HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							39-32-24.00 =	076-38-18.00
Maryland [24]	Baltimore County [0	05]	Unknown [00000]	0.5 MI E OF YORK R	D		39.540000	= -76.638333
200000B-0018010	Highway agen	cy district: 4	Owner County Highway	/ Agency [02]	Maintenance re	esponsibility	County Highway A	gency [02]
Route 239	SPAI	RKS ROAD	Toll On fre	ee road [3]	eatures intersecte	ed GUNPOWD	ER FALLS	
Design - Steel [3] main Arch - Thru	ı [12]	Design - approach Other	[00]	Kilometerpoint 80 Year built 1888 Skew angle 0	.5 km = 49.9 mi Year reco	onstructed 1990		
				Historical significance	Bridge is	eligible for the N		
Total length 54.3 m	= 178.2 ft Le	ngth of maximum spa	an 53.3 m = 174.9 ft	Deck width, out-to-o	out 5 m = 16.4 ft	Bridge road	lway width, curb-to-cu	urb 4.6 m = 15.1 ft
Inventory Route, Tota	l Horizontal Clearance	e 4.6 m = 15.1 ft	Curb or sidewalk w	idth - left $0 \text{ m} = 0.0$	ft	Curb or side	walk width - right	0 m = 0.0 ft
Deck structure type	(Open Grating [3]						
Type of wearing surfa	ce							
Deck protection								
Type of membrane/w	earing surface							
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour lengt	h Method to deterr	mine inventory rating	Allowable Stress(AS) [2] Inv	ventory rating	36 metric ton = 3	39.6 tons	
0.5 km = 0.3 mi	Method to deterr	mine operating rating	Allowable Stress(AS) [2] Op	perating rating	59 metric ton = 6	54.9 tons	
	Bridge posting	Equal to or above le	gal loads [5]	De	esign Load MS 1	8 / HS 20 [5]		

Functional Details									
Average Daily Traffic 1000 Average daily to	ruck traffi 5 % Year 1996 Future average daily traffic 2000 Year 2016								
Road classification Minor Collector (Rural) [08]	Lanes on structure 1 Approach roadway width 4.6 m = 15.1 ft								
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic One lane bridge for 2 - way traffic [3] Bridge median								
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	re exists. [N]								
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control								
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A								
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 4.27 m = 14.0 ft									
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature F	eature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A	Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A								
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]									
Repair and Replacement Plans									
Type of work to be performed	Work done by								
	Bridge improvement cost Roadway improvement cost								
	Length of structure improvement Total project cost								
	Year of improvement cost estimate								
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state								
	Border bridge - structure number								

Inspection and Sufficiency								
Structure status Open, no res	triction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Equal to present minimum criteria [6]					
Condition ratings - superstructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to p	qual to present minimum criteria [6]				
Condition ratings - substructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]					
Condition ratings - deck	Satisfactory [6]	deck geometry						
Scour	Bridge foundations d	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for assessed or calculated scour condition. [5]						
Channel and channel protection	Bank protection is be channel. [5]	Bank protection is being eroded. River control devices and/or embankment have major damage. Trees and rush restrict the channel. [5]						
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Equal to present mir	nimum criteria [6]		Status evaluation	Functionally obsolete [2]			
Pier or abutment protection				Sufficiency rating	78.5			
Culverts Not applicable. Used i Traffic safety features - railings	f structure is not a culvert. [I	N]						
Traffic safety features - transition	S							
		ted feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends Inpec		cted feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]						
Inspection date February 201	2 [0212] Designa	ted inspection frequency 24	N	lonths				
Underwater inspection Not needed [N]		Underwater inspec	tion date					
Fracture critical inspection Every two years [Y		Fracture critical ins	spection date	February 2012 [[0212]			
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special inspe	ection date					