The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information					43-25-04 =	082-58-06 = -
Michigan [26]	Sanilac County [151]	Moore [55320]	2.0 MI W OF M19		43.417778	82.968333
74174061000B020	Highway agency district 4	Owner State Highway	Agency [01]	Maintenance responsibility	State Highway Ago	ency [01]
Route 46	M-46	Toll On fre	ee road [3] Fe	eatures intersected S BR CAS	S RIVER	
Design - main Steel [3] Stringer/Multi	Design - approach i-beam or girder [02] 0 Other	[00]	Kilometerpoint 111 Year built 1930 Skew angle 40 Historical significance	7 km = 692.5 mi Year reconstructed N// Structure Flared Bridge is not eligible for		
Total length 24.3 m =	79.7 ft Length of maximum sp	an 12.2 m = 40.0 ft	Deck width, out-to-ou		adway width, curb-to-c	eurb 9.1 m = 29.9 ft
Inventory Route, Total F	Horizontal Clearance 10 m = 32.8 ft	Curb or sidewalk w	width - left $0.4 \text{ m} = 1.3$	ft Curb or sid	dewalk width - right	0.4 m = 1.3 ft
Deck structure type	Concrete Cast-in-Pla	ce [1]				
Type of wearing surface	Integral Concrete (se	parate non-modified layer o	f concrete added to struc	ctural deck) [2]		
Deck protection						
Type of membrane/wear	ring surface					
Weight Limits						
Bypass, detour length	Method to determine inventory rating	Allowable Stress(AS	i) [2] Inve	entory rating 24.5 metric tor	n = 27.0 tons	
0.8 km = 0.5 mi	Method to determine operating rating	Allowable Stress(AS	Ope	erating rating 52.7 metric tor	n = 58.0 tons	
	Bridge posting Equal to or above I	egal loads [5]	Des	ign Load M 13.5 / H 15 [2]		

Functional Details		
Average Daily Traffic 3500 Average daily to	truck traffi 6 % Year 1995 Future average daily traffic	c 4211 Year 2015
Road classification	l) [02] Lanes on structure 2	Approach roadway width 12.8 m = 42.0 ft
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]	Bridge median
Parallel structure designation No parallel structu	re exists. [N]	
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control	
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A	
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift br	ridge 0 m = 0.0 ft Minimum vertical cle	earance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature	eature not a highway or railroad [N]	
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unli	imited Minimum lateral under	clearance on left 0 = N/A
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance reference	feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]		
Repair and Replacement Plans		
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]	
Bridge deck replacement with only incidental widening. [37]	Bridge improvement cost 0 Roadway	improvement cost 0
widering. [57]	Length of structure improvement 24.4 m = 80.1 ft	Total project cost 0
	Year of improvement cost estimate 1994	
	Border bridge - state	Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state
	Border bridge - structure number	

Inspection and Sufficiency						
Structure status Open, no res	striction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Meets minimum tolerable	limits to be left in place as is [4]		
Condition ratings - superstructur	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment				
Condition ratings - substructure	Poor [4]	Appraisal ratings -	Appraisal ratings - Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]			
Condition ratings - deck	Serious [3]	deck geometry				
Scour	Bridge is scour critical;	bridge foundations determined	to be unstable. [3]			
Channel and channel protection		ump. River control devices and ement evident. Debris is restrict		e widespread minor damage. There is		
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Equal to present desira	Equal to present desirable criteria [8]		ion Structurally deficient [1]		
Pier or abutment protection				ing 37.6		
	if structure is not a culvert. [N]	od foaturo moots currently sees	ontablo standards [1]			
Traffic safety features - railings Inpected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety features - transitions Traffic safety features - approach guardrail		Inpected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]				
Traffic safety features - approach						
Inspection date March 2001		d inspection frequency 15	Months			
Underwater inspection	Designated	Underwater inspec				
Fracture critical inspection Unknown [N00] Fracture critical inspection						
·	Unknown [N00]	Other special inspection date				
	- F1					