HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							41-41-31 =	085-58-33 = -
Indiana [18] Elkhart County [039]			Elkhart [20728] 00.70 N OF SR 12		0		41.691944	85.975833
2000202 Highway agency district 2		Owner County Highway	vner County Highway Agency [02] Maintenance responsibility			County Highway A	agency [02]	
Route 0	N MAIN ST		Toll On fre	Toll On free road [3] Features intersected ST. JOSEP		H RIVER		
Design - Concrete [1 and a second sec		Design - approach Other	[00]	Kilometerpoint 0Year built 1927Skew angle 27Historical significance	Structure F	constructed 198 lared s eligible for the I		
Total length 90.8 m =	= 297.9 ft Len	gth of maximum spa	28 m = 91.9 ft	Deck width, out-to-	23.9 m = 78.	4 ft Bridge roa	dway width, curb-to-c	18.3 m = 60.0 ft
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 18.3 m = 60.0 ft			Curb or sidewalk wi	Curb or sidewalk width - left 2.3 m = 7.5 ft Curb or side			ewalk width - right	2.3 m = 7.5 ft
Deck structure type	Co	oncrete Cast-in-Plac	ce [1]					
Type of wearing surface	ce Bit	uminous [6]						
Deck protection								
Type of membrane/we	aring surface							
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length	gth Method to determine inventory rating		No rating analysis pe	erformed [5] In	ventory rating	32.4 metric ton	= 35.6 tons	
0.2 km = 0.1 mi	Method to determi	ne operating rating	No rating analysis pe	erformed [5] O	Operating rating 40		= 44.6 tons	
Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [5]					esign Load			

Functional Details									
Average Daily Traffic 16220 Average daily tr	uck traffi 9 % Year 2011 Future average daily traffic 27930 Year 2031								
Road classification Other Principal Arterial (Urban)	[14] Lanes on structure 5 Approach roadway width 18.3 m = 60.0 ft								
Type of service on bridge Highway-pedestrian [5]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge median								
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]								
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control								
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A								
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft									
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A									
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]									
Repair and Replacement Plans									
Type of work to be performed	Work done by								
	Bridge improvement cost 0 Roadway improvement cost 0								
	Length of structure improvement 0 m = 0.0 ft Total project cost 0								
	Year of improvement cost estimate								
Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state									
	Border bridge - structure number								

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Open, no res	Appraisal ratings - structural	Somewhat better that is [5]	an minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as						
Condition ratings - superstructur	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present de	sirable criteria [8]					
Condition ratings - substructure Fair [5]		Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]						
Condition ratings - deck	Not Applicable [N]	deck geometry							
Scour	Bridge foundations determine	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for assessed or calculated scour condition. [5]							
Channel and channel protection		Banks are protected or well vegetated. River control devices such as spur dikes and embankment protection are not required or are in a stable condition. [8]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Superior to present desirable	e criteria [9]	Status ev	valuation Functionally obsolete [2]					
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiend	cy rating 83.2					
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]								
Traffic safety features - railings	ture meets currently acce								
Traffic safety features - transition	ture meets currently acceptable standards. [1]								
Traffic safety features - approach	Ů.	ture meets currently acceptable standards. [1]							
Traffic safety features - approach									
Inspection date November 2010 [1110] Designated inspection frequency 24 Months									
Underwater inspection Unknown [Y60]		Underwater inspe		ust 2011 [0811]					
·	Not needed [N]								
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	ection date						