## HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							42-04-31 =	090-10-32 = -
lowa [19] Jackson County [097]		Unknown [06705] #Num!			42.075278	90.175556		
29950 Highway agency district 6		Owner State Highway Agency [01] Maintenance re		esponsibility	State Highway Age	ency [01]		
Route 52 US 52 & IA 64			Toll On fre	ee road [3]	eatures intersecte	d MISSISSIPF	PI R OVERFLOW	
Design - Steel [3] main		Design - Steel approach	[3]	Kilometerpoint 390 Year built 1933	1.2 km = 241.9 mi Year recor	nstructed 1985	j	
1 Truss - Thr	u [10]	2 String	er/Multi-beam or girder [02]	Skew angle 0	Structure Flar			
				Historical significance	Bridge is p	ossibly eligible	for the NRHP. [3]	
Total length 104.2 m = 341.9 ft Length of maximum span 36.6 m = 120.1 ft Deck width, out-to-out 6.8 m = 22.3 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 6.1 m = 20.0 ft								
Inventory Route, Tota	l Horizontal Clearanc	ce 6.1 m = 20.0 ft	Curb or sidewalk w	idth - left $0 \text{ m} = 0.0 \text{ ft}$	t	Curb or side	ewalk width - right	0 m = 0.0 ft
Deck structure type		Concrete Cast-in-Plac	ce [1]					
Type of wearing surface Monolithic Concrete (concurrently placed with structural deck) [1]			uctural deck) [1]					
Deck protection								
Type of membrane/we	earing surface							
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rating		Allowable Stress(AS) [2]		entory rating 1	ntory rating 16.1 metric ton = 17.7 tons			
1 km = 0.6 mi Method to determine operating rating		Allowable Stress(AS	) [2] Ope	erating rating 3	1.7 metric ton =	= 34.9 tons		
Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [5]			Des	sign Load M 13.	5 / H 15 [2]			

Functional Details						
Average Daily Traffic 2060 Average daily to	uck traffi 6 % Year 2011 Future average dail	y traffic 2773 Year 2030				
Road classification Minor Arterial (Rural) [06]	Lanes on structure 2	Approach roadway width 8.5 m = 27.9 ft				
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]	Bridge median				
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]					
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation c	control				
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 =	· N/A				
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge  Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway  4.29 m = 14.1 ft						
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature F	eature not a highway or railroad [N]					
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A	Minimum lateral	underclearance on left 0 = N/A				
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance reference	rence feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]				
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]						
Repair and Replacement Plans						
Type of work to be performed	Work done by					
Type of work to be performed						
	Bridge improvement cost 0 Roa	ndway improvement cost 0				
	Length of structure improvement 0 m = 0.0 ft	Total project cost				
	Year of improvement cost estimate					
	Border bridge - state	Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state				
	Border bridge - structure number					

Inspection and Sufficiency								
Structure status Open, no res	striction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]  Equal to present minimum criteria [6]					
Condition ratings - superstructur	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment						
Condition ratings - substructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]					
Condition ratings - deck	Satisfactory [6]	deck geometry						
Scour	Bridge is scour critical; bridge fo	Bridge is scour critical; bridge foundations determined to be unstable. [3]						
Channel and channel protection	Bank protection is being eroded channel. [5]	Bank protection is being eroded. River control devices and/or embankment have major damage. Trees and rush restrict the channel. [5]						
Appraisal ratings - water adequad	Meets minimum tolerable limits	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]  Status evaluation  Functionally obsolete [2]						
Pier or abutment protection			Su	Sufficiency rating 42.9				
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]							
Traffic safety features - railings								
Traffic safety features - transitions								
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail								
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail ends							
Inspection date July 2010 [0	nths							
Underwater inspection	Unknown [Y60]	Underwater inspec	ction date	November 2007 [1107]				
·	Every two years [Y24]	Fracture critical ins		July 2010 [0710]				
Other special inspection	Unknown [Y27]	Other special inspe	ection date	April 2011 [0411]				