (bridge permit required). [1]							
Navigation vertical clearanc 7.6 m = 24.9 ft	Navigation horizontal clearance 68.5 m = 224.7 ft							
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift brid	Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 5.31 m = 17.4 ft							
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Fe	eature not a highway or railroad [N]							
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A								
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]								
Dancis and Danlacement Dlane								
Repair and Replacement Plans Time of work to be performed.	Work dans by							
Type of work to be performed	Work done by							
	Bridge improvement cost 0 Roadway improvement cost 0							
	Length of structure improvement 0 m = 0.0 ft Total project cost 0							
	Year of improvement cost estimate							
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state							
	Border bridge - structure number							

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Open, no res	striction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]						
Condition ratings - superstructur	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present minimum criteria [6]						
Condition ratings - substructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings -	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]						
Condition ratings - deck	Satisfactory [6]	deck geometry							
Scour	Bridge foundations of	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for the assessed or calculated scour condition. [8]							
Channel and channel protection	Banks are protected required or are in a		devices such as spur dikes and embankment protection are not						
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Equal to present de	sirable criteria [8]	Status evaluation						
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating 54.7						
	if structure is not a culvert.								
Traffic safety features - railings		ected feature meets currently acce							
Traffic safety features - transition		ected feature meets currently acce							
Traffic safety features - approach		ected feature meets currently acce	ceptable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety features - approach									
Inspection date May 2008 [0		ted inspection frequency 24							
·	Unknown [Y60]	Underwater inspe							
·	Every two years [Y24]	Fracture critical in							
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	spection date						