The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							42-22-41 =	084-24-30 = -
Michigan [26] Jackson County [075]		Rives [68920] 3 MI E OF RIVES		ES JUNCTION		42.378056	84.408333	
38200110000B010 Highway agency district: 6		Owner County Highway	wner County Highway Agency [02] Maintenance responsibility		County Highway A	gency [02]		
Route 3884 BERRY RD		Toll On free road [3] Features intersected GRAND RIV		ÆR				
Design - Steel [3] main Stringer/Mu	ulti-beam or girder [02]	Design - approach 0 Other [0]	00]	Kilometerpoint Year built 1932 Skew angle 0 Historical significa	Structure F	constructed N/A	[0000] ne NRHP. [5]	
Total length 23.1 m = 75.8 ft Length of maximum span 22.5 m = 73.8 ft Deck width, out-to-out 8.9 m = 29.2 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 6.7 m = 22.0 ft								
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 6.7 m = 22.0 ft		Curb or sidewalk width - left 0.7 m = 2.3 ft Curb or si		Curb or side	walk width - right	0.7 m = 2.3 ft		
Deck structure type Concrete Cast-in-Place [1]								
Type of wearing surface Bituminous [6]		tuminous [6]						
Deck protection								
Type of membrane/wearing surface								
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rating		Allowable Stress(AS) [2]		Inventory rating	7.6 metric ton =	8.4 tons		
0.8 km = 0.5 mi Method to determine operating rating		Allowable Stress(AS) [2]		Operating rating	erating rating 29 metric ton = 31.9 tons			
	Bridge posting	10.0 - 19.9 % below	[3]		Design Load			

Functional Details								
Average Daily Traffic 2400 Average daily tru	ck traffi 0 % Year 2008 Future average daily traffic	2930 Year 2028						
Road classification Major Collector (Rural) [07]	Lanes on structure 2	Approach roadway width 8.5 m = 27.9 ft						
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]	Bridge median						
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	exists. [N]							
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control							
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A							
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift brid	ge Minimum vertical cleara	nce over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft						
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Fe	ature not a highway or railroad [N]							
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unlimited Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A								
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance reference feat	Feature not a highway or railroad [N]						
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]								
Repair and Replacement Plans								
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]							
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 1000 Roadway impr	rovement cost 0						
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 30.5 m = 100.1 ft To	otal project cost 1000						
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2008							
	Border bridge - state Bord	der bridge - percent responsibility of other state						
	Border bridge - structure number							

Inspection and Sufficiency								
Structure status Posted for load [P]		Appraisal ratings - structural	Basically intolerable requiring I	nigh priority of replacement [2]				
Condition ratings - superstructure Poor [4]		Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Somewhat better than minimu is [5]	m adequacy to tolerate being left in place as				
Condition ratings - substructure	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings - deck geometry	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]					
Condition ratings - deck	Poor [4]							
Scour	Scour calculation/evaluation	Scour calculation/evaluation has not been made. [6]						
Channel and channel protection	Bank protection is in need of Banks and/or channel have	Bank protection is in need of minor repairs. River control devices and embankment protection have a little minor damage. Banks and/or channel have minor amounts of drift. [7]						
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	y Better than present minimur	n criteria [7]	Status evaluation	Structurally deficient [1]				
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating	3				
Culverts Not applicable. Used	f structure is not a culvert. [N]							
Traffic safety features - railings								
Traffic safety features - transition	S							
Traffic safety features - approach	guardrail Inpected fea	feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends								
Inspection date May 2008 [0508] Designated inspection frequency 12 Months								
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspe	ction date					
•	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical inspection date						
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	ection date					