The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							46-05-42 =	088-30-31 = -	
Michigan [26]	Iron County [071]		Bates [05860]	es [05860] 1.0 MI WEST USF		Н 2		88.508611	
4199	Highway agency	y district 1	Owner County Highway	rner County Highway Agency [02] Maintenance responsibility		County Highway Agency [02]			
Route 0	CHICA	GON MINE RD	Toll On fre	Toll On free road [3] Features intersected CHICAGON			I CREEK		
Design - Concrete [1] main 1 Other [00]		Design - approach Other	r [00]	Kilometerpoint Year built #Num Skew angle 0 Historical significal	Structure F	constructed	1]		
Total length 8.5 m = 27.9 ft Length of maximum span 7.6 m = 24.9 ft Deck width, out-to-out 4.9 m = 16.1 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 4.6 m = 15.1 ft Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 4.9 m = 16.1 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 0 m = 0.0 ft Curb or sidewalk width - right 0 m = 0.0 ft									
Deck structure type Concrete		ncrete Cast-in-Pla							
Type of wearing surface		Monolithic Concrete (concurrently placed with structural deck) [1]							
Deck protection									
Type of membrane/wea	ring surface								
Weight Limits									
Bypass, detour length	Method to determine inventory rating		Allowable Stress(AS)) [2]	Inventory rating	13.6 metric ton	= 15.0 tons		
0.6 km = 0.4 mi	Method to determine	ne operating rating	Allowable Stress(AS)) [2]	Operating rating	15 metric ton =	16.5 tons		
Bridge posting 20.0 - 29.9 % below [2]					Design Load MS 18+Mod / HS 20+Mod [6]				

Functional Details									
Average Daily Traffic 142 Average daily tr	ck traffi 0 % Year 1994 Future average daily traffic 200 Year 2000								
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 5.5 m = 18.0 ft								
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge median								
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	exists. [N]								
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control								
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A								
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft									
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature $\begin{tabular}{l} \hline Fe$	ature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unlimited Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A									
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]									
Repair and Replacement Plans									
Type of work to be performed	Work done by								
	Bridge improvement cost Roadway improvement cost								
	Length of structure improvement Total project cost								
	Year of improvement cost estimate								
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state								
	Border bridge - structure number								

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Posted for Io	ad [P]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]						
Condition ratings - superstructur	atings - superstructur Fair [5]		Meets minimum tolerable limits	s to be left in place as is [4]					
Condition ratings - substructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]						
Condition ratings - deck	Satisfactory [6]	deck geometry							
Scour	Bridge is scour critical; bridge	Bridge is scour critical; bridge foundations determined to be unstable. [3]							
Channel and channel protection	The channel has changed to t	The channel has changed to the extent the bridge is near a state of collapse. [2]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Basically intolerable requiring	high priority of replacem	ent [2] Status evaluation	Structurally deficient [1]					
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating	36.3					
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]								
Traffic safety features - railings									
Traffic safety features - transition	ns								
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail								
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends									
Inspection date September 2010 [0910] Designated inspection frequency 24 Months									
Underwater inspection Not needed [N] Underwater inspection date									
•	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical inspection date							
Other special inspection Not needed [N] Other special inspection date									