The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Maine [23] Sagadahoc County [023] Bath [03355] OVER US1 1.5 MI E OF TL 43.913333 69.828333 3837 Highway agency district 1 Owner State Highway Agency [01] Maintenance responsibility State Highway Agency [01] Route [209 HIGH ST Toll On free road [3] Features intersected RTE 1 Design - approach Agency Design - approach Design - approach Agency Design - approach Agency Design - approach Agency Design - approach Design - approac	Basic Information 43-54-48 = 069-49-42 = -												
Route 209 HIGH ST Toll On free road [3] Features intersected RTE 1 Design - Concrete continuous [2] Design - approach	Maine [23]		Sagadahoc County [023]			Bath	Bath [03355] OVER US1 1.		1 1.5 MI E	5 MI E OF TL			
Design - Main approach Skilometerpoint 1947 Year reconstructed 1983 30 Structure Flared Yes, flared [1] Historical significance Bridge is not eligible for the NRHP. [5] Total length 37.5 m = 123.0 ft Length of maximum span 13.1 m = 43.0 ft Deck width, out-to-out 13.1 m = 43.0 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 9.1 m = 29.9 ft Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 9.1 m = 29.9 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 1.5 m = 4.9 ft Curb or sidewalk width - right 2.4 m = 7.9 ft Deck structure type Concrete Cast-in-Place [1] Type of wearing surface Integral Concrete (separate non-modified layer of concrete added to structural deck) [2] Deck protection Type of membrane/wearing surface Integral Concrete (separate non-modified layer of concrete added to structural deck) [2] Inventory rating Method to determine inventory rating Allowable Stress(AS) [2] Inventory rating 41.7 metric ton = 45.9 tons	3837 Highwa			y agency d	agency district 1		Owner State Highway Agency [01]			Maintenance	Maintenance responsibility S		Agency [01]
main approach approach of the proach of the	Route 209 HIGH ST				Toll On free road [3] Features intersected RTE 1								
Total length 37.5 m = 123.0 ft Length of maximum span 13.1 m = 43.0 ft Deck width, out-to-out 13.1 m = 43.0 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 9.1 m = 29.9 ft Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 9.1 m = 29.9 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 1.5 m = 4.9 ft Curb or sidewalk width - right 2.4 m = 7.9 ft Deck structure type Concrete Cast-in-Place [1] Type of wearing surface Integral Concrete (separate non-modified layer of concrete added to structural deck) [2] Weight Limits Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rating Allowable Stress(AS) [2] Inventory rating 41.7 metric ton = 45.9 tons	main a		approach	proach		Year built 1947 Year reconstructed 1983 Skew angle 30 Structure Flared Yes, flared [1]							
Type of wearing surface Integral Concrete (separate non-modified layer of concrete added to structural deck) [2] Deck protection Type of membrane/wearing surface Weight Limits Bypass, detour length 0.1 km = 0.1 mi Allowable Stress(AS) [2] Inventory rating 41.7 metric ton = 45.9 tons	Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 9.1 m = 29.9 ft Curb or sidewalk v					Deck wid	lth, out-to-c	ut 13.1 m = 43	.0 ft Bridge road	way width, curb-			
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rating Allowable Stress(AS) [2] Inventory rating 41.7 metric ton = 45.9 tons	Type of wearing surface Integral Concrete (sep Deck protection					non-modified layer o	f concrete a	dded to stru	ictural deck) [2]				
Method to determine operating rating Allowable Stress(AS) [2] Operating rating 60.8 metric ton = 66.9 tons	Bypass, detour length 0.1 km = 0.1 mi Method to determine inventory Method to determine operating			e operating	rating	Allowable Stress(AS	,	Op	perating rating	60.8 metric ton =			

Functional Details								
Average Daily Traffic 8260 Average daily tru	uck traffi 5 % Year 2010 Future average daily traffic 11564 Year 2030							
Road classification Collector (Urban) [17]	Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 9.1 m = 29.9 ft							
Type of service on bridge Highway-pedestrian [5]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge median							
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure exists. [N]								
Type of service under bridge Highway, with or without	ut ped Lanes under structure 4 Navigation control Not applicable, no waterway. [N]							
Navigation vertical clearance 0 = N/A Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A								
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge 0 m = 0.0 ft Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.9 m = 327.8 ft								
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Highway beneath structure [H]								
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A								
Minimum Vertical Underclearance [H] Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature [H]								
Appraisal ratings - underclearances Basically intolerable requiring high priority of corrrective action [3]								
Danair and Danlacement Dlanc								
Repair and Replacement Plans Type of work to be performed.	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]							
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]							
Widening of existing bridge with deck rehabilitation or replacement. [34]	Bridge improvement cost 209000 Roadway improvement cost 21000							
'	Length of structure improvement 37.5 m = 123.0 ft Total project cost 314000							
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2004							
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state							
	Border bridge - structure number n/a							

Inspection and Sufficiency								
Structure status Open, no res	triction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]					
Condition ratings - superstructur	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	eria [8]					
Condition ratings - substructure	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings - deck geometry	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of corrrective action [3]					
Condition ratings - deck	Satisfactory [6]							
Scour	Bridge not over waterway. [N]	Bridge not over waterway. [N]						
Channel and channel protection	Not applicable. [N]	Not applicable. [N]						
Appraisal ratings - water adequace	y		Status evaluation	Functionally obsolete [2]				
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating	63.4				
Culverts Not applicable. Used if structure is not a culvert. [N]								
Traffic safety features - railings								
Traffic safety features - transition	S							
Traffic safety features - approach								
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends Inpected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]								
Inspection date January 201	Designated inspec	ction frequency 24	Months					
•	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspection date						
·	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical inspection date						
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	ection date					