## **Kansas Historic Resources Inventory**

Printed: 06/28/2016



121-0000-00192 Carey's Ford Bridge -- 335TH ST Osawatomie vicinity





### **LOCATION:**

County: Miami

Address: -- 335TH ST

Address Remarks: 4.5 mi. W of Osawatomie

City: Osawatomie vicinity

Zip:

Parcel ID:

Legal Description: SE 1/4 of SW 1/4 of SE 1/4 of Section 36 Township 17S Range 21E

Legal Description Remarks: On section line road between S36-T17S-R21E (north) & S1-T18S-R21E (south)

**Latitude, Longitude 1:** 38.520692 -95.033628

Latitude, Longitude 2: Latitude, Longitude 3: Latitude, Longitude 4:

Datum: WGS84

#### **DESCRIPTION:**

Historic Name: Carey's Ford Bridge

**Alternate Name:** 

Historic Function: Transportation

Subcategory: Road-Related (Vehicular)

**Historic Function Remarks:** 

Present Function: Transportation

Subcategory: Road-Related (Vehicular)

**Present Function Remarks:** 

Residential/Commercial/Religious Style:

Secondary Style:

**Barn Type:** Not Applicable **Bridge Type:** Camelback Truss

Landscape Type:

Physical Description/Remarks: Pinned camelback through truss.

Plan Form: Rectangle

Commercial Building Type: Not Applicable

Roof Form: Not Applicable

Stories: 1

Condition: Fair

Principal Material: Metal

**Condition Remarks:** 

Architect/Designer/Builder: Kansas City Bridge Co.

Year of Construction: 1909

Certainty: Documented

Date Notes:

**General Remarks:** 

**Ancillary Structures:** 

**Ancillary Structure Remarks:** 

### **REGISTER STATUS:**

Listed in State Register: Yes

Date of State Listing: 08/26/1989

Listed in National Register: Yes

**Date of National Listing:** 01/04/1990

**Historic District:** 

Demolished:

Date Demolished (if applicable):

**Potentially Eligible for National Register:** 

**Register Status Remarks:** 

Thematic Nomination (MPDF): Metal Truss Bridges in Kansas

**National Historic Landmark:** 

### **SURVEY INFORMATION:**

There is no survey information for this record.

### **IMAGES & DOCUMENTS**



Carey's Ford Bridge. 1980s.



Carey's Ford Bridge. 2009.



Carey's Ford Bridge. 2009.

Carey's Ford Bridge.
National Register nomination. 1989.
KSHS.

## United States Department of the Interior National Park Service

## National Register of Historic Places Registration Form

This form is for use in nominating or requesting determinations of eligibility for individual properties or districts. See instructions in Guldelines for Completing National Register Forms (National Register Bulletin 16). Complete each item by marking "x" in the appropriate box or by entering the requested information. If an item does not apply to the property being documented, enter "N/A" for "not applicable." For functions, styles, materials, and areas of significance, enter only the categories and subcategories listed in the instructions. For additional space use continuation sheets (Form 10-900a). Type all entries.

Name of Property		al settim ero devini saut susenilli esi entiterbini selatipera stunci rapazzani benin ero erotmissi unequa	CO - COMMON DE PRESIDENCE O COMBRE DE CEMPRO COMO DA MESTA DE COMPRENDA DE COMPRENDA DE COMPRENDA ANTICO DE CO	
historic name Carey's Ford	Bridge		Mana-maniara zem a Micelin kesin iliyatiga sista una mania a Aramania a Mana-maniara mana una mana una sistema Mana-maniara zem a Micelin kesin iliyatiga sista una mania a Aramania a Mana-maniara mana-maniara maniara mani	
	0 .			
2. Location 4 miles west, 1.5 m street & number Unmarked county	niles north, 3 miles west of road	intersection F.A.S. 25	not for publication	
city, town Osawatomie			X vicinity	
state Kansas code	KS county Miami	code 121	zip code 66064	
3. Classification	соворительного можения выправления от предоставления от предоставления от предоставления выправления от предост Предоставления выправления выправления от предоставления от предоставления выправления выправления выправления	A Company and a separation of the company of the co	entrium at relativit constructivitati della sali represendati terrak esta este este proposivo colla esto esta esta est	
Ownership of Property	Category of Property	Number of Resources within Property		
private  public-local  public-State  public-Federal	building(s) district site structure	Contributing	Noncontributingbuildingssitesstructures	
	object	***************************************	objects Total	
Name of related multiple property listi	ng:	Number of contri	NASOLA SIGNA MANAGONA MANAGONA	
Metal Truss Bridges of Kansas	ing.		Number of contributing resources previously listed in the National Register0	
4. State/Federal Agency Certific			иментурования подавительного предостивного предостивного подавительного подавител	
Signature of certifying official State or Federal agency and bureau			Nov. 16, 1989 Date	
In my opinion, the property mee	etsdoes not meet the National	Register criteria. See	continuation sheet.	
Signature of commenting or other offici	al		Date	
State or Federal agency and bureau			n mara sama adminigra dan kangan dadmi padapun-sama sambana pisa can pampa	
5. National Park Service Certific	ation			
, hereby, certify that this property is:				
, nereby, certify that this property is.	The state of the s	untum man men er kan har bereitet konder ver ein in det en zu zu de unter er bezeit beitrig de ver de en zich er eilen bezeit de zu de zeit bezeit de zu de zeit bezeit de zeit de zeit de zeit bezeit de zeit		
entered in the National Register.  See continuation sheet.				
entered in the National Register.  See continuation sheet.  determined eligible for the National	ı			
entered in the National Register.  See continuation sheet.  determined eligible for the National Register.  See continuation sheet.  determined not eligible for the				
entered in the National Register.  See continuation sheet.  determined eligible for the National Register.  See continuation sheet.  determined not eligible for the National Register.				
entered in the National Register.  See continuation sheet.  determined eligible for the National Register.  See continuation sheet.  determined not eligible for the	от.			

6. Function or Use	[1] [1] [1] [2] [2] [2] [3] [3] [4] [4] [4] [4] [4] [4] [4] [4] [4] [4
Historic Functions (enter categoriesom instructions)	Current Functions (enter categories from instructions)
Transportation: Road Related (vehicular): F	Bridge Transportation: Road Related
	(Vehicular): Bridge
NAMES AND ADDRESS OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPE	
7. Description	
Architectural Classification (enter categories from instructions)	Materials (enter categories from instructions)
	foundation
Other: Camelback through truss	walls
SECURITIES DE LEGISLATION DE LEGISLA	roof
Make you be an expected upon comment of the colored data dead of colored and colored to the colored to th	other Metal: steel

Describe present and historic physical appearance.

Carey's Ford bridge, built in 1909, consists of a main camelback span which is 159 feet long and 15.5 feet wide. The two Warren pony spans are 37 feet above the water level.

The members of a truss bridge are designated either as chord members or web members. Chord members are those mainly defining the outlines of the structure and they are termed lower or upper chord members depending on whether they are found at the bottom or the top of the structure. Members between the chords are web members. They are called posts or ties if they sustain compression or tension respectively. In the instance of the Carey's Ford bridge, as with all camelback trusses, the web members are alternately vertical and inclined. The inclined members are in tension and the verticals in compression. In the case of the two pony trusses, the diagonals carry both compressive as well as tensile forces.

In the case of the camelback truss the inclined endposts and top chord consist of exactly five slopes. In the Carey's Ford bridge they are built up of sections consisting of two steel channels, a top plate and tied together with single bar lacing. The hip verticals, posts and main diagonals are all fabricated from angle stock with horizontal flat lacing bars. The portal bracing is fabricated from angle stock and flat bars. The main connections are pinned. The riveted pony spans are Warren trusses with verticals. It features single bar "ladder" type bracing on diagonals and verticals. It is of all riveted construction.

The hip verticals of the pony truss at the east approach have been reinforced but the bridge retains a high degree of its structural integrity.

-		See	continuation	sheet
---	--	-----	--------------	-------

8. Statement of Significance	ACCOMMENDATION AND ACCOMMENDATION OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPE	
Certifying official has considered significance of this property in ationally starting starti	n relation to other properties: tewide locally	
Applicable National Register Criteria A B C C	D	
Criteria Considerations (Exceptions)	D DE DF DG	
Areas of Significance (enter categories from instructions) Engineering	Period of Significance	Significant Dates 1909
Transportation	1909	1909
the state of the s		CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY OF
	Cultural Affiliation n/a	
Significant Person	Architect/Builder Kansas City Bridge Company	

State significance of property, and justify criteria, criteria considerations, and areas and periods of significance noted above.

The great evolution of truss bridge construction began in the United States soon after the publication of Squire Whipple's historic work on stresses in 1840. Prior to this the design work was essentially that of trial and error, experience and judgement. The Warren and Pratt trusses were rational designs and lent themselves readily to the system of analysis postulated by Whipple. They were, therefore, readily and rapidly accepted and formed the foundation for a greater part of American truss design. The camelback, with its five slope-polygonal top chord is a variant of the Pratt truss. This arched top chord made for a stronger bridge while using the same amount of material. The five slopes allowed for both greater standardization of its members and better stress distribution than other Pratt variants such as the Parker. It was also a more economical design in many situations.

The greater strength of steel over wrought iron allowed the use of fewer, though more massive, members. Steel bridges make a definite first impression on the viewer. As Davie Weitzman reports in his <u>Traces of the Past</u>, the steel bridge appears "more massive, ponderous, more earthbound," than its wrought iron relative. Although the Carey's Ford bridge is fabricated from steel, it still retains the popular 19th century practice of pinning the main connections. In this respect it represents a transitional phase in bridge construction. Pin connections were vestigal in Kansas bridges by 1909.

The camelback truss featured in this nomination is the oldest and one of the three remaining camelback through trusses left in Kansas. It retains a high degree of its integrity. It was also constructed by a prolific out-of-state builder, Kansas City Bridge Company of Kansas City, Missouri.

Bridges were a high priority item for Miami county in 1908. It was becoming increasing apparent that access to centers of trade had to be

X See continuation sheet

United States Department of the Interior National Park Service

# National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Section number 8 Page 1

improved. Consequently the county commissioner, W. M. Krumsick, proposed the erection of four bridges. The largest of the four was to cross Carey's Ford over the Marais des Cygnes river and is the subject of the nomination. It would greatly improve access to and open up markets in Osawatomie.

Krumsick and Chairman Archie Lee voted in favor of the bridges while Commissioenr R. Hampson voted against. Although the measure passed, the controversy had just begun. The need for river crossings was never contested bu the exact locations were. The two major sites for the bridge of interest in this nomination were Henry Carey's Ford between sections 36 T17 R21 and S1 T18 R21, and on a site just south of a Mr. Croan's house on the range line.

Newspapers advised against the choice of Carey's Ford even though a majority of the commission favored it. Any bridge, they argued, would genefit the county. Opponents should instead lobby for the erection of additional bridges.

Bids were opened for a structure on the Carey's Ford site on December 7, 1908. Six companies submitted bids, the Illinois Steel Bridge Company (\$7,300); the Midland Bridge Company (\$7,250); the Missouri Valley Bridge and Iron Company (\$7,150); Canton Bridge Company (\$7,500); Standard Bridge Company (\$7,640); and Kansas City Bridge Company (\$7,750 or \$6,885).

Opposition again surfaced and the decision was postponed until the morning of December 8. At this time Commissioner Hampson offered a motion to reject building the bridge because of the location dispute and the great expense. The motion was rejected and the contract was given to the Kansas City Bridge Company for \$6,885.

When several citizens proposed going to court to stop construction the Western Spirit advised caution. If such tack became common, few bridges would ever be built. A few dissatisfied individuals could halt such construction in every locality. The newspaper again advised that Miami county people should organize to have a dozen more bridges built.

The advice was evidently taken as no further vocal opposition appeared and the project was pursued until completion in 1909.

The Kansas Department of Transportation (KDOT) carried out a statewide inventory of historic bridges between 1980 and 1983. The bridges to be included were identified through computer printouts developed by KDOT, from information supplied by the counties (since almost all of the historic

United States Department of the Interior National Park Service

## National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Section number 8 Page 2

bridges were located on secondary rather than primary road system), and by direct observation by field personnel. All bridges were inspected by KDOT personnel to verify the data on file. That information was jointly evaluated by representatives of KDOT, Kansas State Historical Society, and the State Historic Preservation Officer.

Each structure was evaluated using a points rating system adapted from the points evaluation rating developed by the Ohio Department of Transportation and Ohio Historic Preservation Office. Consideration was given to areas such as age, builder, number of spans, length, special features, history, integrity, surviving numbers, and preservation potential.

In many instances there is little information about individual structures. Often bridge plaques which may have contained information have been removed, or the county's records are not complete or have been destroyed. Due to the large numbers of similar structures there is often little to choose from in differentiating among individual bridges other than condition and the likelihood of preservation.

The purpose of the KDOT study and subsequent evaluation was to identify a representative selection of bridges of each class. Through this approach KDOT and KSHS hope to preserve for posterity some examples of each type.

Victor C. Darnell, American Bridge Build Society for Industrial Archeology C David Weitzman, Traces of the Past: A Fi New York: Charles Scribner's Sons, James L. Cooper, Iron Monuments to Dista F.H.W.A., Indiana Dept. of Highways N.P.S., 1987. Dan G. Deibler, A Survey and Photographi in Virginia, Charlottesville: Virginal Research Council, 1975.	Dccasional Publication 4, 1984.  ield Guide to Industrial Archeology, 1980.  ant Posterity, DePauw University, s, Indiana Dept. Natural Resources, ic Inventory of Metal Truss Bridges
"Don't Fight Bridges," (Paola) Western S	Spirit, December 25, 1908, p. 4.
	X See continuation sheet
Previous documentation on file (NPS):  preliminary determination of individual listing (36 CFR 67) has been requested previously listed in the National Register previously determined eligible by the National Register designated a National Historic Landmark recorded by Historic American Buildings Survey # recorded by Historic American Engineering Record #	Primary location of additional data:  State historic preservation office Other State agency Federal agency Local government University Other Specify repository: Kansas State Historical Society
10. Geographical Data	
Acreage of property less than one acre	
UTM References  A [1,5] [3] [2,2] [7,2,0] [4,2] [6,5] [3,4,0]  Zone Easting Northing  C [	B Zone Easting Northing
	See continuation sheet
Verbal Boundary Description The nominated property is located on the section 36, township 175, range 21E, on whose northeast corner is represented by pridge. Beginning at the northeast corner is soutwest, 15.5 feet northwest, 252 feet to the point of beginning.	the northeast corner of the er the boundary proceeds 252 feet
Boundary Justification	
The boundary includes only that area that he nominated property.	t is historically associated with
	See continuation sheet
11. Form Prepared By	
name/title Larry Jochims	
street & number 120 W. 10th	date <u>September 20, 1989</u> telephone <u>(913) 296-3251</u>
city or townTopeka	state KS zip code 66612

9. Major Bibliographical References

United States Department of the Interior National Park Service

# National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Section number 9 Page 1

"Commissioners Proceedings," (Paola) Western Spirit, December 11, 1908, p. 6.

"Kick on a Bridge," (Paola) Miami Republican, November 27, 1908, p. 1.

"County Business," (Paola) Western Spirit, November 13, 1908, p. 5.

"Rural Route No. 3," (Paola) Miami Republican, November 30, 1908, p. 6.

