The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information					40-38-06 =	087-33-30 = -
Illinois [17] Iroquois County [075]		Stockland [72773] 2 MI NE OF STOCKLAND		40.635000	87.558333	
38553609277 Highway agency district 3			Owner Town or Townsh	nip Highway Agency [03] Maintenance	responsibility Town or Township	Highway Agency [03]
Route 434 TR 434			Toll On free	e road [3] Features intersed	TRIB TO SUGAR CREEK	
Design - Steel [3] main  1 Truss - Thru	[10]	Design - approach  0 Othe	r [00]	Skew angle 0 Structure F	constructed 1933 lared al significance is not determinable at t	his time. [4]
Total length 21.6 m = 70.9 ft Length of maximum span 21 m = 68.9 ft Deck width, out-to-out 4.3 m = 14.1 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 4.1 m = 13.5 ft						
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 4 m = 13.1 ft  Deck structure type Wood or Timber [8]			Out of Sidowalk with	dir ion	Sub of Sidewalk Width Fight	0 III 0.0 II
Type of wearing surface Bituminous [6]						
Deck protection						
Type of membrane/wearing surface						
Weight Limits						
Bypass, detour length  0 km = 0.0 mi  Method to determine inventory rating  Method to determine operating rating				Inventory rating Operating rating	8.1 metric ton = 8.9 tons 11.7 metric ton = 12.9 tons	
Bridge posting				Design Load		

Functional Details	
Average Daily Traffic 109 Average daily tr	uck traffi 0 % Year 1989 Future average daily traffic 100 Year 2012
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure 1 Approach roadway width 6.7 m = 22.0 ft
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic One lane bridge for 2 - way traffic [3]  Bridge median
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bri	Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 3.35 m = 11.0 ft
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature F	eature not a highway or railroad [N]
Minimum lateral underclearance on right $0 = N/A$	Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]	
Description I Description of Discription	
Repair and Replacement Plans	
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of relocation of road. [32]	Bridge improvement cost 95000 Roadway improvement cost 100000
or relevation or road. [62]	Length of structure improvement 25.6 m = 84.0 ft Total project cost 391000
	Year of improvement cost estimate
	Border bridge - state  Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state
	Border bridge - structure number

Inspection and Sufficiency						
Structure status Posted for lo	ad [P]	Appraisal rating structural	gs - Basically	intolerable requiring h	igh priority of replacement [2]	
Condition ratings - superstructur Poor [4]			Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment  Basically intolerable requiring high priority of corrrective action		nigh priority of corrrective action [3]	
Condition ratings - substructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratir deck geometr	195	intolerable requiring h	nigh priority of replacement [2]	
Condition ratings - deck	Condition ratings - deck Satisfactory [6]		У			
Scour	Scour calculation	evaluation has not been mad	e. [6]			
Channel and channel protection		g to slump. River control devic I movement evident. Debris is			espread minor damage. There is	
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Equal to present	desirable criteria [8]		Status evaluation	Structurally deficient [1]	
Pier or abutment protection				Sufficiency rating	16	
Culverts Not applicable. Used if structure is not a culvert. [N]						
Traffic safety features - railings						
Traffic safety features - transitions		npected feature meets curren				
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail		npected feature meets curren				
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail ends	Inpected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]				
Inspection date June 1990 [0	0690] Desi	gnated inspection frequency	24	Months		
Underwater inspection Not needed [N]		Underwate	Underwater inspection date			
Fracture critical inspection Every two years [Y.		Fracture cr	Fracture critical inspection date June 1990 [0690]			
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other spec	cial inspection date			

## HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information								
Illinois [17] Iroquois County [075]		Stockland [72773]	Stockland [72773] 2M E 1M N STOCKLAND			40-37-58 = 40.	6 087-33-45 = -87.5	
38556342232 Highway agency district 3		Owner Town or Towns	wner Town or Township Highway Agency [03] Maintenance responsibility		Town or Township	Highway Agency [03]		
Route 434	TR 434	4	Toll On fre	ee road [3]	eatures interse	cted MUD CREE	K	
Design - Prestressed of Box beam or [05]	concrete [5]	Design - approach  Othe	r [00]	Kilometerpoint 625 Year built 2008 Skew angle 0	5.9 km = 388.1 Year re	constructed #Nur	m!	
				Historical significance	Bridge i	s not eligible for th	ne NRHP. [5]	
Total length 35.4 m =	116.1 ft Lenç	gth of maximum sp	oan 18 m = 59.1 ft	Deck width, out-to-ou	ut 9.1 m = 29.9	ft Bridge road	lway width, curb-to-o	eurb 9.1 m = 29.9 ft
nventory Route, Total F	Horizontal Clearance	9.1 m = 29.9 ft	Curb or sidewalk w	width - left $0 \text{ m} = 0.0 \text{ f}$	ì	Curb or side	walk width - right	0  m = 0.0  ft
Deck structure type	Сс	oncrete Precast Pa	nels [2]					
Type of wearing surface Monolithic Concrete		(concurrently placed with st	ructural deck) [1]					
Deck protection Other [9]								
Type of membrane/wearing surface								
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rating		Allowable Stress(AS	S) [2] Inv	entory rating	32.4 metric ton =	= 35.6 tons		
0.6 km = 0.4 mi  Method to determine operating rating  Allowable Stress		Allowable Stress(AS	S) [2] Op-	erating rating	44.1 metric ton =	= 48.5 tons		
Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [5]			Des	sign Load MS	18 / HS 20 [5]			

Functional Details	
Average Daily Traffic 50 Average daily true	ck traffi % Year 2008 Future average daily traffic 52 Year 2032
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 9.1 m = 29.9 ft
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]  Bridge median
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	exists. [N]
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge	Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Fea	uture not a highway or railroad [N]
Minimum lateral underclearance on right $0 = N/A$	Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]	
Repair and Replacement Plans	
Type of work to be performed	Work done by
	Bridge improvement cost 0 Roadway improvement cost 0
	Length of structure improvement 0 m = 0.0 ft Total project cost 0
	Year of improvement cost estimate
	Border bridge - state  Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state
	Border bridge - structure number

Inspection and Sufficiency						
Structure status Open, no restriction [A]		Appraisal ratings - structural	Equal to present desirable criteria [8]			
Condition ratings - superstructur Excellent [9]		Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present desirable criteria [8]			
Condition ratings - substructure	Excellent [9]	Appraisal ratings -	Better than present minimum criteria [7]			
Condition ratings - deck	Excellent [9]	deck geometry				
Scour			sessed or calculated scour condition. [8]			
Channel and channel protection		ted or well vegetated. River control d a stable condition. [8]	devices such as spur dikes and embankment protection are not			
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	ey Equal to present	desirable criteria [8]	Status evaluation			
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating 100			
Culverts Not applicable. Used if structure is not a culvert. [N]						
Traffic safety features - railings		npected feature meets currently acce	eptable standards. [1]			
Traffic safety features - transition	ns [	npected feature meets currently acce	eptable standards. [1]			
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail Inpected		npected feature meets currently acce	eptable standards. [1]			
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail ends	npected feature meets currently acce	eptable standards. [1]			
Inspection date June 2010 [0	0610] Desi	gnated inspection frequency 48	Months			
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspec	ection date			
Fracture critical inspection	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical in:	nspection date			
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	pection date			