The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information								
Illinois [17] Cook County [031]			Chicago [14000] 373 W MADISON			41-52-54 = 41	.8 087-38-53 = -87.6	
000016603427326 Highway agency district 1		Owner City or Municipal Highway Agency [04] Maintenance responsibility		City or Municipal	Highway Agency [04]			
Route 1419 MADISON ST			Toll On free road [3] Features intersected S BR CHICA			GO RIV		
Design - main Steel [3] Design - approach Movable - Bascule [16] 3		approach	[3] ger/Multi-beam or girder [02]	Kilometerpoint 196 Year built 1922	63 km = 1217.1 m Year reco	ni onstructed 1994		
inovasie sa	30 4 10 [10]	o ounig	garmani bedin or girder (02)	Skew angle 0 Historical significance	Structure Fla Bridge is		for the NRHP. [3]	
Total length 86.3 m = 283.2 ft Length of maximum span 67.4 m = 221.1 ft Deck width, out-to-out 21.9 m = 71.9 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 11.6 m = 38.1 ft								
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 11.5 m = 37.7 ft			Curb or sidewalk wi	dth - left 4 m = 13.1	ft	Curb or side	walk width - right	4 m = 13.1 ft
Deck structure type								
Type of wearing surface Other [9]								
Deck protection								
Type of membrane/wea	ring surface							
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rating		Allowable Stress(AS) [2]		entory rating	32.4 metric ton =	35.6 tons		
0 km = 0.0 mi Method to determine operating rating		Allowable Stress(AS) [2]		erating rating	44.1 metric ton =	: 48.5 tons		
Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [5]			De	sign Load MS 1	8 / HS 20 [5]			

Functional Details								
Average Daily Traffic 9900 Average daily tr	uck traffi 12 % Year 2006 F	Future average daily traffic 13500 Year 2021						
Road classification Minor Arterial (Urban) [16]	Lanes on structure 4	Approach roadway width 14.9 m = 48.9 ft						
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 1 - way	traffic [1] Bridge median						
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]							
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0	Navigation control Navigation control on waterway (bridge permit required). [1]						
Navigation vertical clearanc 4.8 m = 15.7 ft	Navigation horizo	ontal clearance 41.1 m = 134.8 ft						
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bri	dge	Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft						
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A								
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]								
Danair and Danlagement Dlane								
Repair and Replacement Plans Tune of work to be performed.	Mark dana hu							
Type of work to be performed	Work done by							
	Bridge improvement cost 0	Roadway improvement cost 0						
	Length of structure improvement	0 m = 0.0 ft Total project cost 0						
	Year of improvement cost estimate							
	Border bridge - state	Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state						
	Border bridge - structure number							

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Open, no res	striction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Equal to present desirable of	riteria [8]					
Condition ratings - superstructur		Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Better than present minimun	n criteria [7]					
Condition ratings - substructure	Very Good [8]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requirin	g high priority of replacement [2]					
Condition ratings - deck	Very Good [8]	deck geometry							
Scour		Bridge foundations determined to be stable for the assessed or calculated scour condition. [8]							
Channel and channel protection		Banks are protected or well vegetated. River control devices such as spur dikes and embankment protection are not required or are in a stable condition. [8]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Superior to pres	ent desirable criteria [9]	Status evaluation	Functionally obsolete [2]					
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating	76					
Culverts Not applicable. Used if structure is not a culvert. [N]									
Traffic safety features - railings									
Traffic safety features - transition	ns	Not applicable or a safety feature is no	ble or a safety feature is not required. [N]						
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail	Not applicable or a safety feature is no	able or a safety feature is not required. [N]						
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends Not applicable or a safety feature is not required. [N]									
Inspection date September 2007 [0907] Designated inspection frequency 24 Months									
Underwater inspection Unknown [Y60] Underwater inspection date November 2007 [1107]									
·	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical ins							
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special inspection date							