The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information								
Illinois [17] Cook County [031]			Lemont [42808] 0.4 M N 107TH ST				41-42-06 = 41.	7 087-56-23 = -87.9
000016042504132 Highway agency dis		y district 1	Owner State Highway Agency [01]		Maintenance	responsibility	State Highway Ag	ency [01]
Route 83	IL 83	(KINGERY HW	Toll On fre	ee road [3]	Features intersec	ted S & S AND I	&M CANALS	
Design - Steel [3] main		Design - approach Steel continuous [4] 18 Mixed types [20]		Kilometerpoint 5 Year built 1934	164.9 km = 3202.2 Year rec	2 mi onstructed 1997		
1 Truss - Thru [10] 18 Mixed			Trypes [20]	Skew angle 0 Historical significant				
Total length 344.5 m =	1130.3 ft Len	gth of maximum sp	an 76.5 m = 251.0 ft	Deck width, out-to	-out 17.5 m = 57.4	ft Bridge road	way width, curb-to-o	curb 13.4 m = 44.0 ft
nventory Route, Total Ho	orizontal Clearance	13.4 m = 44.0 ft	Curb or sidewalk w	idth - left 0 m = 0.	0 ft	Curb or sidev	walk width - right	1.5 m = 4.9 ft
Deck structure type	Co	oncrete Cast-in-Pla	ce [1]					
Type of wearing surface	M	onolithic Concrete ((concurrently placed with str	uctural deck) [1]				
Deck protection Epoxy Coated Reinfo		orcing [1]						
Type of membrane/weari	ng surface							
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length	Method to determi	ine inventory rating	Allowable Stress(AS) [2]		nventory rating	32.4 metric ton =	35.6 tons	
.6 km = 1.0 mi Method to determin		ine operating rating	operating rating Allowable Stress(AS)		Operating rating	50.4 metric ton =	55.4 tons	
Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [5]]	Design Load MS	18 / HS 20 [5]		

Functional Details							
Average Daily Traffic 34000 Average daily tr	uck traffi 5 % Year 2009 Future average dail	y traffic 46035 Year 2021					
Road classification Other Principal Arterial (Urban)	[14] Lanes on structure 4	Approach roadway width 14 m = 45.9 ft					
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]	Bridge median					
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]						
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation c	Navigation control on waterway (bridge permit required). [1]					
Navigation vertical clearanc 11.5 m = 37.7 ft	Navigation horizontal clearance 48.	7 m = 159.8 ft					
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift brid	dge Minimum verti	ical clearance over bridge roadway 4.47 m = 14.7 ft					
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Fe	eature not a highway or railroad [N]						
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A							
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance refer	rence feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]					
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]							
Danair and Danis compant Diana							
Repair and Replacement Plans Tune of work to be performed.	Work dans by						
Type of work to be performed	Work done by						
	Bridge improvement cost 0 Roa	ndway improvement cost 0					
	Length of structure improvement 0 m = 0.0 ft	Total project cost 0					
	Year of improvement cost estimate						
	Border bridge - state	Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state					
	Border bridge - structure number						

Inspection and Suff	ficiency								
Structure status	Open, no res	striction [A]		ppraisal ratings - tructural	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]				
Condition ratings - s	uperstructur Fair [5]			ppraisal ratings - padway alignment	Equal to present desirable criteria [8]				
Condition ratings - substructure Good [7]		Good [7]		Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]				
Condition ratings - deck Good [7]		Good [7]	0	deck geometry					
Scour		Bridge foundati	ons determined to	be stable for the ass	essed or calc	ulated scour condition	n. [8]		
Channel and channel protection		Bank protection Banks and/or c	Bank protection is in need of minor repairs. River control devices and embankment protection have a little minor damage. Banks and/or channel have minor amounts of drift. [7]						
Appraisal ratings - water adequacy		Equal to presen	nt desirable criteria	[8]		Status evaluation	Functionally obsolete [2]		
Pier or abutment protection						Sufficiency rating	50		
Culverts Not appli	cable. Used	if structure is not a culv	vert. [N]						
Traffic safety features - railings Inpected features				ture meets currently acceptable standards. [1]					
Traffic safety featur	Traffic safety features - transitions Inpected fea			ature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]					
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail Inpected fea			Inpected feature	ature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]					
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends Inpected			Inpected feature	d feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]					
Inspection date August 2009 [0809] Designated inspec				ction frequency 24 Months					
Underwater inspection Not needed [N]			Underwater inspection date						
Fracture critical inspection Every two		Every two years [Y24]	years [Y24] Fracture critical		spection date August 2009 [0809]		809]		
Other special inspe	Other special inspection Not no			Other special insp	ection date				