HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Info	ormation										46-46-16 =	089-00-12 = -
Michigan [26] Ontonagon County [131]		[131]	Greenland [35000]		2.7 MI E OF M-26			46.771111	89.003333			
8502 Highway agency			ncy district 1	Owner State Highway Agency [01]				Maintena	ance respo	ce responsibility State Highway Agency [01]		
Route 38 M-38			}	Toll On free road [3] Features intersected W BR OF F					IRESTEEL RIVER			
Design - main	approach		ner [00]	Kilometerpoint 392.8 km = 243.5 mi Year built 1958 Year reconstructed Skew angle 0 Structure Flared Historical significance Bridge is not eligible for the			he NRHP, [5]					
Total length 73.1 m = 239.8 ft Length of maximum span 27.4 m = 89.9 ft Deck width, out-to-out 10.6 m = 34.8 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 9.4 m = 30.8 ft Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 9.6 m = 31.5 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 0 m = 0.0 ft												
Deck structure type Concrete Cast-in-Place [1]												
Type of wearing surface Monolithic Concrete (co				te (concurrentl	(concurrently placed with structural deck) [1]							
Deck protection Epoxy Coated Reinfor			nforcing [1]									
Type of m	embrane/we	aring surface										
Weight Li	mits											
J.	ypass, detour length 0 km = 6.2 mi Method to determine inv		,	3 0 3 1				Inventory ratin Operating ratir			= 36.0 tons = 36.0 tons	
Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [5]			5]			Design Load	MS 18 / F	IS 20 [5]				

Functional Details								
Average Daily Traffic 679 Average daily tr	uck traffi 13 % Year 2007 Future average daily traffic 1031 Year 2018							
Road classification Minor Arterial (Rural) [06]	Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 12.2 m = 40.0 ft							
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge median							
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure exists. [N]								
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control							
Navigation vertical clearance 0 = N/A Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A								
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift brid	Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft							
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unlimited Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A								
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]								
Repair and Replacement Plans								
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]							
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 1143000 Roadway improvement cost							
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement Total project cost							
	Year of improvement cost estimate							
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state							
	Border bridge - structure number							

Inspection and Sufficiency										
Structure status Open, no res	striction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Better than present minimum criteria [7]							
Condition ratings - superstructur	Good [7]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present minimum criteria [6]							
Condition ratings - substructure	Good [7]	Appraisal ratings -	Equal to present minimum criteria [6]							
Condition ratings - deck	Good [7]	deck geometry								
Scour		ndations determined to be stable for assessed or calculated scour condition. [5]								
Channel and channel protection		Banks are protected or well vegetated. River control devices such as spur dikes and embankment protection are not required or are in a stable condition. [8]								
Appraisal ratings - water adequate	Better than prese	nt minimum criteria [7]	Status evaluation							
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating 90.8							
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culver	t. [N]								
Traffic safety features - railings		npected feature meets currently acce	ceptable standards. [1]							
Traffic safety features - transition	ns I	npected feature meets currently acce	ceptable standards. [1]							
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail I	npected feature meets currently acce	ceptable standards. [1]							
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail ends	npected feature meets currently acce	ceptable standards. [1]							
Inspection date July 2010 [0	710] Desi	gnated inspection frequency 24	4 Months							
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspec	ection date							
Fracture critical inspection	Unknown [Y15]	Fracture critical ins	inspection date June 2011 [0611]							
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	spection date							