HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information									
Illinois [17] Marshall County [123]			Steuben [72585]	en [72585] 9.55 MI W OF ILL 89			41-01-34 = 41.0 089-25-13 = -89.4		
62000314852 Highway agency district 4		Owner State Highway A	vner State Highway Agency [01] Maintenance res		eresponsibility	State Highway Agency [01]			
Route 17	ILL 17		Toll On free road [3] Features intersected ILL RIVER						
Design - Steel continuous [4]		approach	continuous [4]	Kilometerpoint Year built 1939	15568.7 km = 965. Year re	2.6 mi constructed 1990			
3 Truss - Thru	[[10]	8 String	ger/Multi-beam or girder [02]	Skew angle 0 Historical significant	Structure F	lared s not eligible for the	ne NRHP. [5]		
Total length 479.5 m	= 1573.2 ft Len	gth of maximum sp	oan 115.2 m = 378.0 ft	Deck width, out-t	o-out 8.8 m = 28.9	ft Bridge road	way width, curb-to-c	urb 8 m = 26.2 ft	
Inventory Route, Total	Horizontal Clearance	7.9 m = 25.9 ft	Curb or sidewalk wi	idth - left 0 m = 0	0.0 ft	Curb or side	walk width - right	1.2 m = 3.9 ft	
Deck structure type	Co	oncrete Cast-in-Pla	ace [1]						
Type of wearing surface Monoli		onolithic Concrete (concurrently placed with structural deck) [1]							
Deck protection Epoxy Coal		ooxy Coated Reinfo	ed Reinforcing [1]						
Type of membrane/wea	aring surface								
Weight Limits									
Bypass, detour length	Method to determ	ine inventory rating	Allowable Stress(AS)) [2]	Inventory rating	42.3 metric ton =	46.5 tons		
2.3 km = 1.4 mi	Method to determine operating rating		Allowable Stress(AS)) [2]	Operating rating	70.2 metric ton =	77.2 tons		
	Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [5]				Design Load MS 18 / HS 20 [5]				

Functional Details								
Average Daily Traffic 6300 Average daily tr	uck traffi 9 % Year 2011 Future average daily traffic 7018 Year 2032							
Road classification Minor Arterial (Rural) [06]	Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 9.1 m = 29.9 ft							
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge median							
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]							
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control Navigation control on waterway (bridge permit required). [1]							
Navigation vertical clearanc 14.3 m = 46.9 ft	Navigation horizontal clearance 106.6 m = 349.8 ft							
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift brid	Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 4.98 m = 16.3 ft							
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Fe	eature not a highway or railroad [N]							
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A								
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]								
Danair and Danlagement Dlane								
Repair and Replacement Plans	Wards dans have Wards he dans have and set [4]							
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]							
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 8944000 Roadway improvement cost 895000							
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 479.5 m = 1573.2 ft Total project cost 13415000							
	Year of improvement cost estimate							
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state							
	Border bridge - structure number							

Inspection and Sufficiency										
Structure status Open, no res	striction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]							
Condition ratings - superstructur	lition ratings - superstructur Fair [5]		Appraisal ratings - Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being roadway alignment is [5]			peing left in place as				
Condition ratings - substructure	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings - deck geometry	Basically intol	e action [3]						
Condition ratings - deck	ondition ratings - deck Satisfactory [6]									
Scour	Bridge foundation	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for assessed or calculated scour condition. [5]								
Channel and channel protection	Bank protection i Banks and/or cha	Bank protection is in need of minor repairs. River control devices and embankment protection have a little minor damage. Banks and/or channel have minor amounts of drift. [7]								
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Equal to present	desirable criteria [8]	Sta	atus evaluation	Functionally obsolete	[2]				
Pier or abutment protection	Navigation prote	ction not required [1]	Su	fficiency rating	53.3					
Culverts Not applicable. Used if structure is not a culvert. [N]										
Traffic safety features - railings		npected feature meets currently acce	eature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]							
Traffic safety features - transition	ns	npected feature meets currently acce								
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail	npected feature meets currently acce								
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends										
Inspection date April 2010 [0410] Designated inspection frequency 24 Months										
Underwater inspection	Unknown [Y60]	Underwater inspe	ction date	July 2010 [0710]						
Fracture critical inspection	Every two years [Y24]	Fracture critical in	•	April 2010 [0410]						
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	ection date							