The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

| Basic Information | | | | | | | 00000000 = | 000000000 = |
|--|---------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|--|--|---------------------|----------------|
| Maryland [24] Baltimore County [005] | | Unknown [00000] 0.07 MI S OF MD | | | | 00000000 = | 000000000 = | |
| 100000030105010 | Highway agency district 4 | | Owner State Highway Agency [01] | | Maintenance | nce responsibility State Highway Agency [01] | | |
| Route 8013 | YOF | RK ROAD | Toll On fro | ee road [3] | Features intersed | cted LITTLE FAL | LS | |
| Design - Masonry [8 main Arch - Decl | | Design - approach Other | [00] | Kilometerpoint 9. Year built 1809 Skew angle 0 | 7 km = 6.0 mi Year red Structure F | constructed N/A | [0000] | |
| | | | | Historical significance | e Bridge i | s eligible for the N | NRHP. [2] | |
| Total length 18.9 m = 62.0 ft Length of maximum span 5.5 m = 18.0 ft Deck width, out-to-out 9.4 m = 30.8 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 8.2 m = 26.9 ft | | | | | | | | |
| Inventory Route, Tota | l Horizontal Clearand | e 8.2 m = 26.9 ft | Curb or sidewalk w | width - left $0 \text{ m} = 0.0$ |) ft | Curb or side | ewalk width - right | 0 m = 0.0 ft |
| Deck structure type | | Concrete Cast-in-Pla | ce [1] | | | | | |
| Type of wearing surface Bituminous [6] | | | | | | | | |
| Deck protection | | | | | | | | |
| Type of membrane/we | earing surface | | | | | | | |
| Weight Limits | | | | | | | | |
| Bypass, detour length | n Method to deter | mine inventory rating | Load Testing [4] | In | ventory rating | 32.7 metric ton | = 36.0 tons | |
| 0.2 km = 0.1 mi | Method to deter | mine operating rating | Load Testing [4] | 0 | perating rating | 32.7 metric ton | = 36.0 tons | |
| | Bridge posting | Equal to or above le | egal loads [5] | D | esign Load | | | |

| Functional Details | |
|---|---|
| Average Daily Traffic 499 Average daily to | truck traffi 8 % Year 1994 Future average daily traffic 588 Year 2012 |
| Road classification Local (Rural) [09] | Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 7.6 m = 24.9 ft |
| Type of service on bridge Highway [1] | Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge median |
| Parallel structure designation No parallel structure | re exists. [N] |
| Type of service under bridge Waterway [5] | Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control |
| Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A | Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A |
| Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bri | Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft |
| Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature F | eature not a highway or railroad [N] |
| Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unlin | imited Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A |
| Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A | Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N] |
| Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N] | |
| Densir and Danie account Diagra | |
| Repair and Replacement Plans | |
| Type of work to be performed | Work done by Work to be done by contract [1] |
| Bridge rehabilitation because of general structure deterioration or inadequate strength. [35] | Bridge improvement cost 115000 Roadway improvement cost 12000 |
| | Length of structure improvement 18.9 m = 62.0 ft Total project cost 127000 |
| | Year of improvement cost estimate |
| | Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state |
| | Border bridge - structure number |

| Inspection and Suffici | eiency | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------|-------------------------|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Structure status O |)pen, no rest | riction [A] | Appraisal ratings - structural | Equal to present minimum criteria [6] | | | | | |
| Condition ratings - sup | perstructur | Satisfactory [6] | Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment | Equal to present desirable criteria [8] | | | | | |
| Condition ratings - substructure Satis | | Satisfactory [6] | Appraisal ratings - | Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5] | | | | | |
| Condition ratings - deck Satis | | Satisfactory [6] | deck geometry | | | | | | |
| Scour | | Countermeasur | Countermeasures have been installed to mitigate an existing problem with scour. [7] | | | | | | |
| Channel and channel protection | | | Bank is beginning to slump. River control devices and embankment protection have widespread minor damage. There is minor stream bed movement evident. Debris is restricting the channel slightly. [6] | | | | | | |
| Appraisal ratings - water adequacy | | Equal to preser | nt minimum criteria [6] | Status evaluation | | | | | |
| Pier or abutment protection | | None present b | ut re-evaluation suggested [5] | Sufficiency rating 94 | | | | | |
| Culverts Not applica | able. Used if | structure is not a culv | ert. [N] | | | | | | |
| Traffic safety features - railings Inpected featu | | | Inpected feature meets currently acce | eptable standards. [1] | | | | | |
| Traffic safety features | s - transitions | S | | | | | | | |
| Traffic safety features - approach guard | | guardrail | | | | | | | |
| Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends | | | | | | | | | |
| Inspection date A | ugust 2013 | [0813] Des | signated inspection frequency 24 | Months | | | | | |
| Underwater inspection Not nee | | Not needed [N] | Underwater inspec | ection date | | | | | |
| Fracture critical inspection Not no | | Not needed [N] | Fracture critical in | nspection date | | | | | |
| Other special inspection Not no | | Not needed [N] | Other special insp | pection date | | | | | |