The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information								41-51-04 =	092-05-05 = -
Iowa [19]	9] lowa County [095]		Unknown [00	Unknown [00000] 81110207				41.851111	92.084722
191330 Highway agency district 0 Ow			Owner Co	wner County Highway Agency [02] Maintenance responsibility		County Highway Agency [02]			
Route 0	LOCAL	-		Toll On fre	e road [3]	Features inters	sected SMALL STI	REAM	
Design - Main Steel [3] Truss - Thr	u [10]	Design - approach Other	r [00]		Kilometerpoint Year built 1920 Skew angle 0	0 km = 0.0 mi Year r Structure	reconstructed 196.	2	
					Historical significa	nce Bridge	e is possibly eligible	e for the NRHP. [3]	
Total length 18.9 m = 62.0 ft Length of maximum span 18.3 m = 60.0 ft Deck width, out-to-out 4.8 m = 15.7 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 4.8 m = 15.7 ft									
Inventory Route, Tota	Horizontal Clearance	4.8 m = 15.7 ft	Curb c	or sidewalk wi	dth - left 0 m = 0	0.0 ft	Curb or side	ewalk width - right	0 m = 0.0 ft
Deck structure type	Co	ncrete Cast-in-Pla	ce [1]						
Type of wearing surface Monolithic Concrete (concurrently placed with structural deck) [1]									
Deck protection									
Type of membrane/we	earing surface								
Weight Limits									
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rating		Allowab	Allowable Stress(AS) [2]		Inventory rating	9.5 metric ton =	10.5 tons		
0.6 km = 0.4 mi Method to determine operating rating			Allowab	Allowable Stress(AS) [2]		Operating rating	17.2 metric ton	= 18.9 tons	
	Bridge posting					Design Load			

Functional Details					
Average Daily Traffic 45 Average daily tr	uck traffi 78 % Year 2010 Fu	ture average daily traffic	15 Year 2031		
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure 1		Approach roadway width 8.2 m = 26.9 ft		
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic One lane	bridge for 2 - way traffic [3]	Bridge median		
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]				
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0	Navigation control			
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizont	tal clearance 0 = N/A			
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bri	dge 0 m = 0.0 ft	Minimum vertical clearand	ce over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft		
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature F	eature not a highway or railroad [N]				
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A					
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical und	derclearance reference featur	Feature not a highway or railroad [N]		
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]					
Donois and Donlocoment Dlane					
Repair and Replacement Plans		. [4]			
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contr	ract [1]			
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 323000	Roadway impro	vement cost 32000		
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement	20 m = 393.7 ft Tota	al project cost		
	Year of improvement cost estimate	2008			
	Border bridge - state	Borde	er bridge - percent responsibility of other state		
	Border bridge - structure number				

Inspection and Sufficiency						
Structure status Posted for lo	status Posted for load [P]		Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]			
Condition ratings - superstructur	Poor [4]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Better than present minimum criteria [7]			
Condition ratings - substructure Poor [4]		Appraisal ratings - deck geometry	Equal to present desirable criteria [8]			
Condition ratings - deck	Fair [5]	dock geometry				
Scour			sessed or calculated scour condition. [8]			
Channel and channel protection	Bank protection is in need of n Banks and/or channel have m	minor repairs. River contr inor amounts of drift. [7]	Itrol devices and embankment protection have a little minor damage.			
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Better than present minimum	criteria [7]	Status evaluation Structurally deficient [1]			
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating 26.2			
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]					
Traffic safety features - railings						
Traffic safety features - transition	ns					
Traffic safety features - approach	h guardrail					
Traffic safety features - approach	h guardrail ends					
Inspection date June 2011 [0	Designated inspe	ction frequency 12	. Months			
Underwater inspection Not needed [N]		Underwater inspec	ection date			
Fracture critical inspection Every two years [Y24]		Fracture critical ins	nspection date May 2010 [0510]			
Other special inspection	Every year [Y12]	Other special inspe	pection date June 2011 [0611]			