The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							00-00-00 =	000-00-00 = -
Maryland [24]	Allegany County [00	1]	Unknown [00000]	0.19 M E OF BR N	O 0113300		0.000000	0.000000
100000010036010	Highway agen	cy district 6	Owner State Highway	Agency [01]	Maintenance	e responsibility	State Highway Ag	jency [01]
Route 40	US 40) SCN	Toll On fr	ree road [3]	Features interse	cted FIFTEEN M	LE CREEK	
Design - Concrete [1 Arch - Deck		Design - approach Other	[00]	Kilometerpoint Year built 1917 Skew angle 0 Historical significant	Structure F	constructed N/A		
Total length 35.4 m =	= 116.1 ft Ler	ngth of maximum sp	an 19.8 m = 65.0 ft	Deck width, out-t	o-out 8.2 m = 26.9	ft Bridge road	lway width, curb-to-	curb 7.3 m = 24.0 ft
Inventory Route, Total	Horizontal Clearance	7.3 m = 24.0 ft	Curb or sidewalk v	width - left 0 m = 0).0 ft	Curb or side	walk width - right	0 m = 0.0 ft
Deck structure type	C	Concrete Cast-in-Pla	ce [1]					
Type of wearing surface	ce B	Situminous [6]						
Deck protection								
Type of membrane/we	aring surface							
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length	Method to determ	nine inventory rating	Load Testing [4]		Inventory rating	32.4 metric ton =	= 35.6 tons	
0.3 km = 0.2 mi	Method to determ	nine operating rating	Load Testing [4]		Operating rating	32.4 metric ton =	= 35.6 tons	
	Bridge posting	Equal to or above le	egal loads [5]		Design Load			

Functional Details	
Average Daily Traffic 281 Average daily tr	uck traffi 8 % Year 2009 Future average daily traffic 312 Year 2026
Road classification Minor Collector (Rural) [08]	Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 7 m = 23.0 ft
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge median
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift brid	Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Fe	eature not a highway or railroad [N]
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unlin	mited Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]	
Repair and Replacement Plans	
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]
Bridge rehabilitation because of general structure deterioration or inadequate strength. [35]	Bridge improvement cost 188000 Roadway improvement cost 19000
deterioration of inducequate strength. [55]	Length of structure improvement 35.4 m = 116.1 ft Total project cost 207000
	Year of improvement cost estimate
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state
	Border bridge - structure number

Inspection and Sufficiency								
Structure status Open, no re	striction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Equal to present minimum criteria [6] Equal to present minimum criteria [6]					
Condition ratings - superstructur	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment						
Condition ratings - substructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings - deck geometry	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]					
Condition ratings - deck	Fair [5]	deck geometry						
Scour		Bridge foundations determined to be stable for the assessed or calculated scour condition. [8]						
Channel and channel protection		Bank protection is in need of minor repairs. River control devices and embankment protection have a little minor damage. Banks and/or channel have minor amounts of drift. [7]						
Appraisal ratings - water adequa	Superior to pres	sent desirable criteria [9]	Status evaluation					
Pier or abutment protection	None present b	ut re-evaluation suggested [5]	Sufficiency rating 89					
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culve	ert. [N]						
Traffic safety features - railings		Inpected feature meets currently acce	ture meets currently acceptable standards. [1]					
Traffic safety features - transition	ns	Inpected feature meets currently acce	eptable standards. [1]					
Traffic safety features - approac	h guardrail	Inpected feature meets currently acce	eptable standards. [1]					
Traffic safety features - approac	h guardrail ends	Inpected feature meets currently acce	ure meets currently acceptable standards. [1]					
Inspection date February 20	10 [0210] Des	ignated inspection frequency 24	Months					
Underwater inspection Not needed [N] Underwater inspection date								
Fracture critical inspection	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical in	Fracture critical inspection date					
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	pection date					