## HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

## 2010 Inventory

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format. Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information	Chinnaura County (	2221	Chinneyyo [155(0]				46-20-46 =	084-57-31 = -
Michigan [26] Chippewa County [033]		Chippewa [15560] 0.5 MI E STRONGS CORN		CORNER		46.346111	84.958611	
17117062000B010Highway agency district1		Owner         State Highway Agency [01]         Maintenance responsibility		State Highway Agency [01]				
Route     28     M-28     Toll     On free road [3]     Features intersected     E BR TAHQUEMENON RIVER								
Design - Steel [3] main 1 Stringer/Mu	ılti-beam or girder [02	Design - approach 2] 0 Other	[00]	Kilometerpoint22Year built1926Skew angle0Historical significance	Structure Fla	nstructed N/A [0	000]	
Total length       16.7 m = 54.8 ft       Length of maximum span       16.7 m = 54.8 ft       Deck width, out-to-out       10.6 m = 34.8 ft       Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb       9.1 m = 29.9 ft								
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 10 m = 32.8 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 0.4 m = 1.3 ft Curb or sidewalk width - right 0.4 m = 1					0.4 m = 1.3 ft			
Deck structure type		Concrete Cast-in-Plac	e [1]					
Type of wearing surface Bituminous [6]								
Deck protection								
Type of membrane/wearing surface								
Weight Limits								
0	Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rating		Load Factor(LF) [1] Load Factor(LF) [1]		, , ,	30.4 metric ton = 3 50.6 metric ton = 5		
Bridge posting Equal to or above legal lo			gal loads [5]	De	esign Load M 13	.5 / H 15 [2]		

Functional Details							
Average Daily Traffic 1753 Average daily tr	uck traffi 15 % Year 2007 Future average daily traffic 2802 Year 2018						
Road classification       Principal Arterial - Other (Rural) [02]       Lanes on structure       2       Approach roadway width       12.2 m = 40.0 ft							
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge median						
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure exists. [N]							
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure     0     Navigation control						
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A						
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift brid	dgeMinimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway99.99 m = 328.1 ft						
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]							
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unlimited Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A							
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]							
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]							
Repair and Replacement Plans							
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]						
Bridge deck replacement with only incidental widening. [37]	Bridge improvement cost   48000   Roadway improvement cost						
	Length of structure improvement     Total project cost						
	Year of improvement cost estimate						
	Border bridge - state       Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state						
	Border bridge - structure number						

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Open, no res	triction [A]	Appraisal ratings structural	Equal to present minimum criteria [6]						
Condition ratings - superstructur Satisfactory [6]		Appraisal ratings roadway alignmer							
Condition ratings - substructure	Good [7]	Appraisal ratings	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]						
Condition ratings - deck	Satisfactory [6]	deck geometry							
Scour	Bridge foundation	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for the assessed or calculated scour condition. [8]							
Channel and channel protection		Bank protection is in need of minor repairs. River control devices and embankment protection have a little minor damage.							
	Banks and/or cr	Banks and/or channel have minor amounts of drift. [7]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	y Equal to preser	t minimum criteria [6]	Status evaluation						
Dier er obutment protection									
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating 84.8						
Culverts Not applicable. Used i	if structure is not a culv	ert. [N]							
Traffic safety features - railings		Inpected feature meets currently	acceptable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety features - transition	S	Inpected feature meets currently	acceptable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail	Inpected feature meets currently	acceptable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail ends	Inpected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]							
Inspection date June 2008 [0608] Des		signated inspection frequency	24 Months						
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater in	nspection date						
Fracture critical inspection	Not needed [N]	Fracture critic	cal inspection date						
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special	l inspection date						