## HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information  Michigan [26] Chippewa County [033]		33]	Sault Ste. Marie [71740] SAULT STE MARIE			46-29-45 = 46.495833	084-19-59 = - 84.333056	
174602800065B01 Highway agency district:		cy district: 1	Owner City or Municipal Highway Agency [04] Maintenance responsibility			City or Municipal I	Highway Agency [04]	
Route 2019	T PORTAGE AVE.	Toll On fre	e road [3]	Features interse	ected POWER CA	ANAL		
Design - main  Steel [3] Design - approach  Arch - Thru [12] 0 Other		Kilometerpoint 266.7 km = 165.4 mi  Year built 1970 Year reconstructed 1988  Skew angle 0 Structure Flared  Historical significance Bridge is not eligible for the NRHP. [5]						
Total length 80.7 m =	264.8 ft Lei	ngth of maximum s	oan 80.7 m = 264.8 ft	Deck wid	th, out-to-out 18.7 m = 61	.4 ft Bridge roa	dway width, curb-to-c	curb 14.9 m = 48.9 ft
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 14.6 m = 47.9		14.6 m = 47.9 ft	Curb or sidewalk width - left 1.4 m = 4		1.4 m = 4.6 ft	Curb or side	ewalk width - right	1.4 m = 4.6 ft
Deck structure type	C	Concrete Cast-in-Pla	ace [1]					
Type of wearing surface	e L	atex Concrete or si	milar additive [3]					
Deck protection								
Type of membrane/wea	aring surface							
Weight Limits								
		ermine inventory rating Allowable Stress(AS)		[2]	Inventory rating 33 metric ton = 3		36.3 tons	
0.2 km = 0.1 mi	Method to determine operating rating		Allowable Stress(AS)	Allowable Stress(AS) [2]		Operating rating 74 metric ton = 81.4 tons		
Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [5]			Design Load MS 18 / HS 20 [5]					

Functional Details									
Average Daily Traffic 6028 Average daily tr	uck traffi 4 % Year 2007 Future average daily traffic 10866 Year 2018								
Road classification Other Principal Arterial (Urban)	[14] Lanes on structure 4 Approach roadway width 14.9 m = 48.9 ft								
Type of service on bridge Highway-pedestrian [5]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]  Bridge median								
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]								
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control								
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A								
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift brid	Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft								
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unlimited Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A									
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]									
Repair and Replacement Plans									
Type of work to be performed	Work done by								
	Bridge improvement cost Roadway improvement cost								
	Length of structure improvement Total project cost								
	Year of improvement cost estimate								
	Border bridge - state  Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state								
	Border bridge - structure number								

Inspection and Sufficiency								
Structure status Open, no res	striction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of corrrective action [3]					
Condition ratings - superstructure Serious [3]		Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present desirable criteria [8]					
Condition ratings - substructure		Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requirir	ng high priority of replacement [2]				
Condition ratings - deck	Very Good [8]	deck geometry						
Scour		s determined to be stable for the ass						
Channel and channel protection		Bank protection is in need of minor repairs. River control devices and embankment protection have a little minor damage.  Banks and/or channel have minor amounts of drift. [7]						
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Equal to present	desirable criteria [8]	Status evaluation	Structurally deficient [1]				
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency ratino	34.8				
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culve	t. [N]						
Traffic safety features - railings	I	npected feature meets currently acce	ted feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]					
Traffic safety features - transition	ns I	npected feature meets currently acce						
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail I	npected feature meets currently acce	ed feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]					
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail ends	pected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]						
Inspection date May 2007 [0507] Designated inspection frequency 24 Months								
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspe	ction date					
Fracture critical inspection	Every two years [Y24]	Fracture critical in	spection date May 2007 [0	0507]				
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp						