The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							42-26-51 =	084-25-51 = -
Michigan [26]	Ingham County	[065]	Leslie [47180]	IN LESLIE			42.447500	84.430833
334392600001B01 Highway agency district 6		Owner City or Municipal Highway Agency [04] Maintenance responsibility		esponsibility	City or Municipal	Highway Agency [04]		
Route 0 MILL STREET			Toll On free road [3] Features intersected HUNTOON			ed HUNTOON CR	REEK	
Design - main Concrete [1] Design - approach Box beam or girders - Multiple [05] Oth		approach	Kilometerpoint 7.2 km = 4.5 mi Year built 1918 Year reconstructed N/A [cher [00]] Skew angle 11 Structure Flared Historical significance Bridge is possibly eligible to			red		
Total length 7 m = 23.0 ft Length of maximum span 6.1 m = 20.0 ft Deck width, out-to-out 20.1 m = 65.9 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 8.8 m = 28.9 ft Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 8.8 m = 28.9 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 1.2 m = 3.9 ft Curb or sidewalk width - right								
Deck structure type Type of wearing surface Concrete Cast-in-light Bituminous [6]		Concrete Cast-in-Pla Bituminous [6]	ce [1]					
Deck protection Type of membrane/we	earing surface							
Weight Limits Bypass, detour lengtl	n Method to de	etermine inventory rating	Allowable Stress(AS	i) [2] Invo	entory rating	32.7 metric ton = 3	6.0 tons	
0.3 km = 0.2 mi	Method to determine operating rating Bridge posting		Allowable Stress(AS		Operating rating 32.7 r Design Load		6.0 tons	

Functional Details									
Average Daily Traffic 1630 Average daily tr	uck traffi 7 % Year 1993 Future average daily traffic 2952 Year 2013								
Road classification Major Collector (Rural) [07]	Lanes on structure 3 Approach roadway width 11 m = 36.1 ft								
Type of service on bridge Highway-pedestrian [5]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge median								
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]								
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control								
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A								
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft									
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unlimited Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A									
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]									
Repair and Replacement Plans									
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]								
Bridge rehabilitation because of general structure deterioration or inadequate strength. [35]	Bridge improvement cost 120000 Roadway improvement cost 15000								
actorioration or intaroquate strongen [66]	Length of structure improvement 30.5 m = 100.1 ft Total project cost 135000								
	Year of improvement cost estimate								
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state								
	Border bridge - structure number								

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Posted for lo	ad [P]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of corrrective action [3]						
Condition ratings - superstructur	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Superior to present desirable of	criteria [9]					
Condition ratings - substructure	Serious [3]	Appraisal ratings - deck geometry	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]						
Condition ratings - deck	Fair [5]								
Scour	Countermeasures have been	Countermeasures have been installed to mitigate an existing problem with scour. [7]							
Channel and channel protection	Bank protection is being erodechannel. [5]	Bank protection is being eroded. River control devices and/or embankment have major damage. Trees and rush restrict the channel. [5]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Meets minimum tolerable limi	its to be left in place as is	[4] Status evaluation	Structurally deficient [1]					
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating	33					
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]								
Traffic safety features - railings									
Traffic safety features - transition	ns								
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail									
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends									
Inspection date May 2009 [0509] Designated inspection frequency 6 Months									
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspec	Underwater inspection date						
Fracture critical inspection	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical inspection date							
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	ection date						