The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Inf	formation									42-46-08 =	085-27-23 = -
Michigan [26] Kent County [081]		Caledoni	Caledonia [12500] 0.2 MI W		OF WHITNEYVILLE			42.768889	85.456389		
41306H00001B010 Highway agency district 3			y district 3	Owner	Owner County Highway Agency [02] Maintenance responsibility			County Highway A	Agency [02]		
Route 0 108TH ST				Toll On free road [3] Features intersected THORNAPE			PLE RIVER				
Design - main  Steel [3] Design - approach  Stringer/Multi-beam or girder [02] 0 Other		ner [00]	Kilometerpoint 728.2 km = 451.5 mi  Year built 1932 Year reconstructed N/A [000]  Skew angle 0 Structure Flared  Historical significance Bridge is not eligible for the N								
Total length 45.7 m = 149.9 ft Length of maximum span 22.8 m = 74.8 ft  Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 13.7 m = 44.9 ft Curb or sidewalk widtle  Deck structure type Concrete Cast-in-Place [1]							-	out 14.4 m = 47	.2 ft Bridge road		0.7 m = 2.3 ft
Type of wearing surface  Bituminous [6]  Deck protection  Type of membrane/wearing surface											
0.8 km = 0.5 mi			termine inventory rating  Load Factor(LF) [1 termine operating rating  Load Factor(LF) [1 g Equal to or above legal loads [5]			Ope		29.4 metric ton = 29.4 metric ton = 30 perating rating 49.1 metric ton = 30 esign Load MS 18+Mod / HS 20+R		= 54.0 tons	

Functional Details									
Average Daily Traffic 1406 Average daily tr	ruck traffi 0 % Year 2004 Future average daily traffic 2390 Year 2024								
Road classification Minor Collector (Rural) [08]	Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 5.5 m = 18.0 ft								
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]  Bridge median								
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure exists. [N]									
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control								
Navigation vertical clearance 0 = N/A Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A									
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge  Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway  99.99 m = 328.1 ft									
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unlimited Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A									
Minimum Vertical Underclearance   0 = N/A   Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature   Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]									
Repair and Replacement Plans									
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]								
Bridge rehabilitation because of general structure deterioration or inadequate strength. [35]	Bridge improvement cost 375000 Roadway improvement cost 25000								
acterioration of madequate strength, [55]	Length of structure improvement 50 m = 164.1 ft Total project cost 400000								
	Year of improvement cost estimate								
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state								
	Border bridge - structure number								

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Open, no res	striction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]						
Condition ratings - superstructur	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present desirable criteria [8]						
Condition ratings - substructure	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings -	Better than present minimum criteria [7]						
Condition ratings - deck	Fair [5]	deck geometry							
Scour	Bridge foundations determine	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for assessed or calculated scour condition. [5]							
Channel and channel protection		Banks are protected or well vegetated. River control devices such as spur dikes and embankment protection are not required or are in a stable condition. [8]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Equal to present desirable cri	teria [8]	Status evaluation						
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating 83.7						
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]								
Traffic safety features - railings									
Traffic safety features - transition	ns								
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail Not applicable	le or a safety feature is no	not required. [N]						
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends									
Inspection date November 2	Designated inspe	ction frequency 24 Months							
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspection date							
Fracture critical inspection	Not needed [N]	[N] Fracture critical inspection date							
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	pection date						