The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information 39-30-15.76 = 076-25-47.53																	
Maryland [2	24] Ba	Baltimore County [005]				Unknown [00000] 1.8 MI NE OF F			OF FC	ORK ROAD				39.504378	= -76.429869		
200000B-0	Highway	y agenc	agency district 4			Owner County Highway Agency [02]				Maintei	nance re	sponsibility	y	County Highway A	gency [02]		
Route 293 BOTTOM ROAD					Toll On free road [3] Features intersected LITTLE GU						GUN	POWDER FALLS					
Design - Muminum, Wrought Iron or Cast Iron [9]  Truss - Thru [10]			Design - approach	Other [00	Other [00]			Year built	lometerpoint 651.6 km = 404.0 mi ear built 1886 Year reconstructed 200 xew angle 0 Structure Flared storical significance Bridge is eligible for the				ed		RHP. [2]		
Total length 30.5 m = 100.1 ft Length of maximum span 29.6 m = 97.1 ft Deck width, out-to-out 4.9 m = 16.1 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 4.1 m = 13.5 ft																	
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 4.1 m = 13.5 ft				.5 ft	Curb or sidewalk width - left 0 m = 0			0.0 ft			Curb or	sidev	valk width - right	0 m = 0.0 ft			
Deck structu	ture type		W	ood or Timbe	er [8]												
Type of wearing surface Wood or Timber [7]				er [7]													
Deck protection																	
Type of membrane/wearing surface																	
Weight Limits																	
Bypass, detour length  0.8 km = 0.5 mi  Method to determine inve			,	, , , , , ,						ntory rati rating rat		2.2 metric					
		Bridge pos	sting	Equal to or a	bove lega	al loads [	[5]				Desi	ign Load	M 13.5	5 / H 15 [2]			

Functional Details								
Average Daily Traffic 200 Average daily to	ruck traffi 5 % Year 2012 Future average daily traffic 500 Year 2032							
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure 1 Approach roadway width 4.3 m = 14.1 ft							
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic One lane bridge for 2 - way traffic [3]  Bridge median							
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	re exists. [N]							
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control							
Navigation vertical clearance 0 = N/A Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A								
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge  Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway  3.05 m = 10.0 ft								
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A								
Minimum Vertical Underclearance   0 = N/A   Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature   Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]								
Repair and Replacement Plans								
Type of work to be performed	Work done by							
	Bridge improvement cost Roadway improvement cost							
	Length of structure improvement Total project cost							
	Year of improvement cost estimate							
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state							
	Border bridge - structure number							

Inspection and Sufficiency											
Structure status Posted for	load [P]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]								
Condition ratings - superstructu	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of corrrective action [3]								
Condition ratings - substructure	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]								
Condition ratings - deck	Good [7]	deck geometry									
Scour	Countermeasure	es have been installed to mitigate an e	existing problem with scour. [7]								
Channel and channel protection		Bank is beginning to slump. River control devices and embankment protection have widespread minor damage. There is minor stream bed movement evident. Debris is restricting the channel slightly. [6]									
Appraisal ratings - water adequ	Better than pres	Better than present minimum criteria [7]  Status evaluation  Functionally obsolete [2]									
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating 47.2								
Culverts Not applicable. Use	d if structure is not a culv	ert. [N]									
Traffic safety features - railings		Inpected feature meets currently acco	ceptable standards. [1]								
Traffic safety features - transiti	ons										
Traffic safety features - approa	ch guardrail	Inpected feature meets currently acco	ceptable standards. [1]								
Traffic safety features - approa	ch guardrail ends										
Inspection date October 20	Des Des	ignated inspection frequency 24	4 Months								
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspe	ection date								
Fracture critical inspection	Every two years [Y24]	Fracture critical in	Inspection date October 2013 [1013]								
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	spection date								