HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

2010 Inventory

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format. Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information	Manraa Caun	+[11F]			opro o [[]	-040]	2.0 MI		50				41-53-24 =	083-22-57 = -
Michigan [26] Monroe County [115]		IVIC	Monroe [55040]		2.8 111	2.8 MI SW OF M-50				41.890000	83.382500			
58158151000S110 Highway age		y agency of	district 6	0	Owner State Highway		ay Agency [C	Agency [01] Maintenanc		e respons	sibility	State Highway Agency [01]		
Route 0 DUNBAR RD				Toll On free road [3] Features intersected I-75										
Design - Concrete continuous [2] main			Design - approach				Kilomet Year bu		124.1 km = 76.9 mi 5 Year reconstructed N/A [0000]					
4 Tee beam [04]			0	Other [00]			Skew a	ngle 20	Structure Flared					
							Historic	al significa	ince	Bridge	is on the l	NRHP. [1]		
Total length 71.9 m	= 235.9 ft	Lengtl	h of maxim	um span 2	21.3 m =	69.9 ft	Deck	width, out-	to-out 9.	5 m = 31.2	2 ft Bri	idge roadv	vay width, curb-to-	curb 7.9 m = 25.9 ft
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 8.8 m = 28.9 ft				9 ft	Curb or sidewalk width - left 0.4 m = 1.3 ft Curb or sidewal				valk width - right	0.4 m = 1.3 ft				
Deck structure type Concrete Cast-in-Place				in-Place [1	1]									
Type of wearing surface Latex Concrete or sin		or similar	ilar additive [3]											
Deck protection														
Type of membrane/wearing surface														
Weight Limits														
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rating			rating	Allowable Stress(AS) [2]			Inventor	ry rating	35.5 me	etric ton =	39.1 tons			
0.6 km = 0.4 mi Method to determine operating rating			rating	Allowable Stress(AS) [2]			Operatir	ng rating	64.5 me	etric ton =	71.0 tons			
Bridge posting Equal to or above le			oove legal	jal loads [5]			Design Load MS 13.5 / HS 15 [3]							

Functional Details		
Average Daily Traffic 400 Average daily tr	ick traffi % Year 1995 Future average daily traffic 40	0 Year 2015
Road classification Local (Urban) [19]	Lanes on structure 2	Approach roadway width 10.3 m = 33.8 ft
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]	Bridge median
Parallel structure designation No parallel structur		
Type of service under bridge Highway, with or witho	tt ped Lanes under structure 6 Navigation control Not	t applicable, no waterway. [N]
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A	
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift brid	ge Minimum vertical clearance	e over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature H	ghway beneath structure [H]	
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 3.1 m = 10.	ft Minimum lateral underclearan	ice on left 5 m = 16.4 ft
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 4.55 m = 14.9 ft	Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature	Highway beneath structure [H]
Appraisal ratings - underclearances Basically intoler	ble requiring high priority of corrrective action [3]	
Repair and Replacement Plans		
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]	
Bridge deck replacement with only incidental widening. [37]	Bridge improvement cost 83000 Roadway improve	ement cost 8000
widening. [07]	Length of structure improvement72 m = 236.2 ftTotal	project cost 97000
	Year of improvement cost estimate	
	Border bridge - state Border	bridge - percent responsibility of other state
	Border bridge - structure number	

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Open, no res		praisal ratings - uctural	Somewha is [5]	nat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as					
Condition ratings - superstructur		Appraisal ratings - Better to roadway alignment			than present minimum criteria [7]				
Condition ratings - substructure Fair [5]			ppraisal ratings -	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as					
Condition ratings - deck	Good [7]	de	deck geometry	is [5]					
Scour	Bridge not ove	er waterway. [N]							
Channel and channel protection	Not applicable	e. [N]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequacy N/A [N]									
Appraisai raings - water adequat	y IV/A [IV]				Status evaluation	Functionally obsolete	: [2]		
Pier or abutment protection					Sufficiency rating	74.3			
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a cu	lvert. [N]							
Traffic safety features - railings		Innocted feature m	neets currently acce	ntablo stand	ards [1]				
Traffic safety features - transition	1S		,						
Traffic safety features - approach	•	npected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1] npected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]							
Traffic safety features - approach	•	Inpected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]							
Inspection date June 2009 [0	esignated inspection		-	Nonths					
	Not needed [N]		Underwater inspec				1		
		Fracture critical inspection date]			
	Not needed [N]		Other special insp						
			o thor special hisp	Solion duto					