The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Info	ormation							43-55-55 =	070-34-41 = -
Maine [23]		Cumberland County [005]		Naples [48085]	.4 MI W TOWNLINE			43.931944	70.578056
2780		Highway age	ency district 1	Owner State Highway	wner State Highway Agency [01] Maintenance re		eresponsibility	State Highway Agency [01]	
Route 0 SA			Toll On fr	Toll On free road [3] Features intersected SONGO RIV			VER		
Design - main Steel [3] Movable - Swing [17] Design - approach Other		er [00]	O] Skew angle O Structure Flared			[0000]			
Historical significance Bridge is eligible for the NRHP. [2] Total length 18.3 m = 60.0 ft Length of maximum span 17.1 m = 56.1 ft Deck width, out-to-out 4.4 m = 14.4 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 4.1 m = 13.5 ft Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 4 m = 13.1 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 0 m = 0.0 ft Curb or sidewalk width - right									
Deck structure type Type of wearing surface Deck protection Open Grating [3] Epoxy Overlay [5]									
Type of me	embrane/wea	aring surface							
Weight Lir	mits								
1 1 km = 0 7 mi			rmine inventory ratingrating rating		,	Inventory rating Operating rating			
		Bridge posting	20.0 - 29.9 % be	low [2]		Design Load M 9	9 / H 10 [1]		

Functional Details									
Average Daily Traffic 840 Average daily tr	uck traffi 8 % Year 2010 Future average daily traffic 1260 Year 2030								
Road classification Minor Collector (Rural) [08]	Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 7.9 m = 25.9 ft								
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge median								
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]								
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control								
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A								
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge 0 m = 0.0 ft Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.9 m = 327.8 ft									
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unlimited Minimum lateral underclearance on left 99.9 = Unlimited									
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]									
D									
Repair and Replacement Plans									
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]								
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 910000 Roadway improvement cost 91000								
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 20.1 m = 65.9 ft Total project cost 1365000								
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2004								
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state								
	Border bridge - structure number n/a								

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Posted for lo	ad [P]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]						
Condition ratings - superstructur	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]			to be left in place as is [4]				
Condition ratings - substructure	Good [7]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intol	igh priority of replacement [2]					
Condition ratings - deck	Satisfactory [6]	deck geometry							
Scour	Bridge foundations determine	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for the assessed or calculated scour condition. [8]							
Channel and channel protection		Bank protection is in need of minor repairs. River control devices and embankment protection have a little minor damage. Banks and/or channel have minor amounts of drift. [7]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Equal to present desirable cri	Equal to present desirable criteria [8]			Structurally deficient [1]				
Pier or abutment protection					23				
Culverts Not applicable. Used if structure is not a culvert. [N]									
Traffic safety features - railings									
Traffic safety features - transition	ns								
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail								
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends									
Inspection date April 2009 [0409] Designated inspection frequency 24 Months									
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspec	ction date						
Fracture critical inspection	Every two years [Y24]	Fracture critical ins	spection date	March 2010 [03	10]				
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special inspection date							