The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information 00-00-00 = 000-00-00 = -												
Maryland [24] Frederick County [021]			Unkn	Unknown [00000] 2.5 MI S OF KEYSVILLE RD			0.000000	0.000000				
200000F-0503010 Highway agency dist			strict 7	Own	Owner County Highway Agency [02]			Maintenand	ce responsibility	County Highway	Agency [02]	
Route 61 SIXES BRIDGE ROA			<b>\</b> D	Toll On free road [3] Features intersected MONOCA				CY RIVER				
Design - main  Steel [3]  Truss - Thru [10]			Design - approach  Other [00]			Kilometerpoint 469.8 km = 291.3 mi  Year built 1910 Year reconstructed 1995  Skew angle 0 Structure Flared  Historical significance Bridge is eligible for the NRHP. [2]						
Total length 73.2 m = 240.2 ft Length of maximum span 36.3 m = 119.1 ft Deck width, out-to-out 4.9 m = 16.1 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 4.6 m = 15.1 ft												
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 4.6 m = 15.1 ft  Deck structure type Wood or Timber [8]			J	Curb or sidewalk w	nulli - lell	0 m = 0.0	Ц	Curb or Sid	ewalk width - right	0 m = 0.0 ft		
Type of wearing surface												
Deck protection												
Type of membrane/wearing surface												
Weight Limi	its											
7.	Bypass, detour length Method to dete			inventory ra	ating	Load Factor(LF) [1]		In	ventory rating	14.4 metric ton	= 15.8 tons	
1.1 km = 0.7 mi  Method to dete			o determine o	operating r	ating	Load Factor(LF) [1]		0	perating rating	24.3 metric ton	= 26.7 tons	
		Bridge po	osting 20.0	) - 29.9 %	below [2]			D	esign Load			

Functional Details								
Average Daily Traffic 156 Average daily tru	ck traffi % Year 1996 Future average daily traffic 200 Year 2011							
Road classification Minor Collector (Rural) [08]	Lanes on structure 1 Approach roadway width 3.7 m = 12.1 ft							
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic One lane bridge for 2 - way traffic [3] Bridge median							
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	exists. [N]							
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control							
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A							
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge  Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway  3.35 m = 11.0 ft								
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unlimited Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A								
Minimum Vertical Underclearance   0 = N/A   Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature   Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]								
Repair and Replacement Plans								
Type of work to be performed	Work done by							
Type of work to be performed								
	Bridge improvement cost Roadway improvement cost							
	Length of structure improvement Total project cost							
	Year of improvement cost estimate							
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state							
	Border bridge - structure number							

Inspection and Sufficiency								
Structure status Posted for le	oad [P]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]					
Condition ratings - superstructur	Good [7]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of corrrective action [3]					
Condition ratings - substructure	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]					
Condition ratings - deck	Good [7]	deck geometry						
Scour	Countermeasures	Countermeasures have been installed to mitigate an existing problem with scour. [7]						
Channel and channel protection		Bank protection is in need of minor repairs. River control devices and embankment protection have a little minor damage.  Banks and/or channel have minor amounts of drift. [7]						
Appraisal ratings - water adequa	Somewhat better in place as is [5]	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]  Status evaluation  Functionally obsolete [2]						
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating 40.6					
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culver	. [N]						
Traffic safety features - railings	Ir	pected feature meets currently acce	eptable standards. [1]					
Traffic safety features - transitio	ns Ir	pected feature meets currently acce	eptable standards. [1]					
Traffic safety features - approac	h guardrail Ir	pected feature meets currently acce	eptable standards. [1]					
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends Inpected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]								
Inspection date November 2010 [1110] Designated inspection frequency 12 Months								
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspec	ection date					
Fracture critical inspection	Every year [Y12]	Fracture critical in:	nspection date November 2010 [1110]					
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	pection date					