The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Info	ormation									43-27-05 =	084-30-53 = -
Michigan [26] Gratiot County [057]		Bethany	Bethany [08020] SEC 2-11 BETHA			/ TWP		43.451389	84.514722		
29302H00013B010		Highway agen	Highway agency district 4		Owner County Highway Agency [2]	Maintenance responsibility		County Highway Agency [02]	
Route 0 ADAMS RO			MS ROAD	Toll On free road [3] Features intersected BUSH CRE				EK DRAIN			
Design - main Concrete [1] Girder and floorbeam system [03]		Design - approach 0 O	Other [00]		Kilometerpoint 430.5 km = 266.9 mi Year built 1930 Year reconstructed N/A [0000] Skew angle 0 Structure Flared Historical significance Bridge is not eligible for the NRHP. [5]						
Total length $\boxed{14 \text{ m} = 45.9 \text{ ft}}$ Length of maximum span $\boxed{12.2 \text{ m} = 40.0 \text{ ft}}$ Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance $\boxed{5 \text{ m} = 16.4 \text{ ft}}$ Curb or sidewa					n = 40.0 ft Curb or sidewalk wi	Deck wid	-	out 6.7 m = 22.0	Oft Bridge roa	dway width, curb-to-c	0 m = 0.0 ft
Deck structure type Type of wearing surface Deck protection Concrete Cast-in-Place Bituminous [6]			-Place [1]								
Type of me	embrane/we	earing surface									
Weight Lir	mits										
0.5 km - 0.3 mi			, ,		Allowable Stress(AS) [2] Allowable Stress(AS) [2]			Inventory rating 9.1 metric ton = 10.0 tons Operating rating 14.8 metric ton = 16.3 tons			
Bridge posting								Design Load MS 18+Mod / HS 20+Mod [6]			

Functional Details							
Average Daily Traffic 88 Average daily tr	uck traffi 0 % Year 2004 Future average daily traffic 103 Year 2024						
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 5.5 m = 18.0 ft						
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge median						
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]						
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control						
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A						
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift brid	Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft						
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Fe	eature not a highway or railroad [N]						
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unlimited Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A							
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]						
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]							
Repair and Replacement Plans							
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]						
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 150000 Roadway improvement cost 16000						
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 18.3 m = 60.0 ft Total project cost 225000						
	Year of improvement cost estimate						
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state						
	Border bridge - structure number						

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Posted for lo	ad [P]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]						
Condition ratings - superstructur	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	e limits to be left in place as is [4]						
Condition ratings - substructure	Poor [4]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of corrrective action [3]						
Condition ratings - deck	Fair [5]	deck geometry							
Scour	Bridge foundations determine	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for assessed or calculated scour condition. [5]							
Channel and channel protection		Bank protection is in need of minor repairs. River control devices and embankment protection have a little minor damage. Banks and/or channel have minor amounts of drift. [7]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Somewhat better than minim in place as is [5]	num adequacy to tolerate b	Status evalua	Structurally deficient [1]					
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency ra	ating 25.3					
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]								
Traffic safety features - railings Inpected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]									
Traffic safety features - transition	ns								
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail								
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends									
Inspection date July 2008 [0]	Designated inspe	ection frequency 24	Months						
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspection date							
Fracture critical inspection	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical ins	spection date						
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	eded [N] Other special inspection date							