The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							43-24-12 =	070-31-42 = -	
Maine [23]	ork County [031]		Kennebunk [36535] 1.1MI N JCT RTE 9A & 35		9A & 35		43.403333	70.528333	
2041 Highway agency district 1			Owner State Highway A	Owner State Highway Agency [01] Maintenance responsibility			State Highway Age	ency [01]	
Route 1	1 ROUTE US 1 Toll O				free road [3] Features intersected KENNEBUNK RIVER				
Design - main Concrete [1] Design - approach Tee beam [04] Other		ır [00]	Kilometerpoint 4290.5 km = 2660.1 mi Year built 1928 Year reconstructed N/A [0000] Skew angle 0 Structure Flared Historical significance Bridge is not eligible for the NRHP. [5]						
Total length 23.2 m = 76.1 ft Length of maximum span 11 m = 36.1 ft Deck width, out-to-out 14.3 m = 46.9 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 12.2 m = 40.0 ft									
Inventory Route, Total He	orizontal Clearance	12.2 m = 40.0 ft	Curb or sidewalk wi	Curb or sidewalk width - left 1.5 m = 4.9 ft Curb or side		walk width - right	0.1 m = 0.3 ft		
Deck structure type Concrete Cast-in-Place [1]									
Type of wearing surface Bituminous [6]									
Deck protection									
Type of membrane/wearing surface									
Weight Limits									
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rating			Allowable Stress(AS)) [2]	Inventory rating	29 metric ton = 3	31.9 tons		
2.4 km = 1.5 mi Method to determine operating rating		Allowable Stress(AS)	Allowable Stress(AS) [2]		Operating rating 56.3 metric ton = 61.9 tons				
Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [5]				Design Load M 18 / H 20 [4]					

Functional Details										
Average Daily Traffic 14330 Average daily truc	k traffi 9 % Year 2010 Future average daily traffic 20062 Year 2030									
Road classification Minor Arterial (Rural) [06]	Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 12.2 m = 40.0 ft									
Type of service on bridge Highway-pedestrian [5]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge median									
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure exists. [N]										
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control Navigation control on waterway (bridge permit required). [1]									
Navigation vertical clearance 2.4 m = 7.9 ft Navigation horizontal clearance 3 m = 9.8 ft										
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge 0 m = 0.0 ft Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.9 m = 327.8 ft										
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]										
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unlimited Minimum lateral underclearance on left 99.9 = Unlimited										
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]										
Danair and Danissament Diana										
Repair and Replacement Plans	West days by West to be days by contrast [4]									
	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]									
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 1045000 Roadway improvement cost 104000									
	Length of structure improvement 25 m = 82.0 ft Total project cost 1568000									
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2004									
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state									
	Border bridge - structure number n/a									

Inspection and Sufficiency								
Structure status Open, no res	triction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Meets minimum tolerabl	le limits to be left in place as is [4]				
Condition ratings - superstructur	Poor [4]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present desiral	ble criteria [8]				
Condition ratings - substructure Satisfactory [6]		Appraisal ratings -	Somewhat better than n is [5]					
Condition ratings - deck	Fair [5]	deck geometry	اع اِما					
Scour	Bridge foundations detering required. [4]	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for assessed or calculated scour conditions; field review indicates action is required. [4]						
Channel and channel protection		Bank is beginning to slump. River control devices and embankment protection have widespread minor damage. There is minor stream bed movement evident. Debris is restricting the channel slightly. [6]						
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	y Superior to present desir	uperior to present desirable criteria [9]		ation Structurally deficient [1]				
Pier or abutment protection	Navigation protection no	required [1]	Sufficiency ra	ating 53				
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]							
Traffic safety features - railings								
Traffic safety features - transition	S							
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail Inpected	feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail ends Inpected	feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]						
Inspection date August 2010 [0810] Designated inspection frequency 12 Months								
Underwater inspection Not needed [N] Underwater inspection date								
Fracture critical inspection	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical inspection date						
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	ection date					