## HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information									
Illinois [17] Cook County [031]		Glencoe	Glencoe [29652] 1 M S LAKE CO		E CO	42-08-29		42-08-29 = 42	.1 087-45-19 = -87.7
16061804279 Highway agency district 1		trict 1 Owner	Owner State Highway Agency [01]			Maintenance responsibility State Highway Agency [01]		jency [01]	
Route 3509	I RD	Toll On fre	e road [3]	Fe	atures interse	cted RAVINE No	0 2		
Design - Steel continumain  Stringer/Mult		oroach Other [00]		Year built Skew angle Historical si	1923	Structure F	constructed 197 lared s not eligible for		
Total length 39.2 m = 128.6 ft Length of maximum span 13.4 m = 44.0 ft Deck width, out-to-out 12.4 m = 40.7 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 9.1 m = 29.9 ft  Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 9.1 m = 29.9 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 1.5 m = 4.9 ft 1.5 m = 4.9 ft									
Deck structure type  Concrete Cast-in-Place [1]  Type of wearing surface  Monolithic Concrete (concurrently placed with structural deck) [1]									
Deck protection Epoxy Coated Reinfo		Coated Reinforcing [1]	rcing [1]						
Type of membrane/wea	ring surface								
Weight Limits									
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rating		ventory rating Allo	Allowable Stress(AS) [2]		Inve	ntory rating	35.1 metric ton	= 38.6 tons	
0.3 km = 0.2 mi  Method to determine operating rating		perating rating Allo	Allowable Stress(AS) [2]		Ope	rating rating	54 metric ton =	59.4 tons	
Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [5]					Desi	gn Load MS	18 / HS 20 [5]		

Functional Details								
Average Daily Traffic 3550 Average daily tr	uck traffi 6 % Year 2010 Future average daily traffic 3657 Year 2032							
Road classification Minor Arterial (Urban) [16]	Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 9.1 m = 29.9 ft							
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]  Bridge median							
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]							
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control							
Navigation vertical clearance 0 = N/A Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A								
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge  Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway  99.99 m = 328.1 ft								
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A  Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A								
Minimum Vertical Underclearance   0 = N/A   Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature   Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]								
Repair and Replacement Plans								
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]							
Bridge rehabilitation because of general structure deterioration or inadequate strength. [35]	Bridge improvement cost 377000 Roadway improvement cost 38000							
acterioration of madequate strength. [55]	Length of structure improvement 39.3 m = 128.9 ft Total project cost 566000							
	Year of improvement cost estimate							
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state							
	Border bridge - structure number							

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Open, no restriction [A]		Appraisal ratings - structural	Equal to present minimum criteria [6]						
Condition ratings - superstructur Satisfactory [6]		Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present desirable criteria [8]						
Condition ratings - substructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings -	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]						
Condition ratings - deck	Satisfactory [6]	deck geometry							
Scour	Countermeasure	Countermeasures have been installed to mitigate an existing problem with scour. [7]							
Channel and channel protection		Bank is beginning to slump. River control devices and embankment protection have widespread minor damage. There is minor stream bed movement evident. Debris is restricting the channel slightly. [6]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Equal to present	desirable criteria [8]	Status evaluation						
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating 82.4						
Culverts Not applicable. Used if structure is not a culvert. [N]									
Traffic safety features - railings									
Traffic safety features - transition	ns	npected feature meets currently acce	eptable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail	npected feature meets currently acce	eptable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends  Inpected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]									
Inspection date October 2010 [1010] Designated inspection frequency 24 Months									
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspe	ction date						
Fracture critical inspection	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical in	spection date						
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special inspection date							