HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							
Illinois [17] Iroquois County [075]		5]	Fountain Creek [27286] 1.1 MI S. CH 10 40-32-33 = 40.5 087-50-25 = -87.8				
38414209105 Highway agency district 3		cy district 3	Owner Town or Township Highway Agency [03] Maintenance responsibility Town or Township Highway Agency [03]				
Route 168	TR 16	68	Toll On free road [3] Features intersected WHISKEY CR				
Design - Main Steel [3] Truss - Thr	u [10]	Design - approach 0 Other	Kilometerpoint 342.7 km = 212.5 mi Year built 1929 Year reconstructed #Num! Skew angle 60 Structure Flared Historical significance Bridge is eligible for the NRHP. [2]				
Total length 23.5 m	= 77.1 ft Lei	ngth of maximum sp	pan 21.3 m = 69.9 ft Deck width, out-to-out 5.5 m = 18.0 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 5.1 m = 16.7 ft				
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 5 m = 16.4 ft		5 m = 16.4 ft	Curb or sidewalk width - left $0 \text{ m} = 0.0 \text{ ft}$ Curb or sidewalk width - right $0 \text{ m} = 0.0 \text{ ft}$				
Deck structure type	C	Concrete Cast-in-Pla	ace [1]				
Type of wearing surface Monolithic		Monolithic Concrete	olithic Concrete (concurrently placed with structural deck) [1]				
Deck protection							
Type of membrane/we	earing surface						
Weight Limits							
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rating			g Allowable Stress(AS) [2] Inventory rating 12.6 metric ton = 13.9 tons				
0.3 km = 0.2 mi Method to determine operating rating		nine operating rating	g Allowable Stress(AS) [2] Operating rating 23.4 metric ton = 25.7 tons				
	Bridge posting	30.0 - 39.9 % belo	low [1] Design Load				

Functional Details								
Average Daily Traffic 25 Average daily true	ck traffi % Year 2009 Future average daily traffic 26 Year 2032							
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure 1 Approach roadway width 7.3 m = 24.0 ft							
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic One lane bridge for 2 - way traffic [3] Bridge median							
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	exists. [N]							
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control							
Navigation vertical clearance 0 = N/A Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A								
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft								
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A								
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]								
Description of Description								
Repair and Replacement Plans								
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]							
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 147000 Roadway improvement cost 15000							
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 30.5 m = 100.1 ft Total project cost 221000							
	Year of improvement cost estimate							
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state							
	Border bridge - structure number							

Inspection and Sufficiency								
Structure status Posted for lo	ad [P]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]					
Condition ratings - superstructur	dition ratings - superstructur Satisfactory [6]		Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment Equal to present desirable criteria [8]					
Condition ratings - substructure	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of corrrective action [3]					
Condition ratings - deck	Fair [5]	deck geometry						
Scour	Bridge foundations	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for assessed or calculated scour condition. [5]						
Channel and channel protection		Bank is beginning to slump. River control devices and embankment protection have widespread minor damage. There is minor stream bed movement evident. Debris is restricting the channel slightly. [6]						
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	y Better than presen	nt minimum criteria [7]	Status evaluati	ion Functionally obsolete [2]				
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rati	ing 44.3				
Culverts Not applicable. Used	f structure is not a culver	t. [N]						
Traffic safety features - railings								
Traffic safety features - transition	s	npected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety features - approach	guardrail Ir	npected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends Inpected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]								
Inspection date September 2010 [0910] Designated inspection frequency 24 Months								
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspec	Underwater inspection date					
Fracture critical inspection	Every two years [Y24]	Fracture critical ins	spection date February 2	2012 [0212]				
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	Other special inspection date					