HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information						38-32-06.61 =	095-02-29.68
Kansas [20] Miami County [121]		Unknown [00000] 8.8W 2.6S OF PAOLA		38.535169	= -95.041578		
000000000610110	Highway agenc	cy district: 4	Owner County Highway	y Agency [02]	Maintenance respons	bility County Highway A	gency [02]
Route 264	327th	264	Toll On fre	ee road [3] Fe	eatures intersected STF	REAM	
Design - Concrete [1] main	[11]	Design - approach	Inol	Kilometerpoint 207 Year built 1912	.8 km = 128.8 mi Year reconstruct	ed N/A [0000]	
1 Arch - Deck	[11]	0 Other	[00]	Skew angle 0	Structure Flared		
				Historical significance	Bridge is possibly	y eligible for the NRHP. [3]	
Total length 13.7 m =	44.9 ft Len	gth of maximum spa	12.5 m = 41.0 ft	Deck width, out-to-ou	7.8 m = 25.6 ft Bri	dge roadway width, curb-to-c	urb 7.3 m = 24.0 ft
Inventory Route, Total	Horizontal Clearance	7.3 m = 24.0 ft	Curb or sidewalk w	idth - left $0 \text{ m} = 0.0 \text{ ft}$	Cur	b or sidewalk width - right	0 m = 0.0 ft
Deck structure type	0	ther [9]					
Type of wearing surfac	e Bi	ituminous [6]					
Deck protection							
Type of membrane/wea	aring surface						
Weight Limits							
Bypass, detour length	Method to determ	ine inventory rating	Allowable Stress(AS) [2] Inve	entory rating 10.9 me	etric ton = 12.0 tons	
0.6 km = 0.4 mi	Method to determ	ine operating rating	Allowable Stress(AS) [2] Ope	erating rating 16.3 me	etric ton = 17.9 tons	
	Bridge posting	20.0 - 29.9 % belo	w [2]	Des	ign Load		

Functional Details										
Average Daily Traffic 532 Average daily tr	uck traffi 10 % Year 2011 Future average daily traffic 751 Year 2030									
Road classification Major Collector (Rural) [07]	Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 6.7 m = 22.0 ft									
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge median									
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure exists. [N]										
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control									
Navigation vertical clearance 0 = N/A Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A										
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft										
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]										
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 10 m = 32.8 ft Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A										
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]										
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]										
Repair and Replacement Plans										
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by owner's forces [2]									
Bridge rehabilitation because of general structure deterioration or inadequate strength. [35]	Bridge improvement cost 150000 Roadway improvement cost 95000									
deterioration of madequate strength. [55]	Length of structure improvement 18.3 m = 60.0 ft Total project cost 250000									
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2008									
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state									
Border bridge - structure number										

Inspection and Sufficiency								
Structure status Posted for load [P]		Appraisal ratings - structural	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of corrrective action [3]					
Condition ratings - superstructur	e Poor [4]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Better than present n					
Condition ratings - substructure	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings -	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]					
Condition ratings - deck	Satisfactory [6]	deck geometry						
Scour	Bridge foundations determine required. [4]	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for assessed or calculated scour conditions; field review indicates action is required. [4]						
Channel and channel protection	Bank protection is being erodechannel. [5]	Bank protection is being eroded. River control devices and/or embankment have major damage. Trees and rush restrict the channel. [5]						
Appraisal ratings - water adequa	Somewhat better than minimi in place as is [5]	um adequacy to tolerate b	peing left Status eva	aluation Structurally deficient [1]				
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficienc	cy rating 28.1				
Culverts Not applicable. Used	d if structure is not a culvert. [N]							
Traffic safety features - railings								
Traffic safety features - transition	ons							
Traffic safety features - approach	ch guardrail							
Traffic safety features - approach	ch guardrail ends							
Inspection date September	2013 [0913] Designated inspe	ection frequency 24	Months					
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspec	tion date					
Fracture critical inspection	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical ins	spection date					
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	eded [N] Other special inspection date						