MICHIGAN BRIDGE INVENTORY: THE SURVEY SAMPLE

Submitted to

Margaret Barondess, Staff Archaeologist Project Planning Division Michigan Department of Transportation 425 W. Ottawa Lansing, Michigan 48909

Submitted by

Charlene K. Roise, Principal Investigator Hess, Roise and Company 405 Cedar Avenue South, Suite 200 Minneapolis, Minnesota 55454

Clayton B. Fraser, Principal Investigator Fraserdesign 1269 Cleveland Avenue Loveland, Colorado 80537

June 1995

MICHIGAN STRUCTURE INVENTORY CODING INSTRUCTIONS Coded on Card: 3 3 digits Col. 49-51

The codes are for the main spans. The first digit of the three-digit code indicates type of design and kind of material of the main supporting members and the second and third digits indicate type of design and/or construction.

Special Michigan sub-types shown indented with "(" convert to Federal code immediately above it and are for optional use by local governments.

1	Concrete	00	Other
2	Concrete continuous	01	Slab
3	Steel, simple or		(71 Slab Timber - Composite
	Cant.	02	Multi-Stringer, W or I-Beam, Non-composite
4	Steel continuous		(32 Multi-Stringer, W or I-Beam, Composite
5	Prestress concrete		(42 Multi-Stringer, W or I-Beam, Encased
5	Prestress concrete		(52 Multi-Stringer, Plate Girder, Non-composite
	continuous		(62 Multi-Stringer, I-Beam, Jack Arch Floor
7	Timber		(72 Multi-Stringer, W or I-Beam, Timber Floor
8	Masonry		(82 Multi-Stringer, Plate Girder, Composite
9	Aluminum, W.I. or		(92 Multi-Stringer, Plate Girder, Encased
	C.I.	03	
0	Other		(33 Girder & Floorbeam - Composite Girder
			(21 Girder - Thru (Include conc. Camelbacks)
		04	Tee Beam or inverted channel
			Box Beam or Girders - Multiple
		06	Box Beam or Girders - Single or spread (segmental)
	*	07	Frame - Rigid or other (culvert)
			Orthotopic
		09	Truss - Deck
		10	Truss - Thru & Pony (343-Thru; 344-Pony)
		11	Arch - Deck, Filled Spandrel
			(22 Arch - Deck, Open Spandrel
		12	Arch - Thru
		13	Suspension
		14	
		15	Movable - Lift
		1500 150	Movable - Bascule
		17	
			Tunnel
		19	Culvert (Box, Pipe or Pipe Arch)
		20	
		21	Segmental Box Girder
F	cample:	Co	
	mber Through Truss	710	
	asonry Culvert	819	
	eel Suspension	313	
	ontinuous Concrete Multiple		
	Box Girders	20:	
	mple Span Concrete Slab	10	
	unnel in rock	01	

TABLE OF CONTENTS

MICHIGAN BRIDGE INVENTORY: THE SURVEY SAMPLE
CRITERION A EVALUATION
THE EVOLUTION OF MICHIGAN'S ROADS AND BRIDGES: AN
INTRODUCTION
WAYNE COUNTY: AN EXEMPLARY ROAD COMMISSION 17
EARLY HIGHWAY DEPARTMENT BRIDGES
TOURISM'S INFLUENCE ON ROADS AND BRIDGES
BRIDGES OF THE DEPRESSION
BOMBERS AWAY: BRIDGE PROJECTS RELATED TO DEFENSE
INDUSTRIES
MICHIGAN'S INNOVATIVE EXPRESSWAYS
MICHORIA DI MICOVATIVE EMIRESSWATS
CRITERION C EVALUATION
CONCRETE GIRDER (103, 203, 104, 204, 104, 205, 121, 221)
CONCRETE SLAB (101, 201)
CONCRETE RIGID FRAME (107, 207)
CONCRETE ARCH (111, 211)
CONCRETE BOX CULVERT (119, 219)
PRESTRESSED CONCRETE BEAM
STEEL STRINGER (302, 402, 332, 432, 342, 442, 363, 462, 372, 472) 7
STEEL GIRDER (303, 403, 321, 421, 333, 433, 352, 452, 382, 482, 392, 492) 79
STEEL PONY AND THROUGH TRUSS 310, 410, 343, 443, 344, 444) 84
STEEL DECK TRUSS AND DECK ARCH (309, 409, 322)
STEEL RIGID FRAME (307, 407)
STEEL MOVABLE SPAN (316, 417)
STEEL PIPE CULVERT (319) 9
TIMBER BRIDGES (702, 710, 771)
STONE MASONRY ARCH (811)
SOURCES CITED
APPENDIX A: THE SURVEY SAMPLE
APPENDIX B: BRIDGES ELIMINATED FROM THE SURVEY SAMPLE
APPENDIX C: MAIN STRUCTURE TYPE CODES

MICHIGAN BRIDGE INVENTORY: CRITERION C EVALUATION

Comprehensive inventory of historic bridges is, by definition, weighted heavily toward National Register Criterion C. In this process, groups of similarly configured structures are evaluated and compared for relative significance from engineering and construction standpoints. With sometimes hundreds of similarly built bridges in a structural category, a premium is placed on "superlative" features of the bridges (e.g., the oldest, longest, first, best preserved) to differentiate between those that are historic and those that are merely old.

Based on an amalgam of research in the literature and analysis of computer-generated data, the consultants have arrived at the following guidelines, both general and categorical, that will be employed to produce a list of structures that will be included in the field survey sample:

GENERAL GUIDELINES

- Include all bridges through 1955 in the general inventory. The year 1955 was determined by MDOT and SHPO prior to the inception of this project. Historically, the date is appropriate because it marks the beginning of the U.S. interstate highway system. Administratively, it is appropriate because it will give both MDOT and SHPO adequate coverage of bridges that will continue to come within the National Register's 50-year scope of eligibility.
- Include all structures with greater than 20 feet of total length in the general inventory. A minimum overall structure length of 20 feet constitutes the federal definition of "bridge". Except in circumstances of extremely early construction or unusual structural type, the federal bridge definition will capture eligible bridges.
- Include all structurally intact structures through 1915, 1920 or 1925 (depending upon type) in the field survey sample. State standard bridge plans were available for some bridge types as early as 1906, with the passage of the State Reward Road Law. The Michigan State Highway Department issued standards for additional structural types in subsequent years (e.g., Warren pony trusses and plate girders in 1907-1908, straight concrete through girders in 1913-1914, arched concrete through girders in 1921-1922). In the 1913-1914 the state legislature passed the Trunk Line Highway Act, requiring MSHD to design and build all bridges with greater than 30-foot spans on trunk line routes. Since state plans required higher quality components and were engineered for heavier loads than many earlier township bridges, their construction cost was greater. For this reason, the counties and townships embraced the state standards inconsistently. By selecting all structures built before set dates, the field survey sample includes both the earliest examples of MSHD design and noteworthy pre-MSHD bridges built from independently derived designs.

CATEGORICAL GUIDELINES

- 0 In some cases, selection of the survey sample after the early set periods requires additional winnowing to include the significant later bridges. Span length can indicate potentially significant structures. Given the number of bridges similar in design but with various maximum spans, the longest spans are closer to the outer extremes of engineering or economic feasibility and, as such, are more likely to be technologically significant. Changes in maximum span over time also reflect technological evolution, such as the impact of deeper and longer I-beams. Numbers of spans can likewise relate to design development and financial considerations. Multiple spans often mark major crossings as well, frequently giving these bridges historical as well as engineering significance. Furthermore, it is important to recognize the influence of the American Association of State Highway Officials, established in 1914, and the oversight of the U.S. Bureau of Roads, which reviewed any federally funded projects after such funding became available in 1916. Technological benchmarks and changes in state standard plans have been merged with an analysis of span length and number of extant bridges to create the categorical guidelines outlined in the discussions of structural types.
- Some bridges are sufficiently rare or significant that all structurally intact examples of their number, regardless of date or size, should be included in the field survey sample. Concrete rigid frame bridges, for example, evolved relatively late in the milieu of bridge design and have never been common in Michigan. Despite their recent development, they are technologically important and historically significant for their role in the early freeway/parkway movement, and all should therefore be included in the field survey sample. Concrete arches and steel trusses rank among the most significant both historically and technologically among Michigan's bridge types. All that have retained their structural integrity should be included from these groups as well.

Although Michigan's bridges display a remarkable diversity of type and scale, they can be grouped into a series of broad categories, as defined by the Structure Inventory and Appraisal lists maintained by the DOT. Following is a discussion of these structural types, with brief profiles of Michigan examples of each, and discussions regarding their disposition in the field survey sample.

CONCRETE GIRDER (103, 203, 104, 204, 104, 205, 121, 221)

The first reinforced concrete girder bridge was built in France in 1893. Spans of up to 85 feet appeared by 1904 in Europe, the leader in this design. The earliest documented concrete girder bridge in Michigan was the Ottawa Street Bridge over the Muskegon River in Muskegon

County, a single-span structure built in 1900. Concrete bridges — and particularly concrete girder spans — were just beginning to find favor among Michigan county engineers in the early 1910s when the Michigan State Highway Department developed its first standard designs for concrete girders. MSHD designed standards for concrete through girders in the 1913-1914 biennium, delineating simple, straight-sided structures in five-foot increments between 30 and 50 feet. The first MSHD concrete girder was a 50-foot span over the Paint River in Iron County. The oldest remaining girders — both built in 1916 — are located on county roads in Delta and Mecosta counties. 116

Citing the advantages of their maximum under-bridge clearance, MSHD favored through girders for bridges up to 50 feet in span through the late 1910s and early 1920s. The Department had in 1913-1914 engineered a short-span concrete deck girder, which it distinguished from its through girder configuration by calling a T-beam, but it was used sparingly in the late 1910s. "The opportunities for using this economical type of structure are few," MSHD stated in its 1917-1918 Biennial Report, "due to the lack of headroom prevalent on Michigan stream crossings." The first MSHD deck girder bridge was built during the 1921-1922 biennium, the same year that MSHD developed its standard for the curved-chord through girder. "These designs have curved top chords and bottom chord brackets," MSHD stated, making them suitable for relatively long-span applications." The first curved chord girder was a 90-foot span built in 1922 over the Raisin River at Tecumseh. This was followed in the 1920s by a series of curved girders used in single-span or multiple-span configurations.

The drawback of through girders was their inflexibility. With the structural beams above the roadway, they could not be practically widened to accommodate increased traffic. As a result, through girders were superseded by deck girders and stringers when MSHD issued its new standards in 1929-1930. They fell rapidly from favor among the counties after that. Concrete deck girders were built routinely through the 1930s, but these too were overshadowed by steel superstructures in the 1940s. It was not until the development of the interstate highway system in the 1950s and 1960s that concrete girders — this time in curved, prestressed deck configurations — found renewed favor with MSHD.

Concrete through girder (121, 221) MSHD developed its first standard through girder designs in the 1913-1914 biennium. All pre-1920 through girders that have

^{115 5} SHDBR (1913-1914), 100-101.

¹¹⁶ C.A. Melick, "Summary of the Work of the Bridge Department," Michigan Roads and Pavements 22 (1 January 1925): 30-31.

^{117 17} SHDBR (1917-1918), 30-31.

^{118 9} SHDBR (1921-1922), 13-14.

¹¹⁹ Ibid., 14; Melick, "Summary of the Work," 30.

girder designs in the 1913-1914 biennium. All pre-1920 through girders that have retained integrity should therefore be included for their representation either of pre-MSHD construction or of formative MSHD design. After this, through girders with spans in excess of 50 feet almost all featured arched beams; long-span straight girders were a relative rarity. Moreover, arched through girders — an uncommon structural type indigenous to Michigan — are considered sufficiently rare on a national scale that all should be included in the field survey sample. Multiple-span examples of through girders of either type are also rare.

All pre-1955 through girders:	88
Date range: 1900 - 1936	
Span range: 25 feet - 90 feet	
All arched through girders:	40
All pre-1920 straight through girders:	9
Straight girders - 3+ spans or 50-foot span 1920 - 1930:	4
Straight girders - 3+ spans or 50-foot span 1931 - 1940:	0
Straight girders - 3+ spans or 50-foot span 1941 - 1955:	0
Anomalous through girders:	1
TOTAL RECOMMENDED FOR SURVEY	54

Concrete deck girder / T-beam (103, 203, 104, 204, 105, 205): MSHD developed its first standard through girder designs in the 1913-1914 biennium, and in the 1910s built a small number of prototypical girder spans. All pre-1920 bridges that have retained integrity should therefore be included for their representation either of pre-MSHD construction or of formative MSHD design. After this, deck girders with spans in excess of 50 feet were a relative rarity, as were multiple-span examples.

All pre-1955 deck girders and T-beams:	430
Date range: 1900 - 1955	
Span range: 16 feet - 81 feet	
All pre-1920 deck girders and T-beams:	25
Deck girders - 3+ spans or 50-foot span 1920 - 1930:	8
Deck girders - 3+ spans or 50-foot span 1931 - 1940:	3
Deck girders - 3+ spans or 50-foot span 1941 - 1955: Anomalous deck girders:	19 1
TOTAL RECOMMENDED FOR SURVEY	56

Concrete Through Girders

Struct No	County	Туре	Superstructure	Year	Main spans	Span length
ALLE012	ALLEGAN	121	concrete through girder, arched	1924	1	48.00
ALLE019	ALLEGAN	121	concrete through girder, arched	1926	1	48.00
ALLE020	ALLEGAN	121	concrete through girder, arched	1926	1	48.00
Service Contract Cont	ARENAC	121	concrete through girder, arched	1925	1	90.00
BERR030	BERRIEN	121	concrete through girder, arched	1928	1	57.00
CALH011	CALHOUN	121	concrete through girder, arched	1923	1	50.00
	CALHOUN	121	concrete through girder, arched	1925	1	60.00
CHEB010	CHEBOYGAN	121	concrete through girder, arched	1924	1	85.00
	DELTA	121	concrete through girder, straight	1919	1	28.00
DICK009	DICKINSON	121	concrete through girder, arched	1927	1	58.00
	EATON	121	concrete through girder, straight	1916	1	50.00
The state of the s	EMMET	121	concrete through girder, arched	1923	1	50.00
GLAD006	GLADWIN	121	concrete through girder, arched	1924	1	60.00
GOGE012	GOGEBIC	121	concrete through girder, arched	1928	1	60.00
GOGE017	GOGEBIC	121	concrete through girder, straight	1922	3	50.00
GOGE043	GOGEBIC	121	concrete through girder, straight	1919	1	33.00
GOGE046	GOGEBIC	121	concrete through girder, arched	1930	1	38.00
GRAT011	GRATIOT	121	concrete through girder, arched	1927	1	55.00
GRAT014	GRATIOT	121	concrete through girder, arched	1925	1	85.00
	GRATIOT	121	concrete through girder, arched	1925	1	88.00
GRAT013	GRATIOT	121	concrete through girder, straight (non	1930	1	40.00
HURO037	HURON	121	concrete through girder, straight	1915	1	39.00
INGH031	INGHAM	121	concrete through girder, arched	1935	1	33.00
IONI018	IONIA	121	concrete through girder, arched	1928	1	36.00
IONI020	IONIA	121	concrete through girder, arched	1927	1	36.00
IRON012	IRON	121	concrete through girder, straight	1918	1	50.00
IRON018	IRON	121	concrete through girder, arched	1924	1	60.00
	JACKSON	121	concrete through girder, arched	1923	1m HA	48.00
LAKE007		121	concrete through girder, straight	1900		39.00
CONTROL OF STREET	LAPEER	121	concrete through girder, arched	1928		70.0
MASO005		121	concrete through girder, arched	1924		75.0
MASO006		121	concrete through girder, arched	1925		75.0
	MENOMINEE		concrete through girder, arched	1928		58.0
	MENOMINEE		concrete through girder, arched	1935		88.0
Million of the party of the	MIDLAND	121	concrete through girder, arched	1927		90.0
MIDL037	The second of the second second second second second	121	concrete through girder, arched	1927		90.0
AND STREET, ST	MONROE	121	concrete through girder	1900		30.0
	NEWAYGO	121	concrete through girder, arched	1928	St. Committee of the Co	48.0
OCEA016		121	concrete through girder, straight	1919		46.0
OTTA027	The second secon	121	concrete through girder, straight	1923		58.0
A TO A COMPANY OF THE PARTY OF	OTTAWA	121	concrete through girder, arched	1923		88.0
PRES017	PRESQUE ISL		concrete through girder, arched	1935		46.0
SAGI028	SAGINAW	121	concrete through girder, arched	1920		45.0

Concrete Through Girders

Struct No	County	Туре	Superstructure	Year	Main spans	Span length
SAGI029	SAGINAW	121	concrete through girder, straight	1920	3	45.00
SAGI105	SAGINAW	121	concrete through girder, straight	1920	3	45.00
SANI008	SANILAC	121	concrete through girder, arched	1924	1	75.00
	SCHOOLCRA	121	concrete through girder, arched	1928	1	90.00
	SCHOOLCRA	121	concrete through girder, arched	1929	1	58.00
	SHIAWASSEE	121	concrete through girder, arched	1925	1	37.00
	ST. CLAIR	121	concrete through girder, arched	1925	1	84.00
	ST. CLAIR	121	concrete through girder	1916	1	32.00
STCL055	ST. CLAIR	121	concrete through girder, arched	1928	2	70.00
STJO004	ST. JOSEPH	121	concrete through girder, arched	1922	3	90.00
WASH024	WASHTENA	121	concrete through girder, arched	1936	1	35.00

Concrete Deck Girder / T-beams

Struct No	County	Туре	Superstructure	Year	Main spans	Span length
AREN030	ARENAC	104	concrete T-beam	1917	1	26.00
BARR007		104	concrete T-beam	1918	1	23.00
BAY003		204	concrete cantilevered T-beam	1927	3	90.00
BENZ003		104	concrete T-beam	1929	1	70.00
BERR029	The state of the s	104	concrete T-beam	1928	3	45.00
BRAN031		104	concrete T-beam	1900	1	26.00
CASS015	CASS	104	concrete T-beam	1919	5	35.00
DELT018	DELTA	104	concrete T-beam, with arched girders	1941	3	34.00
EATO014	EATON	104	concrete T-beam	1910	1	30.00
EATO014	EATON	104	concrete T-beam	1915	1	19.00
EATO048	EATON	204	concrete continuous T-beam	1948	3	68.00
GENEIII	GENESEE	204	concrete continuous T-beam	1928	3	27.00
HILL026	HILLSDALE	104	concrete T-beam	1910	1	30.00
HOUG008		103	concrete deck girder	1900	1	49.00
INGH001	INGHAM	103	concrete T-beam	1918	1	29.00
INGH001	INGHAM	104	concrete arched cantilever	1952	3	54.00
INGH013	INGHAM	105	concrete girder	1918	1	20.00
And the second of the second of the second	IRON	205	concrete continuous girder	1955	3	23.00
IRON015	IRON	205	concrete continuous box beam	1955	3	23.00
IRON016	JACKSON	204	concrete cantilevered T-beam	1954	3	58.00
JACK014	JACKSON	204	concrete continuous T-beam	1915	2	31.00
JACK065		104	concrete T-beam	1910	1	30.00
KENT027		105	concrete girder	1915	4	25.00
KENT047	The second section	204	concrete continuous T-beam	1953	3	60.00
LENA008	Committee Commit	204	concrete continuous T-beam	1955	3	49.00
LENA023	The second section of the second seco	104	concrete T-beam	1910	1	28.00
LENA042		104	concrete T-beam	1910	1	26.00
LIVI024	LIVINGSTON			1919	1	23.00
LIV1024	2 MENOMINEE	104		1929		38.00
MENOO	5 MENOMINEE	104	concrete T-beam	1900	6	54.00
	9 MONROE	204		1920	3	79.00
CONTRACTOR OF CO	3 MONROE	204		1955	4	70.00
	2 MONROE	204		1954		78.00
THE CONTRACTOR SPECIAL CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR	3 MONROE	204		1955	4	81.0
	MONROE MONROE	204		1954		78.0
	MONROE MONROE	204		1954	4	56.0
	1 MUSKEGON			1900	1	31.0
	6 MUSKEGON) 1	31.0
	2 OAKLAND	204		193		33.0
	2 OAKLAND	104		193		32.0
OSCE01		104		192		55.0
the state of the s	The state of the second of the	10		190	0 1	16.0
OSCE02	The second secon	10		190		23.0

Concrete Deck Girder / T-beams

Struct No	County	Туре	Superstructure	Year	Main spans	Span length
OSCE042	OSCEOLA	105	concrete girder	1900	1	40.00
SAGI036	SAGINAW	104	concrete T-beam	1916	1	22.00
STCL035	ST. CLAIR	104	concrete T-beam	1916	1	38.00
WASH006	WASHTENA	104	concrete T-beam	1954	3	43.00
WASH007	WASHTENA	204	concrete continuous T-beam	1954	4	49.00
WASH008	WASHTENA	204	concrete continuous T-beam	1955	4	65.00
WASH009	WASHTENA	204	concrete continuous T-beam, arched	1944	3	56.00
WASH010	WASHTENA	204	concrete continuous T-beam	1944	3	63.00
WAYN007	WAYNE	204	concrete continuous T-beam	1943	3	58.00
WAYN039	WAYNE	104	concrete T-beam	1932	3	52.00
WAYN112	WAYNE	104	concrete T-beam	1931	3	41.00
WAYN117	WAYNE	104	concrete arched cantilever		3	51.00
WAYN228	WAYNE	104	concrete T-beam	1920	1	28.00

With its deck and superstructure poured integrally in a single flat sheet over steel reinforcing, the simple slab was the most rudimentary of the concrete bridge types. A few concrete slabs were built in Michigan by the counties or by railroads and larger cities after the turn of the century, but their use was not widespread. The Michigan State Highway Department similarly eschewed slab construction when it developed its early bridge standards, preferring concrete box and arch culverts for the shortest spans and concrete through girders for spans in excess of 30 feet. Beginning in the early 1920s, the Highway Department had begun to build slab bridges on a limited basis. Outside of urban areas, however, they were used sparingly in Michigan until the development of new standards in the 1930s.

Concrete slab (101, 201): MSHD developed its first standard slab design around 1920. All pre-1925 bridges that have retained integrity should therefore be included for their representation either of pre-MSHD construction or of formative MSHD design. After this, slabs with spans in excess of 50 feet were relatively rare, as were those with four or more spans.

All pre-1955 slabs:	138
Date range: 1900 - 1955	
Span range: 13 feet - 54 feet	
All pre-1925 slabs:	19
Slabs - 4+ spans or 45-foot span 1926 - 1940:	3
Slabs - 4+ spans or 45-foot span 1941 - 1955:	3
Slabs - noteworthy architectural treatment	1
TOTAL RECOMMENDED FOR SURVEY	26

Concrete Slabs

Struct No	County	Туре	Superstructure	Year	Main spans	Span length
CLAR031	CLARE	101	concrete slab	1922	1	20.00
GENE007	GENESEE	101	concrete slab	1941	4	38.00
GRAT050	GRATIOT	101	concrete slab	1930	1	50.00
IRON019	IRON	101	concrete slab	1900	1	25.00
IRON020	IRON	101	concrete slab	1900	1	25.00
KENT032	KENT	201	concrete continuous slab	1900	2	20.00
LENA028	LENAWEE	101	concrete slab	1924	1	30.00
LIVI018	LIVINGSTON	101	concrete slab	1922	1	20.00
MONR087	MONROE	101	concrete slab	1900	1	24.00
MONT020	MONTCALM	101	concrete slab	1910	1	32.00
MUSK031	MUSKEGON	101	concrete slab	1900	1	16.00
MUSK033	MUSKEGON	101	concrete slab	1900	1	24.00
MUSK038	MUSKEGON	101	concrete slab	1920	1	24.00
MUSK046	MUSKEGON	101	concrete slab	1900	1	30.00
OAKL034	OAKLAND	101	concrete slab, with architectural treat	1940	1	24.00
OSCE041	OSCEOLA	101	concrete slab	1900	1	17.00
STCL044	ST. CLAIR	101	concrete slab	1919	1	16.00
STCL058	ST. CLAIR	101	concrete slab	1920	1	20.00
STCL096	ST. CLAIR	101	concrete slab	1920	1	37.00
STCL097	ST. CLAIR	101	concrete slab	1920	1	27.00
STCL101	ST. CLAIR	101	concrete slab	1955	1	54.00
VANB027	VAN BUREN	101	concrete slab	1910	1	26.00
WAYN084	WAYNE	201	concrete continuous slab	1921	2	32.00
WAYN131	WAYNE	201	concrete continuous slab	1947	4	26.00
WAYN178	WAYNE	101	concrete slab	1935	1	52.00
WAYN186	WAYNE	201	concrete continuous slab	1947	3	28.00

Developed by Westchester County, New York, in the early 1920s, the concrete rigid frame bridge became especially popular for federal relief projects during the 1930s. Both picturesque and practical, the flat or elliptically arched designs appealed to proponents of urban beautification. The Michigan State Highway Department referred to the rigid frame it had developed as a "new type of concrete structure" in its 1935-1936 Biennial Report. "While one of the most modern developments in bridge design, this type has passed the experimental stage and in some locations offers marked advantages over the simple span type." Built in 1935, the first MSHD-designed rigid frame bridge was a two-span structure over Otter Creek south of Monroe. The Highway Department used concrete rigid frame bridges on an occasional basis through the 1930s and early 1940s, particularly at urban grade separations. (All but four of the concrete rigid frame bridges from the general inventory are located within Wayne County.) After World War II, the concrete rigid frame was superseded by prestressed concrete beams for use on most bridges and overpasses.

Concrete rigid frame (107, 207): Because of their relative rarity and because some were the product of federal relief programs in the 1930s, all concrete rigid frame bridges that have retained integrity should be surveyed.

All pre-1955 concrete rigid frames: 21
Date range: 1913 - 1953
Span range: 28 feet - 77 feet

TOTAL RECOMMENDED FOR SURVEY 20

¹⁵ SHDBR (1935-1936), 57.

Concrete Rigid Frames

Struct No	County	Туре	Superstructure	Year	Main spans	Span length
ALGE008	ALGER	107	concrete rigid frame	1939	1	66.00
DICK001	DICKINSON	107	concrete rigid frame	1935	1	36.00
OAKL038	OAKLAND	107	concrete rigid frame	1936	1olona	33.00
OAKL042	OAKLAND	107	concrete rigid frame	1938	1	30.00
WAYN027	WAYNE	207	concrete rigid frame	1943	2	47.00
WAYN067	WAYNE	207	concrete rigid frame	1942	2	38.00
WAYN068	WAYNE	107	concrete rigid frame	1942	1	77.00
WAYN069	WAYNE	107	concrete rigid frame	1942	1	77.00
WAYN070	WAYNE	107	concrete rigid frame	1942	1	77.00
WAYN071	WAYNE	107	concrete rigid frame	1942	1	77.00
WAYN073	WAYNE	107	concrete rigid frame	1933	1	54.00
WAYN074	WAYNE	107	concrete rigid frame	1933	1	60.00
WAYN079	WAYNE	107	concrete rigid frame	1946	1	29.00
WAYN091	WAYNE	207	concrete rigid frame	1947	2	66.00
WAYN095	WAYNE	107	concrete rigid frame	1951	1	66.00
WAYN096	WAYNE	207	concrete rigid frame	1953	2	67.00
WAYN098	WAYNE	107	concrete rigid frame	1953	1	67.00
WAYN164	WAYNE	107	concrete rigid frame	1947	1	40.00
WAYN173	WAYNE	107	concrete rigid frame	1913	1	28.00
WAYN232	WAYNE	107	concrete rigid frame	1948	1	73.00

America's earliest reinforced concrete bridge, the Alvord Lake Bridge in San Francisco (1889), employed a filled spandrel arch configuration. Designed by early engineers as a durable and aesthetic alternative to steel truss construction, concrete arches were used primarily in major urban street crossings in Michigan before 1905. Two of the most earliest and notable examples of this were the West Michigan Avenue Bridge (1903) and the McCamly Street Bridge (1904), both in Battle Creek. Designed by city engineers and bridge contractors such as the Illinois Bridge Company, these medium-span structures typically featured elliptical, relatively low, arch profiles with solid sidewalls, earthen fill and architectural embellishment of the guardrails and spandrels.

Engineers for the Michigan State Highway Department began designing concrete arches as early as 1908, but, unlike its practice on other structural types, the agency did not develop a standard concrete arch design. The bearing and superstructural conditions were too site-specific, MSHD rationalized, making standardization of concrete arches impractical. Instead, the Highway Department used special-design concrete arches up to 80 feet in length "wherever it is possible to secure sufficiently hard foundations, and also where these is clearance enough for the water to flow freely without the arch choking the stream too much," according to its 1917-1918 Biennial Report. MSHD first designed medium-length filled spandrel arches for county and township road crossings around 1910; it built its first state arch — a 50-foot span over Paint Creek in Kent County — in 1914. With steel scarce and expensive during World War I, the Highway Department used filled spandrel arches extensively in the late 1910s, sometimes combining them to form multiple-span bridges.

In the 1921-1922 biennium, MSHD began using open spandrel arches for relatively short-span (100-foot) crossings. These aesthetically appealing structures were soon being employed for such monumental urban spans as the Belle Isle Bridge (1923) in Detroit and the Fulton Street Bridge (1928) in Grand Rapids. Whether reinforced or unreinforced, concrete arches consume a prodigious amount of materials and labor in their construction. Eventually, they were displaced as a structural type in Michigan by more efficient concrete and steel beam bridge configurations, and their use dwindled rapidly in the late 1920s.

Concrete arch (111, 211): Because of their relative rarity, because some were the product of federal relief programs in the 1930s, because they represent the longest-span concrete bridges and because many are monumental urban structures with architectural treatment, all concrete arch bridges that have retained integrity should be included in the survey.

^{121 7} SHDBR (1917-1918), 32.

¹²² Melick, "Summary of the Work," 30-31.

All pre-1955 concrete arches:	89
Date range: 1900 - 1955	
Span range: 6 feet - 32 feet	
TOTAL RECOMMENDED FOR SURVEY	72

Michigan Bridge Survey Sample - 66

Concrete Arch

Struct No	County	Туре	Superstructure	Year	Main spans	Span length
BERRO03	BERRIEN	111	concrete filled spandrel arch	1899	1	28.00
		111	concrete filled spandrel arch	1916	1	40.00
		111	concrete filled spandrel arch	1925	2	58.00
		111	concrete filled spandrel arch	1916	1	82.00
		111	concrete filled spandrel arch	1915	1	24.00
		111	concrete filled spandrel arch	1921	1	45.00
		111	concrete filled spandrel arch	1894	1	36.00
		111	concrete filled spandrel arch	1930	1	68.00
		111	concrete filled spandrel arch	1926	3	72.00
	GENESEE	111	concrete filled spandrel arch	1919	1	70.00
	GENESEE	111	concrete filled spandrel arch	1917	2	74.00
	GENESEE	111	concrete filled spandrel arch	1924	1	60.00
	GENESEE	111	concrete filled spandrel arch	1921	2	86.00
	GENESEE	111	concrete filled spandrel arch	1920	1	30.00
	GENESEE	111	concrete filled spandrel arch	1920	1	26.00
GENEIUS GENEI12	GENESEE	111	concrete filled spandrel arch	1924	1	60.00
GENEI12 GENEI13	GENESEE	111	concrete filled spandrel arch	1922	3	66.00
The second secon	GLADWIN	111	concrete filled spandrel arch	1919	2	50.00
HILLO33	HILLSDALE	111	concrete filled spandrel arch	1918	1	31.00
STATE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PA	HOUGHTON	111	concrete filled spandrel arch	1916	1	54.00
INGH059	INGHAM	111	concrete filled spandrel arch	1924	3	73.00
IONIO48	IONIA	111	concrete filled spandrel arch	1952	2	91.00
IRON003	IRON	111	concrete filled spandrel arch	1918	1	90.00
	IRON	111	concrete filled spandrel arch	1914	1	98.00
IRON010	ISABELLA	111	concrete filled spandrel arch	1920	1	50.0
ISAB031 ISAB053	ISABELLA	111	concrete filled spandrel arch	1910	1	88.0
ISAB053	ISABELLA	111	concrete filled spandrel arch	1910	1	70.0
JACK060	JACKSON	111	concrete arch with stone veneer	1925		8.0
	JACKSON	111	concrete filled spandrel arch	1903		39.0
the state of the s		111	concrete filled spandrel arch	1913		29.0
	KALKASKA	111	concrete filled spandrel arch	1916		60.0
KENT005		111	concrete filled spandrel arch	1920		30.0
KENT055	KEWEENAW	111	concrete filled spandrel arch	1928		31.0
Section 1970 A Control Co.	LENAWEE	1111	concrete filled spandrel arch	1919		74.0
	MACKINAC	1111	-	1919		60.0
	MACOMB	111		1935		72.0
	MACOMB	111		1935		60.0
	MACOMB	1111		1910		21.0
Figure Company And Section Company (Company Company Co	MACOMB	1111		1909		57.0
THE RESERVE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE	MACOMB	111		1910		40.0
		111		1910		25.0
the second second second second second	MACOMB			1930		24.0
	MENOMINEE MENOMINEE			1920		50.

Concrete Arch

Struct No	County	Туре	Superstructure	Year	Main spans	Span length
MIDL044	MIDLAND	111	concrete filled spandrel arch	1900	1	28.00
MIDL047	MIDLAND	111	concrete filled spandrel arch	1951	1	45.00
MONR011	MONROE	111	concrete filled spandrel arch	1910	1	16.00
MONR012	MONROE	111	concrete filled spandrel arch	1910	1	16.00
MONR013	MONROE	111	concrete filled spandrel arch	1920	1	67.00
MONR014	MONROE	111	concrete filled spandrel arch	1910	1	16.00
MONR098	MONROE	111	concrete filled spandrel arch	1930	1	20.00
OAKL024	OAKLAND	111	concrete filled spandrel arch	1930	1	28.00
OAKL052	OAKLAND	111	concrete filled spandrel arch	1900	1	28.00
OSCE016	OSCEOLA	111	concrete filled spandrel arch	1939	1	39.00
PRES009	PRESQUE ISL	111	concrete filled spandrel arch	1920	1	50.00
STCL043	ST. CLAIR	211	concrete filled spandrel arch	1919	2	71.00
STCL088	ST. CLAIR	111	concrete filled spandrel arch	1920	1	20.00
STCL091	ST. CLAIR	111	concrete filled spandrel arch	1920	1	20.00
STJO006	ST. JOSEPH	211	concrete filled spandrel arch	1925	2	28.00
STJO010	ST. JOSEPH	211	concrete filled spandrel arch	1920	5	41.00.
STJO042	ST.JOSEPH	111	concrete filled spandrel arch	DEST OF BUILDING	4 16 18	in the last
WASH004	WASHTENA	111	concrete filled spandrel arch	1912	1	105.00
WASH050	WASHTENA	111	concrete filled spandrel arch	1916	2	106.00
WAYN029	WAYNE	111	concrete filled spandrel arch	1931	1	31.00
WAYN085	WAYNE	111	concrete filled spandrel arch	1919	1	60.00
WAYN101	WAYNE	111	concrete filled spandrel arch	1930	1	63.00
WAYN122	WAYNE	111	concrete filled spandrel arch	1930	1	40.00
WAYN156	WAYNE	111	concrete filled spandrel arch	1922	14	35.00
WAYN163	WAYNE	111	concrete filled spandrel arch	1917	1	35.00
WAYN166	WAYNE	111	concrete filled spandrel arch	1913	1	23.00
WAYN168	WAYNE	111	concrete filled spandrel arch	1909	1	40.00
WAYN231	WAYNE	111	concrete filled spandrel arch	1925	1	77.00
WEXF019	WEXFORD	111	concrete filled spandrel arch	1900	1	24.00

Concrete culverts were built by the tens of thousands throughout Michigan in the first two decades of the twentieth century. Featuring box, arch and pipe configurations, they were used at the myriad drainage ditch crossings on the state's county and township road systems. Concrete arch culverts were among the first standards developed by the Michigan State Highway Department in 1905-1906. "The concrete arch culvert is, to a very great extent, replacing the old form of timber structure," MSHD stated in 1908. "While somewhat more costly in the first instance, yet it is, if rightly constructed, a permanent work, and as such, will in a few years, effect a considerable saving in road expenditure."

Updated periodically, the box culvert design was a MSHD staple for decades. Culverts received extensive use throughout the state during the 1920s and 1930s. The overwhelming majority of these small-scale structures employed single-barrel configurations, with spans less than ten feet. Few of these culverts have the requisite 20-foot overall length to be considered bridges by today's definition, though. As a result, only a few culverts are classified as bridges in the state's Structure Inventory and Appraisal. In reality, they constitute only a minute sampling of Michigan's most common drainage structure.

Concrete box culvert (119, 219): None of Michigan's extant box culverts display features (e.g., early construction, span length, span number, architectural detailing) that elevate them from their peers either historically of technologically. Therefore none of these intrinsically undistinguished structures should be included in the survey.

All pre-1955 concrete box culverts:

Date range: 1900 - 1955

Span range: 6 feet - 32 feet

TOTAL RECOMMENDED FOR SURVEY

0

78

^{125 2} SHDBR (1907-1908), 210.

The first prestressed concrete bridge in the United States was 160-foot span erected in Philadelphia in 1948. MDOT Structure Inventory and Appraisal files report several examples of prestressed stringers and box beams as early as 1900 (the default value in the construction date field), but the early construction date for these structures is the result of data entry error rather than accurate reporting. The erroneous figures are typically the result of one of two errors: (1) mis-classification of structure type; or (2) replacement of original, early superstructure with a more recent span. Correction of these errors has resulted in the elimination of virtually all of the prestressed beam bridges from the field survey sample. If, during the course of field research, some extant pre-1955 examples of prestressed concrete beams are revealed, they will be included in the field survey sample.

Steel stringer bridges are the most rudimentary type of all-metal spans. Comprised of parallel rows of relatively shallow I-beams, steel stringer bridges began to replace short-span trusses for county roadway use in the late 1890s. Although built in abundance, few of these earliest I-beam spans remain in place in Michigan. After the turn of the century, small-scale steel stringer bridges were built in profusion throughout the state. A steel stringer span with an integrally poured concrete floor (called a "jack arch" deck) was among the earliest standard bridge types delineated by the Michigan State Highway Department in 1905-1906. During the next biennium, the department developed a standard steel stringer design for bridges under 30 feet in length. Since that time, the Highway Department has maintained the steel stringer as a standard design, updating the drawings and extending the spans lengths periodically to reflect changes in the industry.

Steel beam bridges have enjoyed inconstant popularity with Highway Department engineers, depending largely on the price of steel at the time. "The use of steel beams should be discontinued altogether during war time," the department complained after World War I. 126 As prices fell, MSHD resumed its reliance on rolled steel beams for bridge construction in the 1920s. Perhaps more than other bridge types, steel stringer technology has depended closely on the capacity of rolling mills that provided the steel. Limited by the mills' output, early MSHD standards for I-beam bridges ranged from 8 feet to 45 feet. "When this type of structure was first put in use," MSHD stated in 1930, "rolled sections of sufficient strength were not available for spans greater than about forty-five feet. It was necessary, therefore, to use relatively shallow fabricated deck girders for spans greater than forty-five feet. Rather recently, however, steel mills have improved their methods and are able to furnish rolled sections which, on proper spacing, are suitable for spans up to sixty feet."127 MSHD was able to increase these span lengths to 75 feet when the mills began to roll 33- to 36-inch deep beams in 1928. This longer span made steel bridges more economical than concrete, hence greatly increasing the number of long-span steel beam bridges built in Michigan in the 1930s. The trend has continued to the present. Today steel stringers represent by far the most populous structural type among Michigan's highway bridges.

Steel stringer (302, 402, 332, 432, 342, 442, 372, 472): Since MSHD developed its first standard stringer designs in the 1905-1906 biennium, this structural type has enjoyed widespread use. All pre-1915 stringers that have retained integrity should be

¹ SHDBR (1905-1906), 105.

^{125 2} SHDBR (1907-1908), 208.

^{126 7} SHDBR (1917-1918), Appendix C.

^{127 13} SHDBR (1929-1930), 53.

included for their representation either of pre-MSHD construction or of formative MSHD design. Between 1915 and 1928, steel stringer bridges were limited in their span lengths by the comparably shallow rolled beams produced by American steel mills. After 1928, the mills began rolling deeper I- and WF-section beams, permitting progressively longer spans for steel beam bridges. The longest examples of these should be included in the field survey sample. Multiple-span examples of steel stringer bridges are relatively rare and should also be included.

All pre-1955 steel stringers:	,658
Date range: 1882 - 1954	
Span range: 11 feet - 142 feet	
All pre-1915 steel stringers:	69
Steel stringers - 5+ spans or 45-foot span 1916 - 1928:	26
Steel stringers - 5+ spans or 75-foot span 1929 - 1940:	14
Steel stringers - 5+ spans or 75-foot span 1941 - 1955:	34
TOTAL RECOMMENDED FOR SURVEY	143

Steel stringer with jack-arch deck (362, 462): MSHD developed its first standard stringer designs with jack-arch concrete decks in the 1905-1906 biennium. As a subtype of the venerable steel stringer bridge, its utility was relatively short-lived. All pre-1915 examples of this intrinsically short-span structural type that have retained integrity should be included for their representation either of pre-MSHD construction or of formative MSHD design.

All pre-1955 steel stringers with jack-arch decks: Date range: 1900 - 1954	200
Span range: 16 feet - 44 feet	
All pre-1915 steel stringers with jack-arch decks:	45
TOTAL RECOMMENDED FOR SURVEY	45

Struct No	County	Туре	Superstructure	Year	Main spans	Span length
ALLE002	ALLEGAN	302	steel I-beam stringer	1950	6	60.00
ALLE003	ALLEGAN	302	steel I-beam stringer	1943	6	45.00
ALLE041	ALLEGAN	302	steel I-beam stringer	1938	5	42.00
And the second of the second	ARENAC	372	steel I-beam stringer	1907	1	25.00
	BARAGA	332	steel composite stringer	1928	1	67.00
	BARRY	372	steel I-beam stringer	1900	1	23.00
	BENZIE	302	steel I-beam stringer	1900	2	30.00
BENZ006	BENZIE	302	steel I-beam stringer	1906	2	30.00
	BERRIEN	302	steel I-beam stringer	1949	8	50.00
BERR016	BERRIEN	332	steel composite stringer	1955	3	79.00
BERR017	BERRIEN	332	steel composite stringer	1955	3	79.00
BERR046	BERRIEN	302	steel I-beam stringer	1954	6	66.00
BERR052	BERRIEN	302	steel I-beam stringer	1947	5	60.00
BRAN022	BRANCH	302	steel I-beam stringer	1900	1	34.00
BRAN037	BRANCH	302	steel I-beam stringer	1920	1	50.00
CHEB024	CHEBOYGAN	372	steel I-beam stringer	1900	3	14.00
CHIP018	CHIPPEWA	402	steel continuous I-beam stringer	1931	7	60.00
	CLARE	332	steel composite stringer	1951	3	86.00
CLAR008	CLARE	302	steel I-beam stringer	1920	1	47.00
DELT017	DELTA	302	steel I-beam stringer	1900	1	23.00
DELT026	DELTA	372	steel I-beam stringer	1900	2	15.00
EATO033	EATON	302	steel I-beam stringer	1908	1	26.00
EATO044	EATON	302	steel I-beam stringer	1913	1	29.00
GENE004	GENESEE	332	steel composite stringer	1954	6	64.00
GENE098	GENESEE	302	steel I-beam stringer	1900	1	46.00
GLAD001	GLADWIN	302	steel I-beam stringer	1939	6	50.00
GLAD009	GLADWIN	332	steel composite stringer	1928	1	60.00
GOGE011	GOGEBIC	302	steel I-beam stringer	1939 1928	5	45.00
GOGE022	GOGEBIC	302	steel I-beam stringer	1928	2	49.00
GRAT048	GRATIOT	372	steel I-beam stringer	1900	1	19.00
HILL017	HILLSDALE	302	steel I-beam stringer	1900	1	35.00
HILL027	HILLSDALE	302	steel I-beam stringer	1898	1	24.00
HILL028	HILLSDALE	302	steel I-beam stringer	1896	1	24.00
HILL032	HILLSDALE	302	steel I-beam stringer	1910	1	20.0
HOUG012	HOUGHTON	302	steel I-beam stringer	1914	1	37.0
HOUG016	HOUGHTON	302	steel I-beam stringer	1900	1	34.0
HOUG018	HOUGHTON	302	steel I-beam stringer	1900		34.0
HURO045	HURON	302	steel I-beam stringer	1910		44.0
HURO052	HURON	302	steel I-beam stringer	1910		38.0
HURO056	HURON	302	steel I-beam stringer	1910		19.0
HURO057	HURON	302	steel I-beam stringer	1910		19.0
HURO077	HURON	302	steel I-beam stringer	1925		52.0
HURO084	HURON	302	steel I-beam stringer	1925	1	57.0

Struct No	County	Туре	Superstructure	Year	Main spans	Span length
INGH002	INGHAM	332	steel composite stringer	1952	15	85.00
INGH003	INGHAM	332	steel composite stringer	1950	9	92.00
INGH020	INGHAM	302	steel I-beam stringer	1941	5	56.00
INGH060	INGHAM	302	steel I-beam stringer	1946	6	81.00
IONI036	IONIA	302	steel I-beam stringer	1900	1	52.00
JACK008	JACKSON	302	steel I-beam stringer	1926	1	50.00
JACK018	JACKSON	402	steel continuous I-beam stringer	1949	3	81.00
JACK024	JACKSON	342	steel I-beam stringer, concrete encase	1927	4	54.00
JACK048	JACKSON	372	steel I-beam stringer	1910	1	34.00
JACK049	JACKSON	342	steel I-beam stringer, concrete encase	1908	1	22.00
JACK050	JACKSON	302	steel I-beam stringer	1900	1	38.00
KALA004	KALAMAZOO	302	steel I-beam stringer	1951	3	83.00
KALK004	KALKASKA	372	steel I-beam stringer	1910	1	25.00
KENT013	KENT	342	steel I-beam stringer, concrete encase	1925	1	50.00
KENT040	KENT	302	steel I-beam stringer	1932	2	75.00
	LAKE	302	steel I-beam stringer	1904	1	25.00
LAKE015	LAKE	302	steel I-beam stringer	1909	1	28.00
LAKE017	LAKE	302	steel I-beam stringer	1909	1	36.00
LAPE039	LAPEER	302	steel I-beam stringer	1900	1	25.00
LENA032	LENAWEE	342	steel I-beam stringer, concrete encase	1940	5	23.00
LENA034	LENAWEE	302	steel I-beam stringer	1910	1	35.00
LIVI017	LIVINGSTON	302	steel I-beam stringer	1910	1	29.00
LIVI025	LIVINGSTON	302	steel I-beam stringer	1900	1	21.00
LUCE002	LUCE	402	steel continuous I-beam stringer	1900	3	12.00
LUCE007	LUCE	302	steel I-beam stringer	1900	1	27.00
and the second s	MACKINAC	302	steel I-beam stringer	1938	5	56.00
MACO004	MACOMB	302	steel I-beam stringer	1939	5	50.00
MACO013		332	steel composite stringer	1950		69.00
MACO031	MACOMB	332	steel composite stringer	1951	5	73.00
	MACOMB	302	steel I-beam stringer	1928		48.00
	MACOMB	332	steel composite stringer	1953	3	87.00
		302	steel I-beam stringer	1938		75.00
	MARQUETTE		steel I-beam stringer	1928	1	72.00
	MARQUETTE	302	steel I-beam stringer	1926		57.00
		302	steel I-beam stringer	1927	1	67.00
MASO001		302	steel I-beam stringer	1934	5	31.00
MASO019		302	steel I-beam stringer	1900		30.00
	MECOSTA	302	steel I-beam stringer with concrete sp	1927		50.00
	MECOSTA	302	steel I-beam stringer	1900		30.00
	MECOSTA	302	steel I-beam stringer	1896	1000	29.00
	MENOMINEE	332	steel composite stringer	1952		70.00
	MIDLAND	302	steel I-beam stringer		6	60.00
MIDL006	MIDLAND	302	steel I-beam stringer	1910	1	28.00

Struct No	County	Туре	Superstructure	Year	Main spans	Span length
MONR021	MONROE	402	steel continuous I-beam stringer	1925	3	46.00
MONR026		302	steel I-beam stringer	1900	1	16.00
MONR028		332		1927	1	48.00
MONR038	the first of the formation of the formation and	332	steel composite stringer	1938	7	54.00
MONR067		302	steel I-beam stringer	1900	1	29.00
MONR076		302	steel I-beam stringer	1927	1	49.00
A.C. a. C. a. C. de de la company de la Comp	The second secon	302	steel I-beam stringer	1910	1	24.00
the same of the first of the same of the s	Charles of the State of the Sta	342	steel I-beam stringer, concrete encase	1914	2	32.00
SACCES AND ASSESSMENT OF THE PARTY OF THE PA	THE RESIDENCE OF THE PARTY OF T	302	steel I-beam stringer	1927	6	40.00
OAKL026	AND REAL PROPERTY OF THE PROPE	302	steel I-beam stringer	1900	1	30.00
OAKL027	Description of the property of the control of the c	302	steel I-beam stringer	1900	1	30.00
OAKL033	OAKLAND	342	steel I-beam stringer, concrete encase	1929	5	57.00
Compared a community of the compared to the community of	OGEMAW	302	steel I-beam stringer	1953	3	82.00
OSCE036	OSCEOLA	372	steel I beam stringer	1902	3	24.00
OSCE037	OSCEOLA	372	steel I-beam stringer	1902	3	24.00
OTTA003	OTTAWA	302	steel I-beam stringer	1949	5	52.00
OTTA021	OTTAWA	302	steel I-beam stringer	1932	2	88.00
OTTA031	OTTAWA	302	steel I-beam stringer	1912	4	16.00
OTTA032	OTTAWA	302	steel I-beam stringer	1912	3	16.00
OTTA033	OTTAWA	302	steel I-beam stringer	1948	9	54.00
OTTA042	OTTAWA	302	steel I-beam stringer	1930	12	11.00
SAGI015	SAGINAW	332	steel composite stringer	1927	3	142.00
SAGI027	SAGINAW	302	steel I-beam stringer	1913	1	30.00
SAGI045	SAGINAW	302	steel I-beam stringer	1927	5	65.00
SAGI049	SAGINAW	302	steel I-beam stringer	1912	1	28.00
SAGI054	SAGINAW	302	steel I-beam stringer	1926	2	47.00
SAGI063	SAGINAW	302	steel I-beam stringer	1910	2	13.00
SAGI070	SAGINAW	302	steel I-beam stringer	1895	1	48.0
SAGI084	SAGINAW	302	steel I-beam stringer	1890	1	24.0
SHIA008	SHIAWASSEE	332	steel composite stringer	1900	1	27.0
SHIA029	SHIAWASSEE	302	steel I-beam stringer	1901		28.0
STCL071	ST. CLAIR	302	steel I-beam stringer	1920		47.0
STCL072	ST. CLAIR	302	steel I-beam stringer	1928		57.0
STCL074	ST. CLAIR	372	steel I-beam stringer, timber floor	1912		23.0
STCL084	ST. CLAIR	302	steel I-beam stringer	1914		10.0
STJO020	ST. JOSEPH	302	steel I-beam stringer	1911		42.0
STJO034	ST. JOSEPH	302	steel I-beam stringer	1901		21.0
STJO036	ST. JOSEPH	302	steel I-beam stringer	1909		30.0
STJO038	ST. JOSEPH	302	steel I-beam stringer	1910		27.0
TUSC009		302		1941	-1.20	60.0
VANB014		302	Company of the Compan	1928		55.0
Section of the Party of the Par	VAN BUREN	302		1882	Contract of the Contract of th	50.0
WASH051	WASHTENA	342	steel I-beam stringer, concrete encase	1928	10	44.0

Struct No	County	Туре	Superstructure	Year	Main spans	Span length
WAYN003	WAYNE	332	steel composite stringer	1943	7	79.00
WAYN004	WAYNE	332	steel composite stringer	1943	7	80.00
WAYN009	WAYNE	302	steel I-beam stringer	1948	5	64.00
WAYN024	WAYNE	432	steel continuous composite stringer	1955	5	62.00
WAYN125	WAYNE	302	steel I-beam stringer	1900	1	22.0
WAYN152	WAYNE	402	steel continuous I-beam stringer	1917	2	19.00
WAYN153	WAYNE	302	steel I-beam stringer	1928	7	52.00
WAYN167	WAYNE	342	steel I-beam stringer, concrete encase	1901	1	34.00
WAYN190	WAYNE	332	steel composite stringer	1953	5	92.00
WAYN191	WAYNE	332	steel composite stringer	1953	6	70.00
WAYN195	WAYNE	302	steel I-beam stringer	1954	4	83.00
WAYN202	WAYNE	332	steel composite stringer	1953	6	57.00
WAYN205	WAYNE	332	steel composite stringer	1953	6	57.00
WAYN238	WAYNE	432	steel continuous composite stringer	1953	2	81.00

sone to pund I bele

Steel Stringers with jack-arch decks

Struct No	County	Туре	Superstructure	Year	Main spans	Span length
AREN032	ARENAC	362	steel I-beam stringer	1913	2	30.00
BERR035	BERRIEN	362	steel I-beam stringer	1906	1	23.00
	CALHOUN	362	steel I-beam stringer	1900	1	26.00
CALH034	CALHOUN	362	steel I-beam stringer	1905	1	23.00
DELT021	DELTA	362	steel I-beam stringer	1900	1	35.00
EATO023	EATON	362	steel I-beam stringer	1910	1	18.00
EATO024	EATON	362	steel I-beam stringer	1913	1	23.00
EATO030	EATON	362	steel I-beam stringer	1914	1	44.00
EATO039	EATON	362	steel I-beam stringer	1903	1	25.00
EATO041	EATON	362	steel I-beam stringer	1903	1	19.00
EATO042	EATON	362	steel I-beam stringer	1913	1	28.00
EATO043	EATON	362	steel I-beam stringer	1900	1	23.00
GRAT010	GRATIOT	362	steel I-beam stringer	1900	1	21.00
HURO034	HURON	362	steel I-beam stringer	1910	1	36.00
and the free fact that the property of the state of the	HURON	362	steel I-beam stringer	1910	1	26.00
IONI028	IONIA	362	steel I-beam stringer	1900	1	20.00
IONI035	IONIA	362	steel I-beam stringer	1900	1	28.00
IONI040	IONIA	362	steel I-beam stringer	1907	1	19.00
JACK058	JACKSON	362	steel I-beam stringer	1910	1	31.00
LENA041	LENAWEE	362	steel I-beam stringer	1905	1	24.00
LIVI029	LIVINGSTON	362	steel I-beam stringer	1914	1	25.00
LIVI031	LIVINGSTON	362	steel I-beam stringer	1914	1	26.00
MACO040	MACOMB	362	steel I-beam stringer	1910	1	16.00
MANI008	MANISTEE	362	steel I-beam stringer	1910	1	22.00
MASO023	MASON	362	steel I-beam stringer	1900	1	25.00
MECO019	MECOSTA	362	steel I-beam stringer	1900	1	24.00
MECO107	MECOSTA	362	steel I-beam stringer	1896	1	29.00
MIDL040	MIDLAND	362	steel I-beam stringer	1903	1	18.00
MONR112	MONROE	362	steel I-beam stringer	1900	1	17.00
OCEA014	OCEANA	362	steel I-beam stringer	1900	1	20.00
OCEA017	OCEANA	362	steel I-beam stringer	1910	2	14.0
OCEA019	OCEANA	362	steel I-beam stringer	1910		35.0
OSCE023	the analysis and pulser harman and	362	steel I-beam stringer	1900		17.0
OSCE025	OSCEOLA	362	steel I-beam stringer	1900	1	18.0
OSCE027	OSCEOLA	362	steel I-beam stringer	1900		28.0
OSCE028	OSCEOLA	362	steel I-beam stringer	1900	and the same of th	19.0
OSCE029		362	steel I-beam stringer	1900		18.0
OSCE032		362	steel I-beam striger	1900		30.0
OSCE035	OSCEOLA	362	steel I-beam stringer	1900	0.000	16.0
OSCE039	\$100 CEC 08 TORS 16 COURS - 10 CO	362	steel I-beam stringer	1900		28.0
STCL075	ST. CLAIR	362	steel I-beam stringer	1913		23.0
STCL092	ST. CLAIR	362	steel I-beam stringer	1917		23.0
STJO014	ST. JOSEPH	362	steel I-beam stringer	1912	1	21.0

Steel Stringers with jack-arch decks

Struct No	County	Туре	Superstructure	Year	Main spans	Span length
STJO032	ST. JOSEPH	362	steel I-beam stringer	1910	1	27.00
	ST. JOSEPH	362	steel I-beam stringer	1912	1	20.00

is consisted with the first the application of steel without the little with the America in

Highway Department to believed the gleder anothers with believ welchlanders must become in

Steel girders employ a technology similar to that of stringers, substituting two or more deepprofile beams for the row of relatively shallow stringers. With their more complicated bearing
condition, beam arrangement and floor system connections, steel girder bridges mark a step up
the technological scale from stringers. It was this increased complexity — along with relatively
heavy superstructural weight and the physical limitation of transporting heavy, factoryfabricated girders — that limited the application of steel girders for highway use in America in
the early twentieth century. The Michigan State Highway Department first delineated plans for
a steel plate through girder bridge among its first standards in 1907-1908. Intended for
spans between 30 and 60 feet, girders were used with moderate frequency in the state between
1908 and about 1915.

The high cost of steel during and after World War I dampened the use of steel through and deck girders, however. By 1922 MSHD had dropped its through girder altogether and reduced the span range of its deck girder standard to only ten feet between 55 and 65 feet. After the Highway Department redesigned its girder standard and began encasing the steel beams in concrete in 1927-1928, this structural type experienced a resurgence in the state for long-span crossings. Girders — both encased and open, deck and through — were used frequently for long-span bridges and grade separations in the 1930s. They continued to be used in the 1940s and 1950s but have gradually been overshadowed by prestressed concrete beam bridges.

Steel deck girder (303, 403, 333, 433, 352, 452, 382, 482): MSHD developed its first standard deck girder designs around 1910, and this structural type enjoyed modest success through the 1910s. All pre-1920 girders that have retained integrity should therefore be included for their representation either of pre-MSHD construction or of formative MSHD design. In subsequent years, girder spans increased incrementally; by the 1940s, they represented as a group the longest beam bridges in the state. The longest examples of these should be included in the field survey sample. Multiple-span examples of steel stringer bridges are relatively rare and should also be included.

All pre-1955 steel deck girders:	110
Date range: 1900 - 1955	
Span range: 20 feet - 158 feet	
All pre-1920 steel deck girders:	4
Deck girders - 5+ spans or 85-foot span 1920 - 1930:	7
Deck girders - 5+ spans or 85-foot span 1931 - 1940:	5
Deck girders - 5+ spans or 100-foot span 1941 - 1955:	20

^{128 2} SHDBR (1907-1908), 204.

Steel through girder (321, 421): Steel through girder bridges are today sufficiently rare that all pre-1955 examples should be included in the field survey sample.

All pre-1955 steel through girders:

7

Date range: 1900 - 1934 Span range: 47 feet - 95 feet

TOTAL RECOMMENDED FOR SURVEY

7

Concrete-encased steel deck girder (392, 492): Concrete-encased, steel deck girder bridges are today sufficiently rare that both pre-1955 examples should be included in the field survey sample.

All pre-1955 concrete-encased steel deck girders:

2

Date range: 1926 - 1927 Span range: 55 feet - 65 feet

TOTAL RECOMMENDED FOR SURVEY

2

Steel Deck Girders

Struct No	County	Туре	Superstructure	Year	Main spans	Span length
BAY005	BAY	482	steel plate deck girder, arched	1938	6	98.00
BERROOS		303	steel deck girder	1950	3	121.00
		303	steel plate deck girder, variable depth	1954	5	101.00
BERR015		303	steel deck girder	1929	6	79.00
DICK004		303	steel deck girder	1915	1	59.00
	HURON	303	steel deck girder	1915	1	59.00
		352	steel plate girder	1930	1	88.00
	IONIA	352	steel plate deck girder	1948	5	75.00
IONI004	IONIA	403	steel plate deck girder, variable depth	1950	4	130.00
IONI012	KENT	382	steel plate deck girder, variable depth	1929	6	80.00
KENTOO1	KENT	352	steel deck girder	1936	4	87.00
KENT009	KENT	382	steel deck girder	1930	8	75.00
KENT016		303	steel girder	1900	1	52.00
MASO024	MASON	352	steel plate deck girder, arched	1929	13	79.00
STATE OF THE PARTY	MENOMINEE	303	steel deck girder	1955	3	110.00
MIDL003	MIDLAND	352	steel plate girder	1933	1	85.00
MIDL008	MIDLAND MONROE	303	steel plate deck girder, variable depth		3	158.00
MONR051	MONTCALM	382	steel deck girder	1932	3	87.00
MONTO07	MUSKEGON	303	steel plate girder	1900	5	29.00
MUSK043	OCEANA	303	steel plate deck girder	1954	3	102.00
OCEA001	ONTONAGON	The second second	steel plate cantilevered deck girder	1952	3	102.00
ONTO015	ONTONAGON		steel plate cantilevered deck girder	1952	3	90.00
ONTO016	ST. CLAIR	352	steel deck girder	1928	2	75.00
STCL013	ST. CLAIR	303	steel plate deck girder, variable depth		3	146.00
STCL018	A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR	382	steel plate deck girder	1942	5	88.00
WASH011	WASHTENA	382	steel plate deck girder	1942	5	88.0
WASH013		382	steel plate girder, composite	1928	1	84.0
WASH048		382	steel plate girder	1954	4	108.0
	WAYNE	352		1948		119.0
	WAYNE	382		1953		118.0
	WAYNE	352		1953		77.0
	2 WAYNE	0.000		1953		67.0
	4 WAYNE	352 352		1953	_	134.0
	3 WAYNE			1953		130.0
10000000000000000000000000000000000000	4 WAYNE	352		1955	10000	121.0
	8 WAYNE WEXFORD	352		1948		122.0

Steel Through Girders

Struct No	County	Туре	Superstructure	Year	Main spans	Span length
ALGE024	ALGER	321	steel plate through girder	1910	1	61.00
BERR039	BERRIEN	321	steel through girder	1900	1	47.00
GOGE038	GOGEBIC	321	steel through girder	1923	1	49.00
MONR114	MONROE	321	steel through girder	1900	1	60.00
OTTA015	OTTAWA	321	steel plate through girder, variable de	1928	7	95.00
STCL008	ST. CLAIR	321	steel plate through girder	1906	1	70.00
WASH005	WASHTENA	321	steel plate through girder	1934	3	81.00

tell and the field "For history made or orders that excelled overland into May, but all

Concrete-encased Steel Deck Girders

Struct No	County	Туре	Superstructure	Year	Main spans	Span length
CHIP009	CHIPPEWA	392	steel plate deck girder, concrete encas	1926	1	55.00
and the control of th	JACKSON			1927		65.00

Beginning in the late 1870s, the pin-connected wrought iron truss was the roadway bridge of choice for medium- and long-span crossings in America. The bridge companies that proliferated through the Midwest and Ohio River Valley competed enthusiastically for county and township bridge business, marketing an ever-changing array of truss types through networks of regional sales representatives. Both patented in the 1840s, the Pratt and Warren web configurations — with their variations and subtypes — formed the basis for the overwhelming majority of all-metal trusses built in Michigan in the late nineteenth and early twentieth centuries. They were fabricated by such regional firms as the King Iron Bridge Company, the Wrought Iron Bridge Company, the Massillon Bridge Company and the Smith Bridge Company, all of Ohio, and in-state firms such as the Michigan Bridge Company and the Detroit Bridge and Iron Company.

The earliest bridges featured pinned and bolted connections in some combinations; these were largely superseded by all-pinned Pratt-type trusses in the 1880s. Because of their relatively quick erection and easy fabrication, pin-connected trusses dominated the market until portable riveting machines became widely available after the turn of the century. Riveted trusses began to overshadow pinned around 1910. Their use was encouraged by the Michigan State Highway Department, which published its first truss standard — a rigid-connected Warren pony that ranged in span from 60 to 100 feet — in the 1907-1908 biennium. 129

MSHD updated its pony truss standards periodically to accommodate heavier traffic loads and wider roadways, but the agency maintained its reliance on the Warren configuration for short-and medium-span ponies through the 1930s and 1940s. For long-span pony trusses, MSHD developed the design for a rigid-connected Parker truss in the 1921-1922 biennium. This atypically configured truss was used in the 1920s and 1930s as the standard for spans between 100 and 130 feet. For longer spans or trusses that required overhead bracing, MSHD engineers typically employed rigid-connected Pratt through trusses. The agency never developed a through truss standard, however, stating the same individualized-circumstances rationale that it used for concrete arches.

Steel through and pony truss (310, 410, 343, 443, 344, 444): Numerous iron and steel trusses were included in Michigan's initial historic bridge inventory; as a result, trusses are the most thoroughly studied of the state's historic bridge types. To gain a better understanding of truss development, particularly among the later and more common pony truss standards, all through and pony trusses not previously surveyed that have retained integrity should be included.

¹²⁹ 2 SHDBR (1907-1908), 204.

^{150 9} SHDBR (1921-1922), 13.

All pre-1955 pony trusses:	118
Date range: 1900 - 1955	
Span range: 6 feet - 32 feet	
All pre-1955 through trusses:	14
Date range: 1900 - 1955	
Span range: 6 feet - 32 feet	
All pre-1955 trusses, configuration unknown:	14
Date range: 1900 - 1955	
Span range: 6 feet - 32 feet	
TOTAL RECOMMENDED FOR SURVEY	146

Struct No	County	Туре	Superstructure	Year	Main spans	Span length
ALGE017	ALGER	344	rigid-connected Pratt half-hip pony tr	1906	1	26.00
ALGE025		344	rigid-connected Pratt half-hip pony tr	1910	1	40.00
ALGE028	and the state of t	344	rigid-connected Warren pony truss	1921	1	47.00
ALLE025	ALLEGAN	344	pin-connected Pratt half-hip pony trus	1910	1	50.00
ALLE026	ALLEGAN	344	pin-connected Pratt half-hip pony trus	1915	1	47.00
ALLE027	A REAL PROPERTY OF THE PROPERT	344	pin-connected Pratt half-hip pony trus	1916	1	64.00
ALLE028	ALLEGAN	344	pin-connected Pratt half-hip pony trus	1918	1	41.00
ALLE030	ALLEGAN	344	rigid-connected Pratt half-hip pony tr	1916	1	27.00
ALLE036	ALLEGAN	344	rigid-connected Warren pony truss	1920	1	42.00
ALPE013	ALPENA	344	pin-connected Pratt half-hip pony trus	1921	1	39.00
BENZ007	BENZIE	344	rigid-connected Pratt pony truss	1900	1	55.00
BERR036	BERRIEN	344	rigid-connected Warren pony truss	1928	1	49.00
BRAN027	BRANCH	344	rigid-connected Warren pony truss	1920	2	26.00
BRAN028	BRANCH	344	rigid-connected Pratt half-hip pony tr	1905	1	39.00
BRAN029	BRANCH	344	pin-connected Pratt pony truss	1905	1	60.00
BRAN032	BRANCH	344	pin-connected Pratt half-hip pony trus	1917	1	39.00
BRAN033	BRANCH	344	rigid-connected Warren pony truss	1903	1	60.00
BRAN038	BRANCH	343	pin-connected Pratt through truss	1905	1	88.00
BRAN039	BRANCH	344	pin-connected Pratt pony truss	1900	1	78.00
BRAN042	BRANCH	344	rigid-connected Pratt pony truss	1920	1	64.00
CALH033	CALHOUN	343	pin-connected Pratt through truss	1914	1	80.00
CALH037	CALHOUN	344	rigid-connected polygonal Warren po	1906	1	100.00
CHAR004	CHARLEVOI	344	pin-connected Pratt half-hip pony trus	1900	1	40.00
CHEB013	CHEBOYGAN		steel truss	1935	1	32.00
CHIP022	CHIPPEWA	344	rigid-connected Warren pony truss	1920	1	33.00
CHIP023	CHIPPEWA	344	rigid-connected Warren pony truss	1935	1	33.00
CHIP024	CHIPPEWA	344	rigid-connected Warren pony truss	1914	1	51.00
CLAR020	- Committee of the control of the co	344	rigid-connected Pratt pony truss	1915	1	37.00
CLAR023	CLARE	344	rigid-connected Pratt pony truss	1934	1	41.00
CLAR027	A PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF	343	pin-connected Pratt through truss	1929	1	100.00
CLAR028		310	steel truss	1929	1	43.00
CLIN001	CLINTON	344	pin-connected Pratt pony truss	1900		Martin Language
CLIN027	CLINTON	343	pin-connected Pratt through truss	1907	1	99.00
CLIN029	CLINTON	344	rigid-connected Warren ony truss	1906		56.00
CLIN033	CLINTON	344	steel I-beam stringer	1920		56.00
EATO027	2. S.	344	rigid-connected lattice pony truss	1910		25.00
EATO049	The Company of the Co	344	steel truss		11.75	
GLAD011	Committee of the Commit	344		1917	1	65.00
GLAD022	Company of the Compan	344		1920		48.00
GOGE023		344		1916		68.00
GOGE029	THE REPORT OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF T	344		1906		68.00
GOGE040		344		1920		64.0
GRAT042	The second secon	344		VIII 1000 1000 1000		34.00

Struct No	County	Туре	Superstructure	Year	Main spans	Span length
RAT043	GRATIOT	310	steel truss	1910	1	69.00
	J	344	rigid-connected Warren pony truss	1920	1	65.00
		344	rigid-connected Pratt half-hip pony tr	1900	1	40.00
		344	rigid-connected two-angle Warren po	1915	1	37.00
		344	rigid-connected two-angle Warren po	1915	1	40.00
		344	steel truss	1912	1	40.00
		344	rigid-connected two-angle Warren po	1915	1	83.00
	HURON	344	pin-connected Pratt half-hip pony trus	1925	1	47.00
	IONIA	344	pin-connected Pratt half-hip pony trus	1900	1	28.00
ONI032 ONI038	IONIA	343	pin-connected Pratt through truss	1906	1	79.00
ONI038	IONIA	344	pin-connected Pratt half-hip pony trus	1900	1	49.00
RON017	IRON	344	rigid-connected Warren pony truss	1924	2	60.00
	IRON	410	steel continuous truss	1906	1	101.00
RON023	KALAMAZOO	344	rigid-connected Warren pony truss	1907	1	28.00
KALA026	KALKASKA	344	pin-connected Pratt half-hip pony trus	1910	2	15.00
KALK003	And the second second second second second	344	pin-connected Pratt pony truss	1892	1	60.00
KENT038	KENT LAPEER	344	rigid-connected Warren pony truss	1889	1	42.00
	LENAWEE	344	steel truss	1908	1	35.00
LENA035	LENAWEE	344	steel truss	1907	1	39.00
	LENAWEE	344	steel truss	1910	1	63.00
LENA038	Company of the last of the las	344	steel truss	1920	1	41.00
LENA040	LENAWEE	344	steel truss	1910	1	39.00
LENA045	LENAWEE	344	steel truss	1897	1	39.00
LENA047	LENAWEE	344	steel truss	1900	1	33.00
LENA048	LENAWEE	344	steel truss	1870		
LENA060	LENAWEE		steel truss	1905	1	33.00
LIVI033	LIVINGSTON	310 344	rigid-connected Warren pony truss	1913	1	40.0
LUCE003	LUCE	344	rigid-connected Warren pony truss	1910	1	77.0
	MACOMB MACOMB	344	pin-connected Pratt half-hip pony trus		1	58.0
	On D. Contract of District House, No. 1984 Co.	344	rigid-connected Pratt pony truss	1928	1	63:0
	MACOMB	344	pin-connected Pratt pony truss	1920		49.0
	MACOMB			1909		22.0
	MARQUETTE	344		1900		62.0
	MASON	344		1900	10.00	45.0
	MASON	344	TATE TATE OF THE PARTY OF THE P	1900		26.0
	MASON			1900	100	36.0
	MASON	344		1897		61.0
	MECOSTA			1924		51.0
	MENOMINE			1926		39.0
	MENOMINE	344	Action Control of the	1932	0.00	109.
MIDL007		THE PARTY OF		1928		128.
MIDL011		343		1930		89.
MIDL020 MIDL021		344		1906	77	60.

Struct No	County	Туре	Superstructure	Year	Main spans	Span length
MIDL022	MIDLAND	310	steel truss	1930	1	30.00
MIDL033	MIDLAND	344	rigid-connected Warren pony truss	1910	1	48.0C
MIDL034	MIDLAND	344	pin-connected Pratt half-hip pony trus	1905	1	39.00
MIDL035	MIDLAND	344	rigid-connected Pratt pony truss	1911	1	48.00
MIDL046	MIDLAND	343	steel truss	1900	1	141.06
MISS011	MISSAUKEE	344	pin-connected Pratt pony truss	1920	1	80.00
MISS013	MISSAUKEE	344	pin-connected Pratt pony truss	1908	1	73.00
MONR019	MONROE	344	pin-connected Pratt half-hip pony trus	1910	1	37.00
MONR020	MONROE	344	pin-connected Pratt half-hip pony trus	1899	1	48.00
MONR025	MONROE	344	pin-connected Pratt half-hip pony trus		1	26.00
MONR106	MONROE	344	pin-connected Pratt half-hip pony trus		1	39.00
	MONROE	344	pin-connected Pratt half-hip pony trus		1	35.0C
MONR111	MONROE	344	pin-connected Pratt half-hip pony trus		1	33.00
	MONTMORE	344	rigid-connected Pratt half-hip pony tr		1	40.00
	MUSKEGON	310	steel truss	1910	3	40.00
	NEWAYGO	310	steel truss	1920	1	60.00
OSCE031	OSCEOLA	344	pin-connected Pratt half-hip pony trus	1900	1	48.00
OSCE040	OSCEOLA	344	pin-connected Pratt half-hip pony trus		1	42.00
SAGI020	SAGINAW	343	pin-connected Pratt through truss	1913	ī	98.00
SAGI055	SAGINAW	344	rigid-connected Warren pony truss	1923	1	60.00
SAGI069	SAGINAW	344	pin-connected Pratt half-hip pony trus	1898	1	43.00
SAGI073	SAGINAW	344	rigid-connected Pratt half-hip pony tr	1907	1	40.00
SAGI076	SAGINAW	344	pin-connected Pratt pony truss	1906	1	69.00
SAGI077	SAGINAW	310	steel truss	1906	î	96.00
SAGI081	SAGINAW	344	rigid-connected Warren pony truss	1885	1	49.00
SAGI082	SAGINAW	344	rigid-connected Pratt pony truss	1887	1	39.0C
SAGI083	SAGINAW	344	rigid-connected Warren pony truss	1904	1	67.00
SAGI111	SAGINAW	343	pin-connected Pratt through truss		1	139.00
SANI040	SANILAC	344	steel truss	1905	-	66.00
SANI049	SANILAC	343	steel through truss	1907	The second second	148.0C
SANI064	SANILAC	343	steel through truss	1900		96.00
SANI067	SANILAC	344	steel truss	1910		70.00
SHIA037	SHIAWASSEE		steel truss	1927		30.06
SHIA039	SHIAWASSEE		pin-connected Pratt through truss	1892		89.00
STCL062	ST. CLAIR	310	steel truss	1927		61.00
STCL067	ST. CLAIR	310	steel truss	1900		98.00
STCL079	ST. CLAIR	344	steel truss	1937		70.00
STCL080	ST. CLAIR	344	steel truss	1910		
STCL081	ST. CLAIR	344	steel truss	1908	_	-
STCL082	ST. CLAIR	343	steel through truss	1914		
STJO012	ST. JOSEPH	310	steel truss	1923		90.00
STJO022	ST. JOSEPH	344	pin-connected Pratt half-hip pony trus			43.00
STJO024	ST. JOSEPH	344	pin-connected Pratt half-hip pony trus			42.00

Struct No	County	Туре	Superstructure Superstructure	Year	Main spans	Span length
CONTROL OF	ST. JOSEPH	344	rigid-connected Warren pony truss	1915	1	45.00
STJO025	ST. JOSEPH	344	pin-connected Pratt half-hip pony trus	1912	1	54.00
STJO026	ST. JOSEPH	344	pin-connected Pratt half-hip pony trus	1934	1	50.00
STJO029	ST. JOSEPH	344	pin-connected Pratt pony truss	1914	1	79.00
STJO030	ST. JOSEPH	344	rigid-connected Warren pony truss	1923	1	54.00
STJO031 STJO035	ST. JOSEPH	344	pin-connected Pratt half-hip pony trus	1909	1	29,00
TUSC027	TUSCOLA	344	rigid-connected Warren pony truss	1910	1	60.00
TUSC027	TUSCOLA	344	rigid-connected Warren pony truss	1930	1	78.00
TUSC042	TUSCOLA	344	pin-connected pony truss	1910	1	37.00
	WASHTENA	343	pin-connected Pratt through truss	1900	1	109.00
	WASHTENA	344	rigid-connected Bailey truss	1953	1	100.00
	WASHTENA	344	pin-connected Pratt half-hip pony trus	1911	1	66.00
AND CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF	WASHTENA	344	pin-connected Pratt half-hip pony trus	1900	1	65.00
WAYN090	Control of the Contro	344	steel truss	1924	2	100.00
WAYN121		310	steel truss	1900	1	158.00
	WAYNE	344	steel truss	1933	1	84.00
WEXF011		344	pin-connected Pratt pony truss	1906	1	80.0

Like their through and pony truss counterparts, deck trusses employed a variety of web configurations and connection types. Steel or iron deck trusses were rarely used in Michigan by either the local administrations or the State Highway Department. Typically erected over deep ravines or railroad underpasses, deck trusses are uncommon in the United States; this trend holds especially true in Michigan, a state in which the numerous low crossings place a premium on under-bridge clearance.

Steel deck truss and deck arch (309, 409, 322): The one remaining deck truss and three steel deck arches should be included in the field survey sample.

All pre-1955 deck trusses and arches:

4

Date range: 1900 - 1947 Span range: 38 feet - 300 feet

TOTAL RECOMMENDED FOR SURVEY

Steel Deck Truss and Deck Arch

Struct No	County	Туре	Superstructure	Year	Main spans	Span length
BARA002	BARAGA	322	steel plate deck arch	1947	1	128.00
	MACKINAC		steel rigid-connected deck arch	1947	3	300.00
	MANISTEE		steel rigid-connected deck arch	1934	3	300.00
THE RESERVE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF	OSCEOLA	309	steel deck truss	1900	1	38.00

Steel rigid-frame bridges were developed in the late nineteenth century and marketed extensively by the bridge fabricators as the bedstead truss. Due primarily to their structural shortcomings, bedsteads largely fell from favor soon after the turn of the century. Rigid-frame girder bridges were erected in the early twentieth century, but their use was limited essentially to urban viaducts and grade separations. The structural type experienced a brief resurgence of use in the 1930s — along with the concrete rigid frame — for use in federal relief highway projects, and more recently on the interstate highway system. Through its various permutations, the steel rigid frame bridge was never commonly built; the Michigan State Highway Department employed it only occasionally and did not adopt it as a standard design.

Steel rigid frame (307, 407): The six rigid-frame bedsteads in the general inventory should be included in the field survey sample.

All pre-1955 steel rigid frames:

6

Date range: 1901 - 1910 Span range: 29 feet - 59 feet

TOTAL RECOMMENDED FOR SURVEY

Steel Rigid Frames

Struct No	County	Туре	Superstructure	Year	Main spans	Span length
MIDL031	MIDLAND	307	rigid-connected bedstead	1904	1	29.00
	MIDLAND	The second secon	pin-connected bedstead	1901	1	41.00
	OCEANA		pin-connected Pratt bedstead	1912	1	48.00
Contract Con	OCEANA		pin-connected Pratt bedstead	1910	1	29.00
May be a property of the prope	OCEANA		pin-connected Pratt bedstead	1910	1	51.00
THE THEORY COMES AND ASSESSED ASSESSED.	OCEANA		pin-connected Pratt bedstead	1910	1 mark	59.00

projects, and more morety on the intention identities internal

With a large number of low-level roads intersecting with heavily trafficked rivers, Michigan has housed several movable span steel bridges. Small-scale swing-span structures were built on a township level early in the twentieth century. In 1917-1918 the State Highway Department designed and erected its first bascule bridge over the Spring Lake Outlet in Ottawa County. Other swing and bascule bridges were built in the 1920s, 1930s and 1940s. Most of these were included in the initial historic bridge inventory; six bascules and two swing-span bridges are included in the general inventory for this study.

Steel movable span (316, 417): All eight movable span bridges in the general inventory should be included in the field survey sample.

All pre-1955 steel movable span bridges:

8

Date range: 1886 - 1949 Span range: 70 feet - 194 feet

TOTAL RECOMMENDED FOR SURVEY

¹³¹ Melick, "Summary of the Work," 30.

Steel Movable Spans

Struct No	County	Туре	Superstructure	Year	Main spans	Span length
BAY004	BAY	316	steel plate deck girder bascule bridge	1938	1	185.00
BERR007	BERRIEN	316	steel plate deck girder bascule bridge	1949	1	164.00
CHAR001	CHARLEVOI	316	steel bascule bridge	1949	1	111.00
CHEB008	CHEBOYGAN	316	steel plate deck girder bascule	1940	1	70.00
ONTO009	ONTONAGON	According to the con-	steel plate deck girder swing span	1939	2	99.00
SAGI114	SAGINAW	417	pin-connected Pratt through truss swi	1886	2	194.00
STCL100	ST. CLAIR	316	steel bascule bridge	1933	1	114.00
WAYN118	WAYNE	316	steel bascule bridge	1922	2	91.00

Small-diameter cast iron pipe culverts were used commonly for minor drainages in the nineteenth and early twentieth centuries in Michigan. The pipes were later made of corrugated steel, and large-diameter pipes were fabricated by riveting corrugated plate steel into round or ovaloid shapes. Although the steel culvert was used extensively in Michigan, the overwhelming majority of these small-scale structures employed single-barrel configurations, with spans less than ten feet. Few of these culverts have the requisite 20-foot overall length to be considered bridges by today's definition. And most of those few that have been cataloged in the Structure Inventory and Appraisal lists are erroneously dated replacements of earlier bridges. Those that actually pre-date 1955 are nondescript structures.

Steel pipe culvert (319): None of Michigan's extant pipe culverts display features (e.g., early construction, span length, span number, architectural detailing) that elevate them from their peers either historically of technologically. Therefore none of these intrinsically undistinguished structures should be included in the survey.

All pre-1955 steel pipe culverts:

55

Date range: 1900 - 1955 Span range: 6 feet - 29 feet

TOTAL RECOMMENDED FOR SURVEY

Small-scale timber pile bridges were the staple of township road work in Michigan in the nineteenth and early twentieth centuries. Though inexpensive to erect, most of these early spans tended to be structurally suspect and required frequent maintenance to prevent their collapse. Moreover, they were limited to short-span crossings. Timber and timber/iron combination trusses were built frequently in the state in the 1850s, 1860s and 1870s, but these were eventually superseded by all-metal bowstring and truss spans in the 1880s. Due to the impermanence of wood as a bridge superstructural material, only a small number of timber bridges remains in place in Michigan today, most of which are of relatively recent vintage.

Timber stringer (702): Timber stringer bridges (with integrity) built before 1920 and those with spans in excess of 30 feet should be included as the oldest and longest of this intrinsically undistinguished structural type.

All pre-1955 timber stringers:

48

Date range:

1900 - 1955

Span range:

13 feet - 61 feet

All pre-1925 timber stringers:

3

Timber stringers - 5+ spans or 40-foot span 1941 - 1955: 2

TOTAL RECOMMENDED FOR SURVEY

5

Timber truss (710): All but one of Michigan's few timber truss bridges were included in the initial historic bridge inventory. The one remaining, privately owned, bridge should be included in the field survey sample for this study.

All pre-1955 timber trusses:

1

Date range:

1932

Span range:

37 feet

TOTAL RECOMMENDED FOR SURVEY

1

Timber composite slab (771): Several oddly configured timber composite slab bridges are listed in the general inventory, most of which are located in Mecosta County. Because of their relative rarity, all of these should be included in the field survey sample.

All pre-1955 timber composite slabs:

8

Date range:

1898 - 1946

Span range:

17 feet - 30 feet

TOTAL RECOMMENDED FOR SURVEY

Timber Stringers

Struct No	County	Туре	Superstructure	Year	Main spans	Span length
BERR053	BERRIEN	702	timber stringer	1900	3	26.00
THE LEW BOOK OF THE REST AND ADDRESS.	EATON	702	timber stringer	1953	1	49.00
HILL031	HILLSDALE	702	timber stringer	1910	1	26.00
LUCE008	LUCE	702	timber stringer	1929	1	61.00
Control of the Contro	OSCEOLA	702	timber stringer	1902	5	21.00

Timber Truss

Struct No	County	Туре	Superstructure	Year	Main spans	Span length
BERR054	BERRIEN	710	timber truss	1932	1	37.00

Timber Composite Slabs

Struct No	County	Туре	Superstructure	Year	Main spans	Span length
	MARQUETTE	771	timber composite slab	1946	3	17.00
MECO010	MECOSTA		timber composite slab	1904	1	29.00
The state of the s	MECOSTA	771	timber composite slab	1900	3	26.00
CHARLES TO THE PARTY OF THE PAR	MECOSTA	771	timber composite slab	1898	1	29.00
	OCEANA		timber composite slab	1910	1	20.00
SANI059	SANILAC	771	timber composite slab	1940	3	30.00
STCL087	ST. CLAIR	771	timber composite slab	1938	2	19.00
STJO040	ST. JOSEPH		timber slab	1906	1	22.00

STONE MASONRY ARCH (811)

Despite an abundance of stone in various forms throughout the state and an indigenous tradition of masonry construction, stone bridges were never built in abundance in Michigan. As a result, only a handful of stone masonry spans remain in place today, all of which employ short-span arches that spring from stone sidewalls.

Stone masonry arch (811): Because of their relative rarity and because some were the product of federal relief programs in the 1930s, all stone masonry arch bridges should be included in the field survey sample.

All pre-1955 stone arches:

4

TOTAL RECOMMENDED FOR SURVEY

Stone Masonry Arches

Struct No	County	Туре	Superstructure	Year	Main spans	Span length
CALH043	CALHOUN	811	stone masonry arch	1891	1	28.00
CALH045	CALHOUN	811	stone masonry arch	1899	3	25.00
CLAR014	CLARE	811	stone masonry arch	1915	1	17.00
GENE005	GENESEE	811	stone masonry arch	1906	1	30.00

Applying these general and categorical guidelines to the general inventory of bridges produces a list of 658 structures included in the field survey sample. Although the guidelines will remain consistent throughout the course of the study, the list of included bridges will evolve, as fieldwork and additional research turns up bridges that should be added to the list and those that should be deleted. Our experience in other states is that the bridges added and bridges deleted tend to balance each other, so that the overall number of included bridges at the end of the project is similar to this preliminary listing. Following is a summary listing of the bridges included in the field survey sample, delineated by structural type.

BRIDGES INCLUDED IN THE FIELD SURVEY SAMPLE UNDER NRHP CRITERION C

Concrete through girder	pre-1955:	88	included:	54
Concrete deck girder / T-beam	pre-1955:	430	included:	56
Concrete slab	pre-1955:	138	included:	26
Concrete rigid frame	pre-1955:	21	included:	20
Concrete arch	pre-1955:	89	included:	72
Concrete box culvert	pre-1955:	78	included:	0
Prestressed concrete beam	pre-1955:	.0	included:	0
Steel stringer	pre-1955:	1,658	included:	143
Steel stringer with jack-arch deck	pre-1955:	200	included:	45
Steel deck girder	pre-1955:	110	included:	36
Steel deck girder,	Name and the second of		•	
concrete encased	pre-1955:	2	included:	2
Steel through girder	pre-1955:	7	included:	7
Steel pony truss	pre-1955:	122	included:	118
Steel through truss	pre-1955:	14	included:	14
Steel truss,				
configuration unknown	pre-1955:	14	included:	14
Steel deck truss	pre-1955:	1	included:	1
Steel deck arch	pre-1955:	3	included:	3
Steel rigid frame	pre-1955:	6	included:	6
Steel movable span	pre-1955:	8	included:	8
Steel pipe culvert	pre-1955:	55	included:	. 0
Timber stringer	pre-1955:	48	included:	5
Timber truss	pre-1955:	1	included:	1
Timber composite slab	pre-1955:	8	included:	8
Stone masonry arch	pre-1955:	4	included:	4
(replaced)	pre-1955:	118		0
Total		3,223		643
		-,		

Books and Published Reports

- Dunbar, Willis F., and George S. May. Michigan: A History of the Wolverine State. Grand Rapids, MI: William B. Eerdmans Publishing Company, 1965, rev. ed. 1980.
- Dunbar, Willis F. Michigan Through the Centuries. New York: Lewis Historical Publishing Company, 1955.
- Hines, Edward N. 20 Years of Road Construction in Wayne County, Mich. Detroit: Detroit Automobile Club, [1926].
- Hyde, Charles K. Detroit: An Industrial History Guide. Detroit: Detroit Historical Society, 1980.
- Historic Highway Bridges of Michigan. Detroit: Wayne State University Press, 1993.
- Proceedings of the Short Course in Highway Engineering. Ann Arbor, MI: University of Michigan, 1915.
- Report of Engineers Committee on Grade Separations, Milwaukee Junction Manufactures Association; Presented to Cities of Detroit, Highland Park and Hamtramck. Detroit: Rapid Transit Commission, 1930.
- Rogers, Frank F. History of the Michigan State Highway Department, 1905-1933. Lansing: n.p., 1933.
- Rose, Mark H. Interstate: Express Highway Politics, 1939-1989. Lawrence, KS: University Press of Kansas, 1979; revised edition, University of Tennessee Press, 1990.
- Seely, Bruce E. Building the American Highway System: Engineers as Policy Makers. Philadelphia: Temple University Press, 1987.

Articles

- "All Grade Crossings must Go." Detroit Free Press, 6 July 1930.
- "Asks Public Support for State Highways." Michigan Roads and Construction 36 (21 December 1939): 2.
- "Bridge Construction in Michigan during 1925." Michigan Roads and Pavements (December 1925): 22.

- "Bridge over Cheboyganing Creek on River Road." Michigan Roads and Pavements 24 (20 October 1927): 5.
- "Colorful Ceremonies Mark Expressway Opening." Michigan Roads and Construction 42 (15 March 1945): 3.
- "The Construction of 10 mi. of Improved Roadway in Monroe County, Michigan." Good Roads 53/15 (13 April 1918): 205.
- Cox, John J. "Highway Bridges and Culverts." Michigan Roads and Forests 5 (March 1910): 7-8.
- Dow, Walter O. "Effect of the Tourist Business on the County Road System." Michigan Roads and Construction 45 (1 April 1948): 28.
- "Federal Highway Plans are Drawn." Battle Creek Enquirer News, 3 August 1947.
- "Fort Custer Highway to be First U.S. Military Road." Michigan Roads and Construction 37 (19 September 1940): 3.
- "Forty Million Tourists to Spend Three and One-third Billions [in] 1927." Michigan Roads and Pavements 24 (31 March 1927): 4.
- "Governor says Tourist Industry is One of State's Greatest." Michigan Roads and Pavements 24 (24 November 1927): 10.
- "Graduate Courses in Highway Engineering at the University of Michigan." Good Roads 56/18. 8 October 1919): 176.
- "Handicap to Touring in Upper Peninsula." Michigan Roads and Forests 17 (June 1921): 8.
- Harding, George A. "World's Largest Bomber Plant under Construction by Ford Motor Company." Michigan Engineer 60 (Summer 1941): 8.
- "Highway Department Plans Letting Despite Heavy Fire Losses." Michigan Roads and Construction 48 (15 February 1951): 2.
- "Highway Steel Shortages Delay to Current Michigan Road Program." Michigan Roads and Construction 48 (23 August 1951): 2.
- "Highways to War Plants Feature 1942 Program." Michigan Roads and Construction 39 (31 December 1942): 6.
- "Houghton Co. Benefits from WPA Road Program." Michigan Roads and Construction 33 (12 November 1936): 46.

- Kennedy, G. Donald. "The Access Highway System at Willow Run." Michigan Roads and Construction 39 (15 October 1942): 3-4.
- _____. "Military Highways of Michigan." Proceedings of the 27th Annual Highway Conference, February 19 21, 1941 (Ann Arbor: University of Michigan, [1941]).
- "Many Dangerous R.R. Crossings Eliminated by Highway Dept." Michigan Roads and Pavements 21 (10 April 1924): 3.
- McMonagle, J. Carl. "Effects of the Tourist Business on the Michigan Highway System." Michigan Roads and Construction 45 (1 April 1948): 24.
- Melick, C.A. "Standard Bridge Practice of the Michigan State Highway Department." Michigan Roads and Pavements 20 (29 March 1923): 9.
- "Michigan Bridges Commended by U.S. Bureau of Public Roads." Michigan Roads and Pavements 25 (28 June 1928): 6.
- "Michigan's Greatest Road System Cost \$26,000,000." Michigan Roads and Construction (15 March 1945): 4.
- "Michigan Represented in ARBA Convention Program." Michigan Roads and Construction 39 (30 January 1941): 2.
- "Michigan State Highway Department in New Quarters." Good Roads 13 (3 March 1917): 148.
- Millard, Lester W. "Design Features of Willow Run Structures." Michigan Roads and Construction 39 (15 October 1942): 8, 10.
- Morrison, Roger L. "The History and Development of Michigan Highways." University of Michigan Official Publication 39 (6 April 1938): 1-16.
- "Mr. Rogers suggests Changes in Townsend Bill." Michigan Roads and Forests 16 (December 1919): 8.
- "New Highway Booms Resort Area in Berrien County." Michigan Roads and Pavements 25 (26 April 1928): 10.
- "New Standard Specifications for State Road Work Out April 15." Michigan Roads and Construction 47 (13 April 1950): 2.
- "'No Mean Business' Flint Men Told of Tourist Trade." Michigan Roads and Pavements 22 (5 February 1925): 3.

- "Pave M-14 to the Straits." Michigan Roads and Pavements (January 1925): 11.
- "Post-War Plans Discussed at Highway Conference." Michigan Roads and Construction 39 (19 February 1942): 2.
- "Progress in All Fields Reported by Highway Department." Michigan Roads and Construction 38 (2 January 1941): 2.
- "Progress Report, Chicago-Detroit Expressway." Motor News, March 1948, 15.
- "Promoting Tourist Travel." Michigan Roads and Forests 16 (May 1920): 2-3.
- "'Rainbow Trail Association' to Boost Muskegon-Saginaw Highway." Michigan Roads and Pavements 25 (28 June 1928).
- "Road Building Course at the University of Michigan." Good Roads 56/18 (3 September 1919): 125.
- Rogers, Frank F. "Defects in Michigan Roads and How to Improve Them." Michigan Roads and Forests 5 (March 1908): 5.
- _____. "Notes on Some Early Michigan Roads." Michigan Roads and Pavements 22 (December 1925): 7-8.
- Pavements 22 (19 February 1925): 3.
- . "Twenty Years Work by the State Highway Department." Michigan Roads and Pavements (January 1925): 5.
- . "Work of State Highway Department in 1919." Michigan Roads and Forests 16 (December 1919): 8.
- "St. Clair County Road and Bridge Program Summarized." Michigan Roads and Construction (28 December 1939): 22.
- "St. Clair County to Build Three PWA Bridges." Michigan Roads and Construction 33 (12 November 1936): 42.
- "Sees Michigan on Verge of Boom because of its Roads." Michigan Roads and Pavements 21 (9 October 1924): 3.
- Shuptrine, H.A. "Grade Separations." The Michigan Engineer 40 (March 1931): 16.
- "Six Million Being Spent on Shoreline Roads." Michigan Roads Construction 32 (17 October

- _____. "The Progress of Development of Limited Expressways in Detroit Metropolitan District. The Foundation 9 (January 1945): 3-5.
- "Six Million Being Spent on Shoreline Roads." Michigan Roads Construction 32 (17 October 1935): 44.
- Smith, Leroy C. "Wayne County Road Commission Activities, Plans." Michigan Roads and Construction (15 March 1945): 8.
- "State Near End of First Post-war Highway Program." Michigan Roads and Construction 45 (30 December 1948): 2.
- "State Officials Eye N.Y. Road System." Detroit Free Press, 23 August 1938.
- "\$3,000,000 State Highway-WPA Program Sought." Michigan Roads and Construction 38 (17 April 1941): 3.
- Thomas, D.A. "Large Mileage to be Added this Year to Michigan's Improved Roads." Good Roads 51/13 (31 March 1917): 199-200.
- _____. "Michigan's Trunk Line System." Good Roads 51/13 (16 June 1917): 350-351.
- Tucker, E.D. "Good Roads have Opened the Way to Upper Peninsula's Splendid Attractions." Michigan Roads and Pavements 22 (January 1925): 70.
- Van Wagoner, Murray D. "The Michigan Highway Program and the Tourist Industry."

 Michigan Roads and Construction 32 (17 October 1935): 10.
- "WPA Surfacing Program is sought by Iosco County." Michigan Roads and Construction 32 (17 October 1935): 44.
- "Wolverine Paved Way Across State." Michigan Roads and Forests 16 (July 1920): 9-10.

Unpublished Sources

- Cruise, J.D. to J. Carl McMonagle, Michigan State Highway Department, memo, 21 July 1942, in Record Group 58-5-A, Box 4, Michigan DOT Collection, Michigan State Archives, Lansing.
- Kennedy, G. Donald. Speech at dedication Willow Run access roads, 12 September 1942, carbon of typed copy in Box 1, G. Donald Kennedy Collection, Bentley Historical Library, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor.
- Moses, Robert, to G. Donald Kennedy. Typed report, 4 April 1942, Box 3, Sidney D. Waldon Papers, Burton Historical Collection, Detroit Public Library.

Van Wagoner, Murray D. Papers. Bentley Historical Library, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor.

Government Documents

- "Carrying Out the Master Plan." Report by Advisory Committee to Detroit Common Council, submitted 2 October 1925.
- Michigan State Highway Department. Biennial Reports. 1(1905-1906); 2(1907-1908); 3(1909-1910); 4(1911-1912); 5(1913-1914); 6(1915-1916); 7(1917-1918); 8(1919-1920); 9(1921-1922); 10(1923-1924); 11(1925-1926); 12(1927-1928); 13(1929-1930); 14(1931-1932); 15(1933-1934); 16(1935-1936); 17(1937-1938); 18(1939-1940); 19(1941-1942); 20(1943-1944); 21(1945-1946); 22(1947-1948); 23(1949-1950); 24(1951-1952); 25(1953-1954).
- . A Comprehensive Plan of Motorways for Detroit. N.p., 1941.

 . Detroit Expressways. N.p., 1953.

 . Detroit Expressways. N.p., 1954.

 . Highway Needs in Michigan: An Engineering Analysis. A report prepared for the Michigan Good Roads Federation and the Highway Study Committee. N.p., 1948.

 . "Military Access Roads in Michigan: Notes and Data Concerning Immediate and Tentative Future Needs." Report, June 1941. Box 3, G. Donald Kennedy Collection, Bentley Historical Library, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor.

 . "Preliminary Report on Michigan's Plan for Highways." Typed report, 1934.

 . Street Traffic, City of Detroit, 1936-1937. N.p.: Michigan State Highway Department, 1937.

 . "Detroit-Willow Expressway." N.p., [ca. 1943]. Box 3, Record Group 58-5-A, MDOT Collection, Michigan State Archives, Lansing.
- Oakland County, Michigan. Planning Commission. Wagon Roads to Expressways. N.p.: Oakland County Planning Commission, 1955.
- U.S. Federal Works Agency. Final Report on the W.P.A. Program, 1935-43. Washington, D.C.: Government Printing Office, 1943.
- _____. Report on the Progress of the WPA Program. Washington, D.C.: Government Printing Office, 1941.

Wayne County, Michigan. Board of Wayne County Commissioners. Annual Reports to the Board of Supervisors of Wayne County. 1(1906-1907); 3(1908-1909); 4(1909-1910); 5(1910-1911); 6(1911-1912); 7(1912-1913); 9(1914-1915); 10(1915-1916); 11(1916-1917); 12(1917-1918); 14(1919-1920); 15(1920-1921); 16(1921-1922); 17(1922-1923); 20(1925-1926); 21(1926-1927); 22(1927-1928); 18(1923-1924); 19(1924-1925); 26(1931-1932); 27(1932-1933); 25(1930-1931); 23(1928-1929); 24(1929-1930); 32(1937-1938); 31(1936-1937); 30(1935-1936); 28(1933-1934); 29(1934-1935); 36(1941-1942); 37(1942-1943); 35(1940-1941); 34(1939-1940); 33(1938-1939); 42(1947-1948); 41(1946-1947); 38(1943-1944); 39(1944-1945); 40(1945-1946); 46(1951-1952); 47(1952-1953); 43(1948-1949); 44(1949-1950); 45(1950-1951); 48(1953-1954); 49(1954-1955); 50(1955-1956).

Davison Limited Highway. N.p., 1951 reprint.