HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Info	ormation												44-33-36 =	068-48-12 = -
Maine [23] Waldo County [027]			F	Prospect [61210] COUNTY LINE&TL					44.560000	68.803333				
3008 Highway agency district 2					Owner State Highway Agency [01] Maintenance responsibility				State Highway A	gency [01]				
Route 1 US 1 & 3					Toll On free road [3] Features intersected PENOBSCO				OT RIVER					
Design - main	main			Design - approach	Steel continuous [4] Stringer/Multi-beam or girder [02]		Kilometerp Year built Skew ang	1931	931 Year reconstructed 1984					
Historical significance Bridge is on the NRHP. [1] Total length 622.4 m = 2042.1 ft Length of maximum span 243.8 m = 799.9 ft Deck width, out-to-out 8.5 m = 27.9 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 7 m = 23.0 ft														
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 7 m = 23.0 ft						Cı	Curb or sidewalk width - left 0.8 m =			= 2.6 ft		Curb or side	ewalk width - right	0.8 m = 2.6 ft
Deck structure type Concrete Cast-in-Place [1]														
Type of wearing surface Monolithic Concrete (c				crete (co	e (concurrently placed with structural deck) [1]									
Deck protection														
Type of m	nembrane/we	aring surface												
Weight L	imits													
71				determine inventory rating Allowable Stress(AS determine operating rating Allowable Stress(AS) [2]		Inventory rati	ng 3	32.7 metric ton	= 36.0 tons		
6.4 km = 4.0 mi Method to det			determi				Allowable Stress(AS) [2]			Operating rating 45.4 metric to		15.4 metric ton	= 49.9 tons	
Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [5]							Design Load M 18 / H 20 [4]							

Functional Details										
Average Daily Traffic 7926 Average daily tr	ruck traffi 9 % Year 2006 Future average daily traffic 11096 Year 2026									
Road classification	1) [02] Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 11.6 m = 38.1 ft									
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge median									
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	re exists. [N]									
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control Navigation control on waterway (bridge permit required). [1]									
Navigation vertical clearanc 41.1 m = 134.8 ft	Navigation vertical clearance 41.1 m = 134.8 ft Navigation horizontal clearance 228.6 m = 750.0 ft									
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift brid	Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge 0 m = 0.0 ft Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 5.84 m = 19.2 ft									
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Fe	eature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unlin	mited Minimum lateral underclearance on left 99.9 = Unlimited									
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]										
Repair and Replacement Plans										
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]									
Replacement of bridge or other structure because	Bridge improvement cost 17938000 Roadway improvement cost 1794000									
of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial										
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 632.2 m = 2074.2 ft Total project cost 26907000									
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2004									
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state	Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state								
	Border bridge - structure number n/a									

Inspection and Suf	fficiency									
Structure status Open, would be posted or closed except for temporary shoring [D]				appraisal ratings - tructural	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of corrrective action [3]					
Condition ratings -	superstructur	Serious [3]		Appraisal ratings - Bette roadway alignment		Better than present minimum criteria [7]				
Condition ratings - :	substructure	Fair [5]		Appraisal ratings -	Basically in					
Condition ratings - deck Serio		Serious [3]	(deck geometry						
Scour		Bridge over "t	Bridge over "tidal" waters that has not been evaluated for scour, but considered low risk. [T]							
Channel and chann	nel protection		Bank protection is in need of minor repairs. River control devices and embankment protection have a little minor damage. Banks and/or channel have minor amounts of drift. [7]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequacy		Superior to p	resent desirable crite	eria [9]		Status evaluation	Structurally deficient [1]			
Pier or abutment pr	rotection	None presen	t but re-evaluation su	uggested [5]		Sufficiency rating	17			
Culverts Not app	licable. Used	if structure is not a cu	ılvert. [N]							
Traffic safety featu	ıres - railings									
Traffic safety featu	ıres - transitior	ns								
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail			Inpected feature	meets currently acce						
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends										
Inspection date	February 20	06 [0206]	esignated inspection	n frequency 24	M	onths				
Underwater inspe	ection	Unknown [Y60]		Underwater inspection date		November 1997	7 [1197]			
Fracture critical in	spection	Every two years [Y24	1]	Fracture critical ins	spection date	April 1998 [049	8]			
Other special insp	pection	Every two years [Y24	1]	Other special insp	spection date April 1998 [0498]					