HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							46-21-40 =	087-47-04 = -
Michigan [26]	Michigan [26] Marquette County [103]		Ely [25820] 9 MI SOUTH OF		GREENWOOD		46.361111	87.784444
6538 Highway agency district 1		Owner County Highway	Owner County Highway Agency [02] Maintenance responsibility		County Highway Agency [02]			
Route 5231 COUNTY RD 581		Toll On fre	Toll On free road [3] Features intersected WEST BR		cted WEST BR E	ESCANABA RIVER		
Design - main Steel [3] Stringer/Mu	ulti-beam or girder [02]	Design - approach O Other	[00]	Kilometerpoint Year built 1928 Skew angle 0 Historical significant	Structure F	constructed	1]	
Total length 9.4 m =	30.8 ft Leng	gth of maximum sp	an 8.8 m = 28.9 ft	Deck width, out-t	o-out 7.7 m = 25.3	ft Bridge road	dway width, curb-to-o	curb 6.7 m = 22.0 ft
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 6.8 m = 22.3 ft		Curb or sidewalk w	Curb or sidewalk width - left 0 m = 0.0 ft Curb or sidewalk		ewalk width - right	0 m = 0.0 ft		
Deck structure type	Сс	oncrete Cast-in-Pla	ce [1]					
Type of wearing surface Monolithic Concrete ((concurrently placed with structural deck) [1]						
Deck protection								
Type of membrane/we	earing surface							
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rating			Load Factor(LF) [1]		Inventory rating	66.4 metric ton	= 73.0 tons	
1.9 km = 1.2 mi	Method to determi	ne operating rating	Load Factor(LF) [1]		Operating rating	99.9 metric ton	= 109.9 tons	
Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [5]					Design Load MS	3 18+Mod / HS 20	+Mod [6]	

Functional Details									
Average Daily Traffic 900 Average daily tr	uck traffi 0 % Year 2001 Future average daily traffic 1100 Year 2020								
Road classification Major Collector (Rural) [07]	Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 9.8 m = 32.2 ft								
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge median								
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]								
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control								
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A								
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft									
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unlimited Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A									
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]									
Repair and Replacement Plans									
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]								
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 70000 Roadway improvement cost 7000								
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 9.8 m = 32.2 ft Total project cost 82000								
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2005								
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state								
	Border bridge - structure number								

Inspection and Sufficiency								
Structure status Open, no res	striction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]					
Condition ratings - superstructur	Poor [4]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present desirable crite	eria [8]				
Condition ratings - substructure	Good [7]	Appraisal ratings -	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]					
Condition ratings - deck	Fair [5]	deck geometry						
Scour	Bridge is scour critica	Bridge is scour critical; bridge foundations determined to be unstable. [3]						
Channel and channel protection		Bank is beginning to slump. River control devices and embankment protection have widespread minor damage. There is minor stream bed movement evident. Debris is restricting the channel slightly. [6]						
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Equal to present desi	irable criteria [8]	Status evaluation	Structurally deficient [1]				
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating	53.3				
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N	1]						
Traffic safety features - railings	Inpe	cted feature meets currently acce	eptable standards. [1]					
Traffic safety features - transition	Inpe	cted feature meets currently acce	ture meets currently acceptable standards. [1]					
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail Inpe	cted feature meets currently acce	eature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]					
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends Inpected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]								
Inspection date October 2011 [1011] Designated inspection frequency 24 Months								
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspec	ction date					
·	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical in:						
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special inspection date						