## HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

## 2009 Inventory

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format. Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							44-03-22 =	085-50-28 = -
Michigan [26] Lake County [085]		Peacock [63120] 11 MI N OF BALE		N		44.056111	85.841111	
43200028000B030 Highway agency distric		cy district: 2	Owner County Highway	Owner County Highway Agency [02]		e responsibility County Highway Agency [02]		Agency [02]
Route 4370	OLD	M-63	Toll On fre	ee road [3]	Features intersect	ed LITTLE MAN	ISTEE R	
Design - Concrete [* main 1 Girder and	l] floorbeam system [03	Design - approach ] 0 Other	[00]	Kilometerpoint3.Year built#Num!Skew angle0Historical significance	Structure Fla	onstructed N/A [0		
Total length 12.2 m = 40.0 ft Length of maximum span 11.8 m = 38.7 ft Deck width, out-to-out 7.5 m = 24.6 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 6.1 m = 20.0 ft								
Inventory Route, Tota Deck structure type	_	e 6.2 m = 20.3 ft Concrete Cast-in-Plac	Curb or sidewalk w	idth - left 0 m = 0.0	) ft	Curb or sidev	valk width - right	0 m = 0.0 ft
Type of wearing surface Bituminous [6]								
Deck protection								
Type of membrane/wearing surface								
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour lengtl	n Method to detern	nine inventory rating	No rating analysis pe	erformed [5]	ventory rating	13.6 metric ton =	15.0 tons	
5.6 km = 3.5 mi	Method to detern	nine operating rating	No rating analysis pe	erformed [5] O	perating rating	25.5 metric ton =	28.1 tons	
	Bridge posting	Equal to or above le	gal loads [5]	D	esign Load MS	18+Mod / HS 20+	Mod [6]	

Functional Details					
Average Daily Traffic 990 Average daily tr	uck traffi 0 % Year 2005	Future average daily traffic	1780 Year 202	5	
Road classification Major Collector (Rural) [07]	Lanes on structure 2		Approach roadway wid	th 9.1 m = 29.9 ft	
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - w	ay traffic [2]	Bridge median		
Parallel structure designation No parallel structur	e exists. [N]				
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0	Navigation control			
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation hor	izontal clearance 0 = N/A		]	
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bri	dge	Minimum vertical clearan	ce over bridge roadway	99.99 m = 328.1 ft	
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature	eature not a highway or railroad [N]				
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unlimited Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A					
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertica	l underclearance reference featu	re Feature not a highway	or railroad [N]	
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]					
Densir and Denlacement Diane					
Repair and Replacement Plans					
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by	contract [1]			
Other structural work, including hydraulic replacements. [38]	Bridge improvement cost 0	Roadway impro	ovement cost 0		
	Length of structure improvement	24.4 m = 80.1 ft Tota	al project cost 1000		
	Year of improvement cost estimate	2005			
	Border bridge - state	Borde	er bridge - percent respons	sibility of other state	
	Border bridge - structure number				

Inspection and Sufficiency								
Structure status Open, no res	Appraisal ratings - structural	Meets minimu	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4] Equal to present desirable criteria [8]					
Condition ratings - superstructure Poor [4]		Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment					Equal to prese	
Condition ratings - substructure	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings - deck geometry	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of corrrective action [3]					
Condition ratings - deck	Fair [5]							
Scour	Bridge foundations determined	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for assessed or calculated scour condition. [5]						
Channel and channel protection		Bank is beginning to slump. River control devices and embankment protection have widespread minor damage. There is minor stream bed movement evident. Debris is restricting the channel slightly. [6]						
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	y Equal to present desirable crit	Equal to present desirable criteria [8]			Structurally deficient [1]			
Pier or abutment protection					11.5			
Culverts Not applicable. Used i	if structure is not a culvert. [N]							
Traffic safety features - railings								
Traffic safety features - transitions								
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail								
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends								
Inspection date May 2007 [05	ction frequency 24	Mont	hs					
Underwater inspection	Underwater inspec	ction date						
Fracture critical inspection Not needed [N]		Fracture critical in:	Fracture critical inspection date					
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	ection date					