HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							41-50-16 =	090-10-58 = -
Iowa [19]	Clinton County [045]]	Unknown [01415]	#Num!			41.837778	90.182778
20860 Highway agency district 6		Owner State Highway A	Owner State Highway Agency [01] Maintenance res		esponsibility	State Highway Ag	ency [01]	
Route 30	Route 30 US 30		Toll On fre	e road [3]	eatures intersect	ed MISSISSIPF	PI RIVER & RR	
Design - Steel [3] main		Design - Steel approach	continuous [4]	Kilometerpoint 624 Year built 1956	12.6 km = 3870.4 Year reco	mi onstructed N/A	[0000]	
1 Suspension	n [13]	15 Girder	r and floorbeam system [03]	Skew angle 0	Structure Fla			
				Historical significance	Bridge is	not eligible for th	he NRHP. [5]	
Total length 1269.5	m = 4165.2 ft Lei	ength of maximum spa	an 196.3 m = 644.1 ft	Deck width, out-to-ou	ut 10.3 m = 33.8	ft Bridge road	dway width, curb-to-c	curb $7.9 \text{ m} = 25.9 \text{ ft}$
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 7.9 m = 25.9 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 3 m = 9.8						Curb or side	ewalk width - right	3 m = 9.8 ft
Deck structure type Concrete Cast-in-Place [1]								
Type of wearing surface Monolithic Concrete (concurrently placed with str	uctural deck) [1]				
Deck protection Epoxy Coated Reinfo			rcing [1]					
Type of membrane/wearing surface								
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length	Method to detern	mine inventory rating	Allowable Stress(AS) [2] Inve	entory rating	32.7 metric ton =	= 36.0 tons	
1 km = 0.6 mi	Method to determ	mine operating rating	Allowable Stress(AS) [2] Ope	erating rating	49.9 metric ton =	= 54.9 tons	
	Bridge posting	Equal to or above le	egal loads [5]	Des	sign Load MS 1	8 / HS 20 [5]		

Functional Details		
Average Daily Traffic 10200 Average daily tr	uck traffi 10 % Year 2011 Future avera	age daily traffic 16390 Year 2030
Road classification Other Principal Arterial (Urban)	14] Lanes on structure 2	Approach roadway width 12.8 m = 42.0 ft
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]	Bridge median
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]	
Type of service under bridge Railroad-waterway [7]	Lanes under structure 0 Navi	Mavigation control on waterway (bridge permit required). [1]
Navigation vertical clearanc 19.5 m = 64.0 ft	Navigation horizontal clearar	ce 173.1 m = 567.9 ft
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift brid	Minim Minim	um vertical clearance over bridge roadway 9.75 m = 32.0 ft
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature R	ailroad beneath structure [R]	
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 3.7 m = 12.	ft Minimum	lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 9.12 m = 29.9 ft	Minimum vertical underclearar	ce reference feature Railroad beneath structure [R]
Appraisal ratings - underclearances Somewhat better	r than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in pla	ce as is [5]
Repair and Replacement Plans		
Type of work to be performed	Work done by	
	Bridge improvement cost 0	Roadway improvement cost 0
	Length of structure improvement 0 m = 0.0	t Total project cost
	Year of improvement cost estimate	
	Border bridge - state Unknown [175]	Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state 50
	Border bridge - structure number 9860032146	0

Inspection and Sufficiency							
Structure status Open, no res	triction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Somewhat is [5]	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]			
Condition ratings - superstructur	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment		Better than present minimum criteria [7]			
Condition ratings - substructure	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of corrrective act			action [3]	
Condition ratings - deck	Satisfactory [6]	deck geometry					
Scour	Bridge foundations determin	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for assessed or calculated scour condition. [5]					
Channel and channel protection	Banks are protected or well required or are in a stable c		evices such as	s spur dikes and emb	pankment protection are	not	
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Equal to present desirable of	al to present desirable criteria [8]		Status evaluation	Functionally obsolete [2	2]	
Pier or abutment protection	Navigation protection not re	Navigation protection not required [1]		Sufficiency rating	56.5		
Culverts Not applicable. Used if structure is not a culvert. [N]							
Traffic safety features - railings	ature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety features - transition	·	ed feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]					
Traffic safety features - approach	Ů	Inpected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]					
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail ends Inpected fe	ed feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]					
Inspection date October 2010 [1010] Designated inspection frequency 24 Months							
Underwater inspection Unknown [Y60]		Underwater inspec	Underwater inspection date		008]		
Fracture critical inspection	Every two years [Y24]	Fracture critical ins	spection date	October 2010 [1010]			
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special inspe	ection date				

HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information								
Illinois [17] Whiteside County [195]		Garden Plain [28586] 1 MI W OF ILL 84			41-50-16 = 41	8 090-10-25 = -90.1		
98600321460 Highway agency district 2		Owner State Highway A	way Agency [01] Maintenance responsibility		State Highway Ag	ency [01]		
Route 30	Route 30 US 30			Toll On free road [3] Features intersected MISSISSIPP			PI RIVER	
Design - Steel cont		approach	continuous [4]	Kilometerpoint 80.5 Year built 1956	km = 49.9 mi Year rec	onstructed 1999)	
1 Suspension	1 Suspension [13] 30 Girder			Skew angle 0	Structure Fla	ared		
				Historical significance	Historica	l significance is r	not determinable at t	his time. [4]
Total length 1269.5	m = 4165.2 ft	Length of maximum spa	an 196.3 m = 644.1 ft	Deck width, out-to-out	10.8 m = 35.4	ft Bridge road	lway width, curb-to-	eurb 8.9 m = 29.2 ft
Inventory Route, Total	al Horizontal Clear	ance 8.9 m = 29.2 ft	Curb or sidewalk wi	old th - left $old m = 0.0 ft$		Curb or side	walk width - right	0 m = 0.0 ft
Deck structure type		Concrete Cast-in-Place	ce [1]					
Type of wearing surfa	ace	Monolithic Concrete (concurrently placed with str	ncurrently placed with structural deck) [1]				
Deck protection Epoxy Coated Reinfor		rcing [1]	g [1]					
Type of membrane/w	earing surface							
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour leng	th Method to de	etermine inventory rating	Allowable Stress(AS)) [2] Inver	ntory rating	32.4 metric ton =	= 35.6 tons	
1 km = 0.6 mi	Method to de	etermine operating rating	Allowable Stress(AS)) [2] Oper	rating rating	49.5 metric ton =	= 54.5 tons	
	Bridge postir	Equal to or above le	egal loads [5]	Desi	gn Load MS	18 / HS 20 [5]		

Functional Details		
Average Daily Traffic 11600 Average daily tr	uck traffi 11 % Year 2011 Future average daily traffi	ic 11867 Year 2032
Road classification	[02] Lanes on structure 2	Approach roadway width 12.2 m = 40.0 ft
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]	Bridge median
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]	
Type of service under bridge Railroad-waterway [7]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control	Navigation control on waterway (bridge permit required). [1]
Navigation vertical clearanc 13.7 m = 44.9 ft	Navigation horizontal clearance 173.1 m	= 567.9 ft
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift brid	Minimum vertical cle	earance over bridge roadway 7.62 m = 25.0 ft
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature R	ailroad beneath structure [R]	
Minimum lateral underclearance on right $3.7 \text{ m} = 12.$	1 ft Minimum lateral under	rclearance on left 0 = N/A
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 9.12 m = 29.9 ft	Minimum vertical underclearance reference	feature Railroad beneath structure [R]
Appraisal ratings - underclearances Somewhat better	r than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]	
Danain and Danlessmant Dlane		
Repair and Replacement Plans	W. J. J. W. J.	
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]	
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 18583000 Roadway	improvement cost 1858000
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 1269.5 m = 4165.2 ft	Total project cost 27875000
	Year of improvement cost estimate	
	Border bridge - state Unknown [197]	Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state 50
	Border bridge - structure number 20860	

Inspection and Sufficiency						
Structure status Open, no res	striction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]			
Condition ratings - superstructur	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Better than pr	Better than present minimum criteria [7]		
Condition ratings - substructure	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings -	Meets minimu	[4]		
Condition ratings - deck	Satisfactory [6]	deck geometry				
Scour Bridge foundations of		s determined to be stable for assess	sed or calculated s	scour condition. [5]		
Channel and channel protection		ed or well vegetated. River control a stable condition. [8]	devices such as s	pur dikes and embankment protection ar	e not	
Appraisal ratings - water adequate	Equal to present	desirable criteria [8]	Sta	atus evaluation		
Pier or abutment protection	Navigation prote	Navigation protection not required [1]		ufficiency rating 57.8		
Culverts Not applicable. Used if structure is not a culvert. [N]						
Traffic safety features - railings		npected feature meets currently acc	eptable standards			
Traffic safety features - transitions		npected feature meets currently acc				
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail		npected feature meets currently acc				
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail ends	pected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]				
Inspection date October 201	0 [1010] Desi	nated inspection frequency 24	4 Mont	ths		
Underwater inspection Every two year		Underwater inspe	ection date	November 2010 [1110]		
Fracture critical inspection	Every two years [Y24]	Fracture critical in	•	October 2010 [1010]		
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special ins	pection date			