The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							41-57-20 =	091-31-40 = -
lowa [19] Linn County [113]			Unknown [00390] 761809			41.955556	91.527778	
220880 Highway agency district 6		Owner City or Municipa	Owner City or Municipal Highway Agency [04] Maintenance responsibility			City or Municipal H	lighway Agency [04]	
Route 0	BIG C	REEK RD.	Toll On fre	ee road [3]	Features interse	cted BIG CREEK		
Design - Steel [3] main 1 Truss - Thr	u [10]	approach	d or timber [7] ger/Multi-beam or girder [02]	Kilometerpoint Year built 1929 Skew angle 0 Historical signific	Structure F	constructed N/A [Clared spossibly eligible f	•	
Total length 36 m = 118.1 ft Length of maximum span 30.5 m = 100.1 ft Deck width, out-to-out 4.8 m = 15.7 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 4.7 m = 15.4 ft Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 4.6 m = 15.1 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 0 m = 0.0 ft Curb or sidewalk width - right 0 m = 0.0 ft								
· ·		lood or Timber [8]						
Type of membrane/wo	earing surface							
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length 0.6 km = 0.4 mi Method to determine inventory rating Method to determine operating rating		No rating analysis performed [5] No rating analysis performed [5]		Inventory rating 7.1 metric ton = 7.8 tons Operating rating 8.9 metric ton = 9.8 tons				
	Bridge posting	20.0 - 29.9 % belo	ow [2]		Design Load			

Functional Details							
Average Daily Traffic 110 Average daily tru	ıck traffi 0 % Year 2005 Future average daily traffic 148 Year 2028						
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure 1 Approach roadway width 7.9 m = 25.9 ft						
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic One lane bridge for 2 - way traffic [3] Bridge median						
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]						
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control						
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A						
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge 0 m = 0.0 ft Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 3.96 m = 13.0 ft							
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Fe	ature not a highway or railroad [N]						
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A							
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]							
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]							
Danair and Danlacement Dlane							
Repair and Replacement Plans	World days had Warlets had days had santus at [4]						
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]						
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 450000 Roadway improvement cost 100000						
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 45.7 m = 149.9 ft Total project cost 550000						
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2009						
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state						
	Border bridge - structure number						

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Posted for load [P]		Appraisal ratings - structural	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]						
Condition ratings - superstructur Fair [5]		Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment							
Condition ratings - substructure	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]						
Condition ratings - deck	Fair [5]	deck geometry							
Scour		Bridge foundations determined to be stable for the assessed or calculated scour condition. [8]							
Channel and channel protection		Bank protection is in need of minor repairs. River control devices and embankment protection have a little minor damage. Banks and/or channel have minor amounts of drift. [7]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Better than prese	Better than present minimum criteria [7]		Structurally deficient [1]					
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency	y rating 17.9					
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culve	t. [N]							
Traffic safety features - railings		npected feature meets currently acce	ure meets currently acceptable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety features - transition	ns	npected feature meets currently acce	eature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail	npected feature meets currently acce	ature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail ends	npected feature meets currently acce	ture meets currently acceptable standards. [1]						
Inspection date November 2008 [1108] Designated inspection frequency 24 Months									
Underwater inspection Unknown [N00]		Underwater inspe	Underwater inspection date						
Fracture critical inspection	Every two years [Y24]	Fracture critical in	spection date Nover	mber 2008 [1108]					
Other special inspection	Unknown [N00]	Other special insp	Other special inspection date						