HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

2012 Inventory

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format. Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information								39-22-54 =	085-59-54 = -
Indiana [18] Johnson County [081]		Unknow	Unknown [00000] 0 km ATTER		JRY FISH & WIL		39.381667	85.998333	
60270Highway agency district5			Owner	Owner Other State Agencies [21] Maintenance responsibility			State Highway Agency [01]		
Route 0 PISGAH ROAD				Toll On free road [3] Features intersected SUGAR CREEK			EEK		
Design - mainSteel [3]Design - approach2Truss - Thru [10]0		Other [00]	her [00] Kilometerpoint Year built 1885 Skew angle 0 Historical signific		Structure Flared				
Total length 73.8 m = 242.1 ft Length of maximum span 36.3 m = 119.1 ft Deck width, out-to-out 4.8 m = 15.7 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 4.1 m = 13.5 ft									
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 4.1 m = 13.5 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 0 m = 0.0 ft Curb or sidewalk width - right 0 m = 0.0 ft 0 m = 0.0 ft								0 m = 0.0 ft	
Deck structure type Concrete Cast-in-Place [1]									
Type of wearing surface Monolithic Concrete (e		ncrete (concurren	oncurrently placed with structural deck) [1]						
Deck protection									
Type of membrane/wearing surface									
Weight Limits									
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rating			y rating Alle	Allowable Stress(AS) [2]		Inventory rating	5.4 metric ton =	5.9 tons	
1 km = 0.6 mi Method to determine operating rating			g rating Alle	Allowable Stress(AS) [2]		Operating rating	5.4 metric ton =	5.9 tons	
Bridge posting 10.0 - 19.9 % below			% below [3]			Design Load	·		

Functional Details								
Average Daily Traffic 102 Average daily tr	uck traffi 0 % Year 2004 Future average daily traffic 101 Year 2028							
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure 1 Approach roadway width 4.6 m = 15.1 ft							
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic One lane bridge for 2 - way traffic [3] Bridge median							
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure exists. [N]								
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control							
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A							
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift brid	dge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 4.88 m = 16.0 ft							
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Minimum lateral underclearance on right $0 = N/A$	Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A							
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]							
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]								
Repair and Replacement Plans								
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]							
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost510000Roadway improvement cost71000							
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement91.4 m = 299.9 ftTotal project cost581000							
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2004							
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state							
	Border bridge - structure number							

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Posted for lo	ad [P]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]						
Condition ratings - superstructur	Good [7]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment							
Condition ratings - substructure	Good [7]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]						
Condition ratings - deck	Satisfactory [6]	deck geometry							
Scour	Bridge foundations required. [4]	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for assessed or calculated scour conditions; field review indicates action is required. [4]							
Channel and channel protection	Bank protection is b channel. [5]	Bank protection is being eroded. River control devices and/or embankment have major damage. Trees and rush restrict the channel. [5]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	y Meets minimum tole	erable limits to be left in place as is	[4] Status evaluation						
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating 26						
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert.	[N]							
Traffic safety features - railings	Inp	ected feature meets currently accept	otable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety features - transition	ns Inp	ected feature meets currently accept	otable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail	ected feature meets currently accept	otable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends									
Inspection date April 2011 [0411] Designated inspection frequency 24 Months									
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspec	tion date						
Fracture critical inspection	Every year [Y12]	Fracture critical ins	pection date April 2011 [0411]						
Other special inspection	Every year [Y12]	Other special inspe	ection date April 2011 [0411]						